

## Validated Solution of Initial Value Problem for Ordinary Differential Equations based on Explicit and Implicit Runge-Kutta Schemes

Julien Alexandre Dit Sandretto, Alexandre Chapoutot

## ► To cite this version:

Julien Alexandre Dit Sandretto, Alexandre Chapoutot. Validated Solution of Initial Value Problem for Ordinary Differential Equations based on Explicit and Implicit Runge-Kutta Schemes. [Research Report] ENSTA ParisTech. 2015. hal-01107685v3

## HAL Id: hal-01107685 https://ensta-paris.hal.science/hal-01107685v3

Submitted on 27 Jan 2015 (v3), last revised 13 Mar 2015 (v6)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Validated Solution of Initial Value Problem for Ordinary Differential Equations based on Explicit and Implicit Runge-Kutta Schemes<sup>1</sup>

Julien Alexandre dit Sandretto, Alexandre Chapoutot ENSTA ParisTech, Palaiseau, France

julien.alexandre-dit-sandretto@ensta-paristech.fr alexandre.chapoutot@ensta-paristech.fr

January 27, 2015

<sup>1</sup>This research benefited from the support of the "Chair Complex Systems Engineering – Ecole Polytechnique, THALES, DGA, FX, DASSAULT AVIATION, DCNS Research, ENSTA ParisTech, Télécom ParisTech, Fondation ParisTech, FDO ENSTA"

#### Abstract

We present in this report our tool based on Ibex library which provides an innovative and generic procedure to simulate an ordinary differential equation with any Runge-Kutta scheme (explicit or implicit). Our validated approach is based on the classical two steps integration: the Picard-Lindelöf operator to enclose all the solutions on a one step, and the computation of the approximated solution and its Local Truncating Error. This latter is computed with a generic and elegant approach using interval arithmetic and Fréchêt derivatives. We perform a strong experimentation through many numerical experiments coming from three different benchmarks and the results are shown and compared with competition.

## Chapter 1

# Introduction

Many scientific applications in physical fields such as mechanics, robotics, chemistry or electronics require differential equations. This kind of equations appears when only the velocity and/or the acceleration are available in the modeling of a system. In the general case, these differential equations cannot be formally integrated, i.e., closed form solution are not available, and a numerical integration scheme is used to approximate the state of the system. In this report, we focus on ordinary differential equations for which we develop a new method to solve them and validate the solution.

**Notations**  $\dot{y}$  denotes the time derivative of the function y, i.e.,  $\frac{dy}{dt}$ . x denotes a real values while  $\mathbf{x}$  represents a vector of real values. [x] represents an interval values and  $[\mathbf{x}]$  represents a vector of interval values.

### 1.1 Solving ODE with Numerical Methods

An ordinary differential equation (ODE for short) is a relation between a function  $y : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  and its derivative  $\dot{y} = \frac{dy}{dt}$ , written as  $\dot{y} = f(t, y)$ . An *initial value problem* (IVP for short) is an ODE together with an initial condition and a final time

$$\dot{y} = f(t, y)$$
 with  $y(0) = y_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in [0, t_{end}]$ . (1.1)

We do not address here the problem of existence of the solution and we shall always assume that  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is continuous in t and globally Lipschitz in y, so Equation (1.1) admits a unique solution on  $\mathbb{R}$ , see [10] for more details. As the exact solution y(t) of Equation (1.1) is usually unknown, numerical methods are used to approximate y(t) on a time grid.

### **1.2** Classical Runge-Kutta methods

We now recall the principles of numerical integration of ordinary differential equations. Solving the IVP means finding a continuous and differentiable function  $y_{\infty}$  such that  $y_{\infty}(0) = y_0$  and

$$\forall t \in [0, t_{\text{end}}], \quad \dot{y}_{\infty}(t) = f(t, y_{\infty}(t))$$
.

Note that, higher order differential equations can be translated into first-order ODEs by introducing additional variables for the derivatives of y. We denote the solution at time t of Equation (1.1) with initial condition  $y_0$  at t = 0 by  $y(t; y_0)$ .

An exact solution of Equation (1.1) is rarely computable so that in practice, approximation algorithms are used. The goal of an approximation algorithm is to compute a sequence of n + 1 time instants

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t_{\text{end}}$$

and a sequence of n + 1 values  $y_0, \ldots, y_n$  such that

$$\forall i \in [0, n], \quad y_i \approx y_\infty(t_i; y_0)$$

There is a huge set of numerical methods to solve Equation (1.1). In this report, we focus on single-step methods member of the Runge-Kutta family, that is these methods only use  $y_i$  and approximations of  $\dot{y}(t)$  to compute  $y_{i+1}$ .

A Runge-Kutta method, starting from an initial value  $y_n$  at time  $t_n$  and a finite time horizon h, the *step-size*, produces an approximation  $y_{n+1}$  at time  $t_{n+1}$ , with  $t_{n+1} - t_n = h$ , of the solution  $y(t_{n+1}; y_n)$ . Furthermore, to compute  $y_{n+1}$ , a Runge-Kutta method computes s evaluations of f at predetermined time instants. The number s is known as the number of *stages* of a Runge-Kutta method. More precisely, a Runge-Kutta method is defined by

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i k_i \quad , \tag{1.2}$$

with  $k_i$  defined by

$$k_{i} = f\left(t_{0} + c_{i}h, y_{0} + h\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij}k_{j}\right) \quad .$$
(1.3)

The coefficient  $c_i$ ,  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_i$ , for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , fully characterize the Runge-Kutta methods and their are usually synthesized in a *Butcher tableau* of the form

In function of the form of the matrix A, made of the coefficients  $a_{ij}$ , a Runge-Kutta method can be

- *explicit*, e.g., the classical Runge-Kutta method of order 4 given in Figure 1.1(a). In other words, the computation of an intermediate  $k_i$  only depends on the previous steps  $k_j$  for j < i;
- diagonally implicit, e.g., a diagonally implicit method of order 4 given in Figure 1.1(b). In this case, the computation of an intermediate step  $k_i$  involves the value  $k_i$  and so non-linear systems in  $k_i$  must be solved;
- fully implicit, e.g., the Runge-Kutta method with a Lobatto quadrature formula of order 4 given in Figure 1.1(c). In this last case, the computation of intermediate steps involves the solution of a non-linear system of equations in all the values  $k_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ .

Figure 1.1: Different kinds of Runge-Kutta methods

Note that in case of implicit Runge-Kutta methods the non-linear systems of n equations must be solved at each integration step. Usually, a Newton-like method is used for this purpose. Nevertheless, such implicit methods have very good stability properties, see [10, Chap. II] for more details, which make them very useful in case of *stiff* ODE.

### 1.3 Computing with Sets

To take into account numerical approximation coming from floating-point arithmetic and approximation due to numerical integration scheme, set-based computation is required. In this case, we transform an IVP into an interval initial value problem (IIVP for short) that is

$$\dot{y} = f(t, y)$$
 with  $y(0) = \mathcal{Y}_0, \ \mathcal{Y}_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $t \in [0, t_{end}]$ . (1.4)

In Equation (1.4), the initial value is given by a set  $\mathcal{Y}_0$  of values, i.e., we do not know exactly the initial value. In other terms, we want to compute the set of solutions  $\mathcal{Y}_{\infty}(t;\mathcal{Y}_0)$  of IIVP such that

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\infty}(t;\mathcal{Y}_0) = \{y_{\infty}(t;y_0) : \forall y_0 \in \mathcal{Y}_0\} .$$

Note that the set  $\mathcal{Y}_{\infty}$  should guarantee to contain the true solution  $y_{\infty}$ . For the past decades IIVP have been solved using tools coming from interval analysis. The guaranteed solution of IIVP using interval arithmetic is mainly based on two kinds of methods:

- i) Interval Taylor series methods [14, 13, 1, 15, 11, 18, 6, 12],
- ii) Interval Runge-Kutta methods [8, 3, 2].

The former is the oldest method used in this context. Indeed, R. Moore [14] already applied this method in the sixties and until now it is the most used method to solve Equation (1.4). The latter is more recent, see in particular [3, 2], but Runge-Kutta methods have many interesting properties as strong stability that we would like to exploit in the context of validated solution of ODEs.

We present new guaranteed numerical integration schemes based on implicit Runge-Kutta methods. This work is an extension of [3, 2] which only considered explicit Runge-Kutta methods.

#### **1.3.1** Interval arithmetic

The simplest and most common way to represent and manipulate sets of values is *interval arithmetic* [14]. An interval  $[x_i] = [\underline{x_i}, \overline{x_i}]$  defines the set of reels  $x_i$  such that  $\underline{x_i} \leq x_i \leq \overline{x_i}$ . IR denotes the set of all intervals. The size or the width of  $[x_i]$  is denoted by  $w([x_i]) = \overline{x_i} - \underline{x_i}$ . The center of an interval is denoted by Mid([x]) denotes the middle of [x]. A vector of intervals, or a *box*,  $[\mathbf{x}]$  is the Cartesian product of intervals  $[x_1] \times \ldots \times [x_i] \times \ldots \times [x_n]$ . The width of a box is defined by  $w([\mathbf{x}_i]) = \max_i w([x_i])$ .

Interval arithmetic [14] extends to  $\mathbb{IR}$  elementary functions over  $\mathbb{R}$ . For instance, the interval sum (i.e.,  $[x_1] + [x_2] = [\underline{x_1} + \underline{x_2}, \overline{x_1} + \overline{x_2}]$ ) encloses the image of the sum function over its arguments, and this enclosing property basically defines what is called an *interval extension* or an *inclusion function*.

**Definition 1** (Extension of a function to  $\mathbb{IR}$ ). Consider a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , then  $[f] : \mathbb{IR}^n \to \mathbb{IR}$  is said to be an extension of f to intervals if

$$\forall [x] \in \mathbb{IR}^n, \quad [f]([x]) \supseteq \{f(x), \ x \in [x]\},\\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad f(x) = [f](x) \ .$$

In our context, the expression of a function f is always a composition of elementary functions. The **natural extension**  $[f]_N$  is then simply a composition of the corresponding interval operators.

**Definition 2** (Overestimation of a set). Consider the set  $\mathcal{F} = \{f(x), x \in [x]\}$ , the interval extension [f]([x]) is an overestimation of  $\mathcal{F}$  and we note

$$[f]([x]) = \Box \mathcal{F} \ .$$

**Definition 3** (Integration). Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a continuous function and  $[a] \subset \mathbb{IR}^n$ , then the components of  $\int_a^{\overline{a}} f(s) ds$  are

$$\left\{\int_{\underline{a}}^{\overline{a}} f(s)ds\right\}_{i} = \int_{\underline{a}}^{\overline{a}} \left\{f(s)\right\}_{i} ds$$

where  $\{\}_i$  denotes the *i*-th component of a vector. Obviously, see [14],

$$\int_{\underline{a}}^{\underline{a}} f(s)ds \in (\underline{a} - \overline{a})f([a]) = w([a])[f]([a]) .$$

The *interval arithmetic* is a powerful tool to deal with sets. Nevertheless, this representation usually produces too much over-approximated results, because it cannot take dependencies between variables in account: for instance, if x = [0, 1], then  $x - x = [-1, 1] \neq 0$ . More generally, it can be shown for most integration schemes that the width of the result can only grow if we interpret sets of values as intervals.

**Example 1.3.1.** Consider the ordinary differential equation  $\dot{x}(t) = -x$  solved with the Euler's method with an initial value ranging in the interval [0,1] and with a step-size of h = 0.5. For one step of integration, we have to compute with interval arithmetic the expression  $e = x + h \times (-x)$  which produces as a result the interval [-0.5, 1]. Rewriting the expression e such that e' = x(1-h), we obtain the interval [0,0.5] which is the exact result. Unfortunately, we cannot in general rewrite expressions with only one occurrence of each variable. More generally, it can be shown that for most integration schemes the width of the result can only grow if we interpret sets of values as intervals [16].

#### 1.3.2 Affine arithmetic

To avoid or limit the problem of dependency, we use an improvement over interval arithmetic named *affine arithmetic* [7] which can track linear correlations between variables.

A set of values in this domain is represented by an *affine form*  $\hat{x}$ , which is a formal expression of the form

$$\hat{x} = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \varepsilon_i,$$

where the coefficients  $\alpha_i$  are real numbers,  $\alpha_0$  being called the *center* of the affine form, and the  $\varepsilon_i$  are formal variables ranging over the interval [-1, 1] called *noise symbols*.

Obviously, an interval  $a = [a_1, a_2]$  can be seen as the affine form  $\hat{x} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \varepsilon$  with  $\alpha_0 = (a_1 + a_2)/2$ and  $\alpha_1 = (a_2 - a_1)/2$ . Moreover, affine forms encode linear dependencies between variables: if  $x \in [a_1, a_2]$ and y is such that y = 2x, then x will be represented by the affine form  $\hat{x}$  above and y will be represented as  $\hat{y} = 2\alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 \varepsilon$ .

Usual operations on real numbers extend to affine arithmetic in the expected way. For instance, if we have two affine forms  $\hat{x} = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \varepsilon_i$  and  $\hat{y} = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \varepsilon_i$ , then with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$a\hat{x} \pm b\hat{y} \pm c = (a\alpha_0 \pm b\beta_0 \pm c) + \sum_{i=1}^n (a\alpha_i \pm b\beta_i)\varepsilon_i$$

However, unlike the affine operations, most operations create new noise symbols. Multiplication for example is defined by

$$\hat{x} \times \hat{y} = \alpha_0 \alpha_1 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i \beta_0 + \alpha_0 \beta_i) \varepsilon_i + \nu \varepsilon_{n+1},$$

where

$$\nu = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_i|\right) \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\beta_i|\right),\,$$

over-approximates the error between the linear approximation of multiplication and multiplication itself.

Other operations, as sin or exp, are evaluated using two kinds of algorithm: *min range* method and *Tchebychev* method, see [7] for more details. Note that more recent work exists on increasing the accuracy of affine arithmetic [9, 17] but it is not mandatory to consider them in this work.

**Example 1.3.2.** Consider again  $e = x + h \times (-x)$  with h = 0.5 and x = [0,1] which is associated to the affine form  $\hat{x} = 0.5 + 0.5\varepsilon_1$ . Evaluating e with affine arithmetic without rewriting the expression, we obtain [0,0.5] as a result.

The set-based evaluation of an expression only consists in interpreting all the mathematical operators (such as + or sin) by their counterpart in affine arithmetic. We will denote by Aff(e) the evaluation of the expression e using affine arithmetic, see [4] for practical implementation details.

## 1.4 Scope of the report

In next chapter, we will describe the tool. After a short overview on the verified simulation process (Section 2.1), we will explain our new way to compute the truncating error in Section 2.2. Then, the algorithm used to compute the implicit Runge-Kutta schemes is described (Section 2.3). The chapter 3 gathers a large experimentation in order to compare us to the competition and validated our approach.

## Chapter 2

## Description of the tool

We describe in this chapter the main contribution of this article that is a new validated method to compute solution of Equation (1.1). Before presenting this new result we recall some results of the validated numerical integration based on Taylor series.

### 2.1 Overview on verified simulation process

In the classical approach [13, 15] to define validated method for IVP, each step of an integration scheme consists in two steps: a priori enclosure and solution tightening. Starting from a valid enclosure  $[y]_j$  at time  $t_j$ , the two following steps are applied

- **Step 1.** Compute an *a priori* enclosure  $[\tilde{y}]_j$  of the solution using Banach's theorem and the Picard-Lindelöf operator. This enclosure has the three major properties:
  - $y(t, [y]_j)$  is guaranteed to exist for all  $t \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$ , i.e., along the current step, and for all  $y_j \in [y]_j$ .
  - $y(t, [y_j]) \subseteq [\tilde{y}]_j$  for all  $t \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$ .
  - the step-size  $h_j = t_{j+1} t_j$  is as larger as possible in terms of accuracy and existence proof for the IVP solution.
- Step 2. Compute a tighter enclosure of  $[y]_{j+1}$  such that  $y(t_{j+1}, [y]_j) \subseteq [y]_{j+1}$ . The main issue in this phase is how to counteract the well known wrapping effect [14, 13, 15]. This phenomenon appears when we try to enclose a set with an interval vector (geometrically a box). The arising overestimation creates a false dynamic for the next step, and, with accumulation, can lead to intervals with an unacceptably large width.

The different enclosures computed during each step are shown on Figure 2.1.



Figure 2.1: Enclosures appeared during one step

Some algorithms useful to perform these two steps are described in the following.

#### 2.1.1 A priori solution enclosure

In order to compute the *a priori* enclosure, we use the Picard-Lindelöf operator. This operator is based on the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.1** (Banach fixed-point theorem). Let (K, d) a complete metric space and let  $g : K \to K$  a contraction that is for all x, y in K there exists  $c \in ]0, 1[$  such that

$$d\left(g(x), g(y)\right) \le c \cdot d(x, y)$$

then g has a unique fixed-point in K.

In context of IVP, we consider the space of continuously differentiable functions  $C^0([t_j, t_{j+1}], \mathbb{R}^n)$  and the Picard-Lindelöf operator

$$P_f(y) = t \mapsto y_j + \int_{t_n}^t f(s, y(s)) ds \quad . \tag{2.1}$$

Note that this operator is associated to the integral form of Equation (1.1). So the solution of this operator is also the solution of Equation (1.1).

The Picard-Lindelöf operator is used to check the contraction of the solution on a integration step in order to prove the existence and the uniqueness of the solution of Equation (1.1) as stated by the Banach's fixed-point theorem. Furthermore, this operator is used to compute an enclosure of the solution of IVP over a time interval  $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ .

#### Rectangular method for a priori enclosure

Using interval analysis and with a first order integration scheme we can define a simple interval Picard-Lindelöf operator such that

$$P_f([R]) = [y]_j + [0, h] \cdot f([R]), \qquad (2.2)$$

with  $h = t_{j+1} - t_j$  the step-size. Theorem 2.1.1 says that if we can find [R] such that  $P_f([R]) \subseteq [R]$  then the operator is contracting and Equation (1.1) has a unique solution. Furthermore,

$$\forall t \in [t_j, t_{j+1}], \quad \{y(t; y_j) : \forall y_j \in [y]_j\} \subseteq [R],$$

then [R] is the *a priori* enclosure of the solution of Equation (1.1).

Remark that the operator defined in Equation (2.2) can also define a contractor (in a sens of interval analysis [5]) on [R] after the fixed-point reached such that

$$[R] \leftarrow [R] \cap [y]_j + [0, h].f([R]) \quad . \tag{2.3}$$

Hence, we can reduce the width of the *a priori* enclosure in order to increase the accuracy of the integration.

The operator defined in Equation (2.2) and its associated contractor defined in Equation (2.3) can be defined over a more accurate integration scheme (at the condition that it is a guaranteed scheme like the interval rectangle rule). For example, the evaluation of  $\int_{t_j}^t f(s) ds$  can be easily improved with a Taylor or a Runge-Kutta scheme.

#### A priori enclosure with Taylor series

Interval version of Taylor series for ODE integration gives

$$[y]_{j+1} \subset \sum_{k=0}^{N} f^{[k]}([y]_j)h^k + f^{[N+1]}([\tilde{y}]_j)h^{N+1},$$
(2.4)

with  $f^{[0]} = [y]_j$ ,  $f^{[1]} = f([y]_j), \ldots, f^{[k]} = \frac{1}{k} \left( \frac{\partial f^{[k-1]}}{\partial y} f \right) ([y]_j)$ . By replacing *h* with interval [0, h], this scheme becomes an efficient Taylor Picard-Lindelöf operator,

By replacing h with interval [0, h], this scheme becomes an efficient Taylor Picard-Lindelöf operator, with a parametric order N such that

$$y_{j+1}([t_j, t_{j+1}]; [R]) = y_j + \sum_{k=0}^{N} f^{[k]}([y]_j)[0, h^k] + f^{[N+1]}([R])[0, h^{N+1}]$$
(2.5)

In consequence, if  $[R] \supseteq y_{j+1}([t_j, t_{j+1}], [R])$ , [R] then Equation (2.5) defined a contraction map and Theorem 2.1.1 can be applied.

In our tool, we use it at order 3 by default, it seems to be a good compromise between efficiency and computation quickness.

Note that the scheme defined in Equation (2.4) is usually evaluated in a centered form for a more accurate result

$$[y]_{j+1} \subset \sum_{k=0}^{N} f^{[k]}(\hat{y}_j)h^k + f^{[N+1]}([\tilde{y}]_j)h^{N+1} + \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N} J(f^{[k]}, [y]_j)h^i)([y]_j - \hat{y}_j\right),$$
(2.6)

with  $\hat{y}_j \in [y]_j \ J(f^{[k]}, [y]_j)$  is the Jacobian of  $f^{[k]}$  evaluated at  $[y]_j$ . This scheme can also be combined with a QR-factorization to increase stability and counteract wrapping [15]. These two "tricks", with a strong computational cost, can be avoided by using the affine arithmetic.

Picard-Lindelöf operator, as defined in Equation (2.5), gives an *a priori* enclosure [R], using Theorem 2.1.1. Picard-Lindelöf operator is proven to be contracting on [R], we can then use this operator to contract the box [R] till a fixpoint is reached

In our tool, the default contractor uses a Taylor expansion as follow

$$[R] \cap x_j + \sum_{k=0}^{N} f^{[k]}([x]_j)[0, h^k] + f^{[N+1]}([R])[0, h^{N+1}]$$

It is very important to contract as much as possible this box [R] because the Taylor remainder is function of [R] and the step-size is function of the Taylor remainder.

#### A priori enclosure with Runge-Kutta

A novelty of our approach is that we can define a new *a priori* enclosure based on Runge-Kutta methods. The main challenge is to be able to express a formula for the local truncation error (LTE in short). Assuming, we has such formula, we can define a new enclosure such that scheme such that

$$k_i(t, y_j) = f\left(t_j + c_i(t - t_j), y_j + (t - t_j)\sum_{n=1}^s a_{in}k_n\right),$$
  
$$y_{j+1}(t, \xi) = y_j + (t - t_j)\sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i(t, y_j) + \text{LTE}(t, y(\xi)) .$$

An inclusion function with  $h = t_{j+1} - t_j$  is then defined with

$$y_{j+1}([t_j, t_{j+1}], [R]) = x_j + [0, h] \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i ([t_j, t_{j+1}], y_j) + \text{LTE}([t_j, t_{j+1}], [R])$$
.

Proving the contraction of such scheme, that is

$$[R] \supseteq x_{j+1} ([t_j, t_{j+1}], [R])$$

can prove the existence and the uniqueness of the solution of Equation (1.1) using Theorem 2.1.1. In the sequel of this chapter we present a computable formula of the LTE for any explicit or implicit Runge-Kutta formula.

#### 2.1.2 Tighter enclosure and truncating error

Suppose that Step 1 has been done for the current step and that we dispose of the enclosure  $[\tilde{y}]_j$  such that

$$y(t, t_j, [y]_j) \subseteq [\tilde{y}]_j \quad \forall t \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$$

In particular, we have  $y(t_{j+1}, t_j, [y]_j) \subseteq [\tilde{y}]_j$ . The goal of Step 2 is thus to compute the tighter enclosure  $[y]_{j+1}$  such that

$$y(t_{j+1}, t_j, [y]_j) \subseteq [y]_{j+1} \subseteq [\tilde{y}]_j$$

One way to do that consists in computing an approximate solution  $y_{j+1} \approx y(t_{j+1}, t_j, [y]_j)$  with an integration scheme  $\Phi(t_{j+1}, t_j, [y]_j)$ , and then the associated local truncating error  $LTE_{\Phi}(t, t_j, [y]_j)$ . Indeed, a guaranteed integration scheme has the property that there exists a time  $\xi \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$  such that

$$y(t_{j+1}, t_j, [y]_j) \subseteq \Phi(t_{j+1}, t_j, [y]_j) + LTE_{\Phi}(\xi, t_j, [y]_j) \subseteq [\tilde{y}]_j$$
.

So  $[y]_{j+1} = \Phi(t_{j+1}, t_j, [y]_j) + LTE_{\Phi}(\xi, t_j, [y]_j)$  is an acceptable tight enclosure.

#### 2.1.3 Wrapping effect

The problem of reducing the wrapping effect has been studied in many different ways. One of the most known and effective is the QR-factorization [13]. This method improves the stability of the Taylor series in the Vnode-LP tool [15]. An other way is to modify the geometry of the enclosing set (parallelepipeds with Eijgenram and moore, ellipsoids with Neumaier, convex polygons with Rihm and zonotopes with Stewart and chapoutot).

An efficient affine arithmetic allows us to counteract the wrapping effect as shown in Figure 2.1.3 while keeping a fast computation.

Example 2.1.1. Consider the following IVP

$$\dot{y} = \left(\begin{array}{c} y_2\\ -y_1 \end{array}\right) \tag{2.7}$$

with initial values:  $[y_0] = ([-1,1], [10,11])$ . The exact solution of Equation (2.7) is

$$y(t) = A(t)y_0 \text{ with } A(t) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \cos(t) & \sin(t) \\ -\sin(t) & \cos(t) \end{array} \right)$$

We compute periodically at  $t = \frac{\pi}{4}n$  with  $n = 1, \ldots, 4$  the solution of Equation (2.7).



Figure 2.2: Wrapping effect comparison (black: initial, green: interval, blue: interval from QR, red: zonotope from affine)

#### Truncating error with elementary derivatives and rooted 2.2trees

#### 2.2.1The local truncating error

The local truncating error, or LTE, is the error due to the integration scheme on one step i, i.e.,

$$\parallel y(t_j; y_{j-1}) - y_j \parallel$$

This error can be bound on each step of integration [10]. The truncating error of a Runge-Kutta scheme  $\phi(t) = x_n + (t - t_n) \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i(t)$  is obtained by the order condition, and defined by

$$y(t_n; y_{j-1}) - x_j = \frac{h_n^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} \left( f^{(p)}\left(\xi, y(\xi)\right) - \frac{d^{p+1}\phi}{dt^{p+1}}(\eta) \right)$$

This error is exact for one  $\xi \in ]t_k, t_{k+1}[$  and one  $\eta \in ]t_n, t_{n+1}[$ . The main issues are then to bound the terms  $\frac{d^{p+1}\phi}{dt^{p+1}}(\eta)$  and  $f^{(p)}(\xi, x(\xi))$ , without knowing  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . Nevertheless, the Picard-Lindelöf operator provides to us the box  $y(t, t_j, [y_j]) \subseteq [\tilde{y}_j]$  for all  $t \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$ , and so  $x(\xi) \in [\tilde{y}_j]$ . Obviously,  $\eta \in ]t_n, t_{n+1}[$ , which is well-known.

This approach has given good results, see [2], with  $\frac{d^{p+1}\phi}{dt^{p+1}}(\eta)$  computed symbolically. Unfortunately, this computation may take a long time. Moreover, in case of implicit Runge-Kutta method, it is not easy to express  $\phi$  so this approach cannot be applied in that case. We propose an other approach for the computation of the derivatives, based on rooted trees to solve these problems.

#### 2.2.2**Elementary or Frechet's derivatives**

To build new Runge-Kutta methods, John Butcher (1963) expressed the Taylor development of the exact solution and the numerical solution from elementary differentials and Frechet derivatives.

Let  $z, f(z) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . The *M*-th Frechet derivative of *f* is defined by

$$f^{(M)}(z)(K_1, K_2, \dots, K_M) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j_1=1}^m \sum_{j_2=1}^m \cdots \sum_{j_M=1}^m {}^i f_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_M} {}^{j_1} K_1 {}^{j_2} K_2 \dots {}^{j_M} K_M e_i$$

where  $K_k = [{}^{1}K_1, {}^{2}K_2, \dots, {}^{M}K_M] \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , for  $k = 1, \dots, M$ The elementary derivatives are defined by  ${}^{i}f_{j_1j_2\dots j_M} = \frac{\partial^M}{\partial^{j_1}z\partial^{j_2}z\dots \partial^{j_M}z}$ .

**Example 2.2.1.** Let m = 2 with  $\dot{x} = x^{(1)} = f(x)$  and M = 1 then

$$f^{(1)}(z)(K_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j_1=1}^{2} {}^{i} f_{j_1}({}^{j_1}K_1) e_i$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} {}^{1} f_1({}^{1}K_1) + {}^{1} f_2({}^{2}K_2) \\ {}^{2} f_1({}^{1}K_1) + {}^{2} f_2({}^{2}K_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

with  ${}^{i}f_{1} = \frac{\partial^{i}f}{\partial^{l}z}$  and  ${}^{i}f_{2} = \frac{\partial^{i}f}{\partial^{2}z}$  with i = 1, 2Replacing z by x and  $K_{1}$  by f(x) we get

$$f^{(1)}(x)(f(x)) = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{1}f_{1}({}^{1}f) + {}^{1}f_{2}({}^{2}f) \\ {}^{2}f_{1}({}^{1}f) + {}^{2}f_{2}({}^{2}f) \end{bmatrix} = x^{(2)}$$

Hence the second derivative of x is the first Fréchet derivative of f operating on f.

**Elementary differentials:** The elementary differentials  $F_s : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$  of f and their order are defined recursively by:

- 1. f is the only elementary differential of order 1
- 2. if  $F_s, s = 1, 2, ..., M$  are elementary differentials of order  $r_s$  then the Fréchet derivative  $f^{(M)}(F_1, F_2, ..., F_m)$  is an elementary differential of order  $1 + \sum_{s=1}^{M} r_s$

Let see different Fréchet derivatives:

- Order 1: f
- Order 2:  $f^{(1)}(f)$
- Order 3:  $f^{(2)}(f, f) = f^{(1)}(f^{(1)}(f))$
- Order 4:  $f^{(3)}(f, f, f) = f^{(2)}(f, f^{(1)}(f)) = f^{(1)}(f^{(2)}(f, f)) = f^{(1)}(f^{(1)}(f^{(1)}(f)))$

In consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(2)} &= f^{(1)}(f) \\ x^{(3)} &= f^{(2)}(f,f) + f^{(1)}(f^{(1)}(f)) \end{aligned}$$

### 2.2.3 Trees

Rooted trees To a given order n, how many elementary differentials do we have?

**Note:** The answer is the same that counting the number of rooted tree with a given number of nodes (with heap-order).

Order	Trees	Number of trees
	•	
1		1
	•	
2	•	1
3		2
4		4

Tree	Name	r(t)	$\sigma(t)$	$\gamma(t)$	$\alpha(t)$
•	au	1	1	1	1
•	[ au]	2	1	2	1
	$[\tau^2]$	3	2	3	1
>	[[ au]]	3	1	6	1
	$[ au^3]$	4	6	4	1
	$[\tau[\tau]]$	4	1	8	3
	$[[\tau^2]]$	4	2	12	1
	[[[τ]]]	4	1	$\frac{24}{(t)}$	1
Order	Tree	t	Г	(t)	
1	•	au		f	
2	•	[ au]	{.	<i>f</i> }	
3	$\mathbf{V}$	$[\tau^2]$	{}	$r^{2}\}$	
3		$[_2 au]_2$	$\{2,$	$f\}_2$	
4		$[\tau^3]$	{}	<sup>r3</sup> }	
4		$[\tau[\tau]_2$	$\{f\}$	$\{f\}_2$	
4		$[_{2}\tau^{2}]_{2}$	${_{2}j}$	$^{r2}\}_{2}$	
4		[_]	ſ	£]	

 $\begin{array}{c|c} 4 & \hline & [_3\tau]_3 & \{_3f\}_3 \\ \hline \text{Elementary differentials and exact solution} \\ \text{Let } \dot{x} = f(x), \ f: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m. \ \text{Then} \end{array}$ 

$$x^{(q)} = \sum_{r(\tau)=q} \alpha(\tau) F(\tau)$$

Note on the number of trees (up to order 11 (left)):

Number of Rooted Trees 1842 719 286 115 48 20 9 4 2 1 1 (total 3047)

Elementary differentials and Runge-Kutta methods

Let the a Runge-Kutta defined by a Butcher table then

$$\frac{d^q}{dh^q} x_n|_{h=0} = \sum_{r(\tau)=q} \alpha(\tau) \gamma(\tau) \psi(\tau) F(\tau)$$

Order Condition The Runge-Kutta method has order p if  $\psi(\tau) = \frac{1}{\gamma(\tau)}$  holds for all trees of order  $r(\tau) \leq p$  and does not hold for some tree of order p+1.

### 2.2.4 Local truncating error

Main results: a unified approach

For a Runge-Kutta (explicit and implicit) of order p we have

$$x(t_n; x_0) - x_n = \frac{h^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} \sum_{r(\tau)=p+1} \alpha(\tau) \left[1 - \gamma(\tau)\psi(\tau)\right] F(\tau)(x(\xi)) \quad \xi \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$$

- $\tau$  is a rooted tree
- $F(\tau)$  is the elementary differential associated to  $\tau$
- $r(\tau)$  is the order of  $\tau$  (number of nodes)
- $\gamma(\tau)$  is the density
- $\alpha(\tau)$  is the number of equivalent trees
- $\psi(\tau)$

Note  $x(\xi)$  will be over-approximated using Picard-Lindelöf operator.

### 2.3 Implicit RK computation

### 2.3.1 Implicit Runge-Kutta methods

#### Butcher tableau

**Implicit Euler** The backward Euler method is first order. Unconditionally stable and non-oscillatory for linear diffusion problems.

$$\begin{array}{c|c}1 & 1\\\hline & 1\end{array}$$

**Implicit midpoint** The implicit midpoint method is of second order. It is the simplest method in the class of collocation methods known as the Gauss methods. It is a symplectic integrator.

**Radau IIA** Radau methods are fully implicit methods (matrix A of such methods can have any structure). Radau methods attain order 2s - 1 for s stages. Radau methods are A-stable, but expensive to implement. Also they can suffer from order reduction. The first order Radau method is similar to backward Euler method.

**Lobatto IIIC** There are three families of Lobatto methods, called IIIA, IIIB and IIIC. These are named after Rehuel Lobatto. All are implicit methods, have order 2s - 2 and they all have c1 = 0 and cs = 1. Unlike any explicit method, it's possible for these methods to have the order greater than the number of stages. Lobatto lived before the classic fourth-order method was popularized by Runge and Kutta.

0	1/6	-1/3	1/6
1/2	1/6	5/12	-1/12
1	1/6	2/3	1/6
	1/6	2/3	1/6

**SDIRK4** For the so-called DIRK methods, also known as SDIRK or semi-explicit or semi-implicit methods, A has a lower triangular structure where the constant in diagonal is chosen for stability reasons. In cases in which the solution of integration in the current step is identical with the final stage, it is possible that *a*11 is equal to 0 rather than to the diagonal value , without taking away from the essential nature of a DIRK method.

1/4	1/4	0	0	0	0
3/4	1/2	1/4	0	0	0
11/20	17/50	-1/25	1/4	0	0
1/2	371/1360	-137/2720	15/544	1/4	0
1	25/24	-49/48	125/16	-85/12	1/4
	25/24	-49/48	125/16	-85/12	1/4

Properties

### 2.3.2 Algorithm

#### Naturally contracting form

$$k_{i} = f(y_{n} + h \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i,j}k_{j}, t_{n} + c_{i}h)$$
(2.8)

 $s = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i,j} \leq 1$  for all i, then  $y_n + hs \subset [\tilde{y_n}]$ , then by theorem of ? and Lipschitz condition, f is contracting for  $k_i$ .

#### Algorithm

We use a contractor scheme:

$$k_i \cap f(y_n + h \sum_{j=1}^s a_{i,j} k_j, t_n + c_i h),$$
 (2.9)

which leads to a fixpoint (one solution by Banach's theorem or Brewer's theorem).

,

A novelty of our approach is that we can define a new *a priori* enclosure based on Runge-Kutta methods. We can define a new enclosure such that scheme such that

$$k_{i}(t, y_{j}) = f\left(t_{j} + c_{i}(t - t_{j}), y_{j} + (t - t_{j})\sum_{n=1}^{s} a_{in}k_{n}\right),$$
  
$$y_{j+1}(t, \xi) = y_{j} + (t - t_{j})\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i}k_{i}(t, y_{j}) + \text{LTE}(t, y(\xi)) .$$

An inclusion function with  $h = t_{j+1} - t_j$  is then defined with

$$y_{j+1}([t_j, t_{j+1}], [R]) = x_j + [0, h] \sum_{i=1}^s b_i k_i ([t_j, t_{j+1}], y_j) + \text{LTE}([t_j, t_{j+1}], [R])$$
.

Proving the contraction of such scheme, that is

$$[R] \supseteq x_{j+1} ([t_j, t_{j+1}], [R])$$

can prove the existence and the uniqueness of the solution of Equation (1.1) using Theorem 2.1.1. In the sequel of this chapter we present a computable formula of the LTE for any explicit or implicit Runge-Kutta formula.

## Chapter 3

# Experimentation

## 3.1 Vericomp benchmark

### 3.1.1 Disclaimer

This section reports the results of the solution of various problems coming from the VERICOMP benchmark<sup>1</sup>. For each problem, different validated methods of Runge-Kutta of order 4 are applied among: the classical formula of Runge-Kutta (explicit), the Lobatto-3a formula (implicit) and the Lobatto-3c formula (implicit). Moreover, an homemade version of Taylor series, limited to order 5 and using affine arithmetic, is also applied on each problem.

For each problem, we report the following metrics:

- c5t: user time taken to simulate the problem for 1 second.
- c5w: the final diameter of the solution (infinity norm is used).
- c6t: the time to breakdown the method with a maximal limit of 10 seconds.
- c6w: the diameter of the solution a the breakdown time.

After the results listing, a discussion is done.

### 3.1.2 Results

 $<sup>^{1} \</sup>rm http://vericomp.inf.uni-due.de$ 

Drobloma	Iable 3.1: Simulatio	n results of	Problem	1	ofm
r toblems			COW	COL	COW
system_1	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.040	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
system_1	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.050	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
system_1	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.060	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
system_1	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.110	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
system_1	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.160	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
$system_1$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.220	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
system_1	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.270	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
system_1	RK4 (TP8)	0.030	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
$system_1$	RK4 (TP9)	0.020	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
$system_1$	RK4 (TP10)	0.040	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
$system_1$	RK4 (TP11)	0.080	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
$system_1$	RK4 (TP12)	0.100	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
system_1	RK4 (TP13)	0.170	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
system_1	RK4 (TP14)	0.230	5.8147	10.000	9.6379e + 08
system_1	LA3 (TP8)	0.020	5.8323	10.000	$9.8667e \pm 08$
system_1	LA3 (TP9)	0.040	5.8253	10.000	9.774e + 08
system 1	LA3 (TP10)	0.050	5.8212	10.000	9.7205e+08
system 1	LA3 (TP11)	0.070	5.8187	10.000	9.6888e + 08
system 1	LA3 (TP12)	0.100	5.8172	10.000	$9.6695e \pm 08$
system 1	LA3 (TP13)	0.150	5.8163	10.000	$9.6577e \pm 08$
system 1	LA3 (TP14)	0.200	5.8157	10.000	9.6503e + 08
system 1		0.020	5 8753	10.000	1.0460+00
system_1	LC3(1F8) LC3(TP0)	0.020	5.8591	10.000	1.040e+09 1.013o+00
system_1	LC3 (TP10)	0.040	5.8378	10.000	$1.013e \pm 09$ 0.0387a \pm 08
system_1	LC3(1110) LC3(TP11)	0.050	5 8201	10.000	$9.93870 \pm 08$
system_1	LC3 (TF11)	0.080	0.0291 E 0007	10.000	$9.8239e \pm 08$
system_1	LC3 (1F12) LC2 (TD12)	0.120	0.0201 E 0004	10.000	$9.7336 \pm 08$
system_1	LC3 (1F13) LC2 (TP14)	0.100	5.0204	10.000	9.7105e+08
system_1		0.220	0.0100	10.000	9.08356+08
system_1	Riot $(02, 1e-11)$	0m $1.973$ s	10.059	10.000	1.2112e + 10
system_1	Riot $(03, 1e-11)$	0m2.043s	10.059	10.000	1.2111e + 10
system_1	Riot $(04, 1e-11)$	0m2.102s	10.059	10.000	1.2111e + 10
$system_{-1}$	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m2.120s	10.059	10.000	1.2111e + 10
$system_1$	Riot $(06, 1e-11)$	0m2.186s	10.059	10.000	1.2111e + 10
$system_1$	Riot $(07, 1e-11)$	0m2.270s	10.059	10.000	1.2111e + 10
$system_1$	Riot (09, 1e-11)	0m23.421s	10.059	-0.000	1.2111e + 10
$system_1$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0m2.524s	10.059	10.000	1.2111e + 10
$system_1$	Riot $(11, 1e-11)$	0m24.797s	10.059	-0.000	1.2111e + 10
$system_1$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m2.874s	10.059	10.000	1.2111e + 10
system_1	Riot (18, 1e-11)	0m30.750s	10.059	-0.000	1.2111e+10
system_1	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.690s	4.6755	3.469	999.98
$system_1$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.157 \mathrm{s}$	4.7177	3.460	999.19
$system_1$	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.022 s	5.1586	3.375	995.68
$system_1$	Valencia-IVP $(0.25)$	0 m 0.010 s	14.082	2.250	516.32
system_1	VNODE-LP (12. 1e-1)	0m0.005s	6.2022	10.000	1.6902e + 09
system_1	VNODE-LP (13, 1e-1)	0 m 0.008 s	6.9272	10.000	1.7303e + 09
system_1	VNODE-LP (14, 1e-1)	0m0.005s	5.4997	10.000	1.0761e + 0.9
system_1	VNODE-LP (15. 1e-14.1e-14)	0m0.006s	6.6718	10.000	1.2705e+09
system 1	VNODE-LP (20. 1e-14.1e-14)	0m0.002s	6.8406	10.000	1.9442e + 09
system_1	VNODE-LP (25. 1e-14.1e-14)	0m0.006s	4.6708	10.000	4.8518e + 08
system_1	(20, 10-14, 10-14)	0110.0005	1.0100	10.000	1.00106±00

Table 31 C: latio n results of Proble m 1

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_2	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.840	0.23254	10.000	0.00040944
system_2	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	1.160	0.23254	10.000	0.00040873
$system_2$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	1.660	0.23254	10.000	0.00040865
$system_2$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	2.530	0.23254	10.000	0.00040861
$system_2$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	3.930	0.23254	10.000	0.0004086
$system_2$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	6.170	0.23254	10.000	0.0004086
$system_2$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	9.770	0.23254	10.000	0.0004086
system_2	RK4 (TP8)	0.640	0.23255	10.000	0.00040939
$system_2$	RK4 (TP9)	0.890	0.23254	10.000	0.00040875
$system_2$	RK4 (TP10)	1.360	0.23254	10.000	0.00040866
$system_2$	RK4 (TP11)	2.100	0.23254	10.000	0.00040861
$system_2$	RK4 (TP12)	3.240	0.23254	10.000	0.0004086
$system_2$	RK4 (TP13)	5.060	0.23254	10.000	0.0004086
$system_2$	RK4 (TP14)	8.020	0.23254	10.000	0.0004086
system_2	LA3 (TP8)	0.500	0.26111	10.000	0.12375
system_2	LA3 (TP9)	0.730	0.25154	10.000	0.02491
$system_2$	LA3 (TP10)	1.040	0.24447	10.000	0.010686
$system_2$	LA3 (TP11)	1.600	0.24009	10.000	0.0074653
$system_2$	LA3 (TP12)	2.440	0.23734	10.000	0.0039061
$system_2$	LA3 (TP13)	3.850	0.23554	10.000	0.0074742
$system_2$	LA3 $(TP14)$	6.100	0.23442	10.000	0.002063
system_2	LC3 (TP8)	0.480	0.2641	10.000	0.14326
system_2	LC3 (TP9)	0.790	0.25281	10.000	0.014229
$system_2$	LC3 (TP10)	1.130	0.24513	10.000	0.0094465
$system_2$	LC3 (TP11)	1.730	0.24048	10.000	0.011631
$system_2$	LC3 (TP12)	2.700	0.23746	10.000	0.0080097
$system_2$	LC3 (TP13)	4.370	0.23561	10.000	0.0078812
$system_2$	LC3 (TP14)	6.700	0.2345	10.000	0.0017907
system_2	Riot $(03, 1e-11)$	35m43.710s	0.24697	0.000	0
$system_2$	Riot $(05, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.734 s	0.23588	10.000	3.4736e + 08
$system_2$	Riot $(06, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.342 s	0.2417	-0.000	0.2417
$system_2$	Riot $(07, 1e-11)$	0m $9.268$ s	0.2417	-0.000	0.42672
$system_2$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.297 s	0.2417	10.000	0.43053
system_2	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m0.438s	0.2417	10.000	0.69667
system_2	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	0m3.878s	6.372	2.668	999.81
$system_2$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0 m 0.382 s	6.4647	2.655	992.41
system_2	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.046s	7.5087	2.550	986.22
system_2	VNODE-LP (13, 1e-1)	0m0.009s	0.23255	10.000	0.013215
$system_2$	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.004 s	0.23254	10.000	0.013205
$system_2$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.003s	0.23254	10.000	0.013205
$system_2$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m $0.004$ s	0.23254	10.000	0.013205

 Table 3.2: Simulation results of Problem 2

	Table 3.3: Simulation	n results of H	Problem 3		
Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_3	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.060	0.48874	10.000	0.068846
$system_3$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.100	0.48163	10.000	0.065318
$system_3$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.150	0.47729	10.000	0.063275
$system_3$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.200	0.47456	10.000	0.062043
$system_3$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.280	0.47286	10.000	0.06129
$system_3$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.400	0.47179	10.000	0.060825
$system_3$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.000	1	0.000	1
system_3	RK4 (TP8)	0.020	0.47001	10.000	0.060058
system_3	RK4 (TP9)	0.050	0.46999	10.000	0.060051
$system_3$	RK4 (TP10)	0.090	0.46998	10.000	0.060047
$system_3$	RK4 (TP11)	0.070	0.46998	10.000	0.060046
$system_3$	RK4 (TP12)	0.160	0.46998	10.000	0.060046
$system_3$	RK4 (TP13)	0.220	0.46998	10.000	0.060046
$system_3$	RK4 (TP14)	0.310	0.46998	10.000	0.060045
system_3	LA3 (TP8)	0.040	0.4851	10.000	0.068211
$system_3$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.050	0.47954	10.000	0.064964
$system_3$	LA3 (TP10)	0.070	0.476	10.000	0.063061
$system_3$	LA3 (TP11)	0.110	0.47374	10.000	0.061905
$system_3$	LA3 (TP12)	0.150	0.47235	10.000	0.061203
$system_3$	LA3 (TP13)	0.200	0.47147	10.000	0.060771
system_3	LA3 (TP14)	0.280	0.47092	10.000	0.0605
system_3	LC3 (TP8)	0.040	0.49094	10.000	0.071732
$system_3$	LC3 (TP9)	0.060	0.4831	10.000	0.066956
$system_3$	LC3 (TP10)	0.080	0.47815	10.000	0.064212
$system_3$	LC3 (TP11)	0.100	0.4751	10.000	0.062606
$system_3$	LC3 (TP12)	0.150	0.47319	10.000	0.061632
$system_3$	LC3 (TP13)	0.210	0.472	10.000	0.061037
$system_3$	LC3 (TP14)	0.300	0.47125	10.000	0.060666
system_3	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0 m 3.197 s	0.44827	10.000	0.13094
$system_3$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0m12.763s	0.44389	10.000	0.057421
$system_3$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m40.607s	0.44387	10.000	0.055362
system_3	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m2.780s	2.8979	1.191	3.7768
system_3	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.282 \mathrm{s}$	2.9052	1.175	3.694
system_3	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.042 s	2.9872	1.300	5.8585
system_3	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.009s	0.88761	6.361	151.77
system_3	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.007 \mathrm{s}$	0.98714	3.815	218.19
$system_3$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.009 \mathrm{s}$	1.1388	2.597	270.43

	Table 3.4: Simulation	n results of I	Problem 4		
Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_4	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.390	0.070037	9.074	85948
system_4	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.580	0.070009	9.320	62850
system_4	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.830	0.06993	8.853	85022
system_4	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	1.310	0.069876	7.474	67079
$system_4$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	2.050	0.069864	8.570	70345
$system_4$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	3.190	0.069834	8.542	64978
$system_4$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	4.950	0.069829	7.852	73737
system_4	RK4 (TP8)	0.240	0.069785	9.617	78366
$system_4$	RK4 (TP9)	0.320	0.069787	9.191	62143
$system_4$	RK4 (TP10)	0.460	0.069801	8.962	77711
$system_4$	RK4 (TP11)	0.670	0.069802	9.178	81171
$system_4$	RK4 (TP12)	1.020	0.069819	8.626	64394
$system_4$	RK4 (TP13)	1.560	0.069798	8.298	82798
$system_4$	RK4 (TP14)	2.370	0.06983	8.973	65817
system_4	LA3 (TP8)	0.230	0.07624	5.512	83953
$system_4$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.300	0.073963	5.626	82664
$system_4$	LA3 (TP10)	0.390	0.072495	5.722	86373
$system_4$	LA3 (TP11)	0.600	0.071545	5.928	60730
$system_4$	LA3 (TP12)	0.900	0.070933	5.969	81847
$system_4$	LA3 (TP13)	1.360	0.07052	6.916	79535
system_4	LA3 (TP14)	2.130	0.070275	5.983	63808
system_4	LC3 (TP8)	0.200	0.077751	5.516	97508
system_4	LC3 (TP9)	0.280	0.074792	5.726	88836
system_4	LC3 (TP10)	0.380	0.073062	5.658	74922
system_4	LC3 (TP11)	0.570	0.071849	5.816	95737
$system_4$	LC3 (TP12)	0.790	0.071113	6.249	82501
$system_4$	LC3 (TP13)	1.290	0.070648	6.607	67028
$system_4$	LC3 (TP14)	1.980	0.070313	7.398	68298
system_4	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m37.601s	0.06757	0.000	0
$system_4$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0m3.171s	0.06757	10.000	0.18331
system_4	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m9.102s	0.06757	10.000	0.30021
system_4	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	0m5.231s	10.971	1.140	910.02
$system_4$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.679 \mathrm{s}$	13.023	1.105	154.09
system_4	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.063s	3.2425	0.600	3.2425
system_4	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.012s	0.073974	5.055	10185
$system_4$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.014 s	0.075043	4.977	21260
$system_4$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.012 s	0.076265	4.913	30511

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_7	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.000	5.4885e-09	10.000	5.2398e-09
$system_7$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.000	5.6577 e-10	10.000	5.4977e-10
$system_7$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.010	5.8386e-11	10.000	$5.3574e{-}11$
$system_{-}7$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.010	5.9324e-12	10.000	5.5432e-12
$system_7$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.020	6.4071e-13	10.000	5.8407e-13
$system_7$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.030	1.3856e-13	10.000	5.8756e-14
$system_7$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.050	1.2923e-13	10.000	5.9005e-15
system_7	RK4 (TP8)	0.000	6.9766e-09	10.000	6.05e-09
system_7	RK4 (TP9)	0.000	7.3286e-10	10.000	6.93e-10
system_7	RK4 (TP10)	0.000	7.5791e-11	10.000	7.3548e-11
$system_7$	RK4 (TP11)	0.010	7.7225e-12	10.000	7.2765e-12
system_7	RK4 (TP12)	0.010	7.8859e-13	10.000	7.4488e-13
$system_7$	RK4 (TP13)	0.020	1.0791e-13	10.000	7.5389e-14
$system_7$	RK4 (TP14)	0.030	5.6066e-14	10.000	7.6827e-15
system_7	LA3 (TP8)	0.000	5.199e-09	10.000	5.0889e-09
$system_7$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.000	5.4665 e- 10	10.000	4.8474e-10
$system_{-}7$	LA3 (TP10)	0.000	5.792e-11	10.000	5.61e-11
$system_7$	LA3 (TP11)	0.000	5.7909e-12	10.000	5.4252e-12
$system_7$	LA3 (TP12)	0.010	6.0674 e- 13	10.000	5.8379e-13
$system_7$	LA3 (TP13)	0.020	8.2267e-14	10.000	5.7728e-14
$system_{-}7$	LA3 (TP14)	0.030	4.13e-14	10.000	5.8007e-15
system_7	LC3 (TP8)	0.000	5.362e-09	10.000	5.0148e-09
$system_7$	LC3 (TP9)	0.000	5.611e-10	10.000	5.5022e-10
$system_7$	LC3 (TP10)	0.000	5.8373e-11	10.000	5.2443e-11
$system_7$	LC3 (TP11)	0.010	5.8898e-12	10.000	5.6076e-12
$system_7$	LC3 (TP12)	0.010	6.0607 e-13	10.000	5.6303e-13
$system_7$	LC3 (TP13)	0.020	8.4266e-14	10.000	5.7818e-14
$system_{-}7$	LC3 (TP14)	0.040	4.4076e-14	10.000	5.8898e-15
system_7	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0 m 0.073 s	1.8582e-11	1.000	1.8582e-11
$system_{-}7$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.106 s	1.199e-14	10.000	1.061e-12
$system_7$	Riot (15, 1e-11)	0 m 0.189 s	1.7097e-14	0.000	0
system_7	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	0m1.491s	0.00029389	10.000	2.7571
$system_{-}7$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0 m 0.132 s	0.0029465	10.000	27.915
system_7	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	0 m 0.016 s	0.030251	10.000	316.61
system_7	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.005 \mathrm{s}$	1.6653e-16	10.000	4.6756e-19
$system_7$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.003 s	2.7756e-16	10.000	4.0658e-19
$system_7$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.007 s	1.6653e-16	10.000	2.9138e-19

Table 3.5: Simulation results of Problem 7

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_8	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.630	6.2392e-08	10.000	2.6753e-07
system_8	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.900	6.8627 e-09	10.000	7.328e-08
system_8	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	1.340	7.1243e-10	10.000	1.0083e-08
$system_8$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	2.100	7.4399e-11	10.000	1.343e-09
system_8	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	3.380	7.6358e-12	10.000	1.7369e-10
system_8	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	5.260	1.0223e-12	10.000	2.2065e-11
system_8	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	8.140	5.7332e-13	10.000	3.1279e-12
system_8	RK4 (TP8)	0.510	8.0492e-08	10.000	4.8703e-07
system_8	RK4 (TP9)	0.760	8.8927e-09	10.000	9.2522e-08
system_8	RK4 (TP10)	1.140	9.2505e-10	10.000	1.1545e-08
system_8	RK4 (TP11)	1.810	9.6979e-11	10.000	1.3574e-09
system_8	RK4 (TP12)	2.810	9.8163e-12	10.000	1.8886e-10
system_8	RK4 (TP13)	4.420	1.0665e-12	10.000	2.5177e-11
system_8	RK4 (TP14)	6.910	2.8466e-13	10.000	3.3497e-12
system_8	LA3 (TP8)	0.410	6.3861e-08	10.000	1.9173e-06
system_8	LA3 (TP9)	0.590	6.8303e-09	10.000	2.1645e-07
system_8	LA3 (TP10)	0.870	7.1757e-10	10.000	2.0083e-08
system_8	LA3(TP11)	1.320	7.3416e-11	10.000	1.9068e-09
system_8	LA3 $(TP12)$	2.100	7.5049e-12	10.000	2.0342e-10
system_8	LA3 (TP13)	3.280	8.1635e-13	10.000	2.2924e-11
system_8	LA3 (TP14)	5.150	2.1383e-13	10.000	2.7943e-12
system_8	LC3 (TP8)	0.430	6.3703e-08	10.000	3.2935e-06
system_8	LC3 (TP9)	0.630	6.9067 e-09	10.000	2.6899e-07
system_8	LC3 (TP10)	0.950	7.17e-10	10.000	2.3447e-08
system_8	LC3 (TP11)	1.460	7.3931e-11	10.000	2.107e-09
system_8	LC3 (TP12)	2.300	7.5591e-12	10.000	2.1838e-10
system_8	LC3 (TP13)	3.630	8.2462e-13	10.000	2.4242e-11
system_8	LC3 (TP14)	5.610	2.2604 e- 13	10.000	2.9331e-12
system_8	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m0.296s	9.0226e-11	10.000	8.8003e-05
system_8	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.207 s	1.299e-14	10.000	1.3371e-10
system_8	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m0.253s	1.8319e-14	10.000	8.3085e-15
system_8	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m4.114s	0.0026387	5.269	999.48
$system_8$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m0.402s	0.026723	4.485	996.18
	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	0 m 0.048 s	0.30489	3.575	963.25
system_8	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.006s	2.1094e-15	10.000	2.3327e-16
system_8	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.005s	1.1102e-15	10.000	1.0988e-16
system_8	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.003s	8.8818e-16	10.000	8.5489e-17

 Table 3.6: Simulation results of Problem 8

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_10	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.010	2.1154e-08	10.000	2.5347e-08
system_10	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.020	2.2594e-09	10.000	2.6471e-09
system_10	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.030	2.3767e-10	10.000	2.7776e-10
$system_10$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.050	2.4321e-11	10.000	2.8726e-11
$system_{-}10$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.090	2.5682e-12	10.000	2.9864 e- 12
$system_{-10}$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.140	3.8791e-13	10.000	4.0901e-13
$system_10$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.230	2.4336e-13	10.000	2.105e-13
system_10	RK4 (TP8)	0.000	4.9113e-08	10.000	6.3159e-08
$system_{-}10$	RK4 (TP9)	0.010	5.258e-09	10.000	6.5608e-09
$system_{10}$	RK4 (TP10)	0.010	5.1864 e-10	10.000	6.569e-10
$system_10$	RK4 (TP11)	0.010	4.895e-11	10.000	6.0076e-11
$system_{-10}$	RK4 (TP12)	0.020	4.5011e-12	10.000	5.4561e-12
$system_{-10}$	RK4 (TP13)	0.040	4.3721e-13	10.000	5.1514e-13
$system_10$	RK4 (TP14)	0.060	7.1054e-14	10.000	7.272e-14
system_10	LA3 (TP8)	0.000	1.9603e-08	10.000	2.3468e-08
system_10	LA3 (TP9)	0.010	2.1781e-09	10.000	2.5435e-09
system_10	LA3 (TP10)	0.010	2.278e-10	10.000	2.705e-10
system_10	LA3 (TP11)	0.020	2.4233e-11	10.000	2.8082e-11
system_10	LA3 (TP12)	0.040	2.478e-12	10.000	2.9076e-12
system_10	LA3 (TP13)	0.060	2.7711e-13	10.000	3.1497e-13
$system_{-}10$	LA3 (TP14)	0.090	6.8168e-14	10.000	6.5503 e- 14
system_10	LC3 (TP8)	0.000	2.6295e-08	10.000	3.4923e-08
system_10	LC3 (TP9)	0.010	3.0011e-09	10.000	3.521e-09
$system_10$	LC3 (TP10)	0.010	2.8753e-10	10.000	3.508e-10
system_10	LC3 (TP11)	0.020	2.8342e-11	10.000	3.4456e-11
$system_{-}10$	LC3 (TP12)	0.030	2.7964e-12	10.000	3.3326e-12
$system_10$	LC3 (TP13)	0.050	2.9554e-13	10.000	3.4062e-13
system_10	LC3 (TP14)	0.070	6.0396e-14	10.000	5.9508e-14
system_10	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m0.148s	3.2904e-11	10.000	4.4509e-11
$system_10$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0m0.154s	2.276e-14	10.000	2.4266e-12
$system_{-10}$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.235 s	2.1427e-14	10.000	2.0872e-14
system_10	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.280s	0.00015473	10.000	0.0022794
$system_10$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0 m 0.111 s	0.0015521	10.000	0.022876
system_10	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.014s	0.016012	10.000	0.23397
system_10	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.007s	1.6653e-15	10.000	1.4988e-15
$system_{-10}$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.006 s	1.2212e-15	10.000	1.1102e-15
system_10	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.004 s	9.992e-16	10.000	1.1102e-15

 Table 3.7: Simulation results of Problem 10

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_11	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.260	1.5364e-07	10.000	0.00011249
system_11	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.380	1.6536e-08	10.000	0.0001409
system_11	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.600	1.6928e-09	10.000	6.8266e-05
system_11	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.950	1.7436e-10	10.000	7.4563e-06
system_11	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1.490	1.8469e-11	10.000	7.9824e-07
system_11	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	2.280	2.9283e-12	10.000	1.0116e-07
system_11	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	3.610	1.9837e-12	10.000	3.6623 e-08
system_11	RK4 (TP8)	0.160	1.4924e-07	10.000	9.9104e-05
system_11	RK4 (TP9)	0.240	1.6173e-08	10.000	0.00010979
system_11	RK4 (TP10)	0.370	1.6512e-09	10.000	3.7122e-05
system_11	RK4 (TP11)	0.560	1.6831e-10	10.000	4.4121e-06
system_11	RK4 (TP12)	0.910	1.7229e-11	10.000	4.5013e-07
$system_{-11}$	RK4 (TP13)	1.390	2.037e-12	10.000	5.0184 e-08
$system_{11}$	RK4 (TP14)	2.130	6.8701e-13	10.000	1.2723e-08
system_11	LA3 (TP8)	0.150	1.3016e-07	10.000	0.00027567
$system_{-11}$	LA3 (TP9)	0.210	1.3811e-08	10.000	5.0329e-05
$system_{-11}$	LA3 (TP10)	0.320	1.5537 e-09	10.000	3.3377e-05
$system_{-11}$	LA3 (TP11)	0.500	1.6718e-10	10.000	4.3944e-06
system_11	LA3 (TP12)	0.790	1.5877e-11	10.000	4.2412e-07
$system_{-11}$	LA3 (TP13)	1.240	1.8541e-12	10.000	4.6319e-08
$system_{-11}$	LA3 (TP14)	1.890	5.9908e-13	10.000	1.1111e-08
system_11	LC3 (TP8)	0.140	1.2294e-07	10.000	0.00022257
$system_{-11}$	LC3 (TP9)	0.200	1.2053e-08	10.000	5.6171e-05
$system_{-11}$	LC3 (TP10)	0.310	1.1696e-09	10.000	4.3e-05
system_11	LC3 (TP11)	0.470	1.1365e-10	10.000	4.8341e-06
$system_{-11}$	LC3 (TP12)	0.740	1.1288e-11	10.000	4.7542e-07
$system_11$	LC3 (TP13)	1.160	1.3585e-12	10.000	5.0885e-08
system_11	LC3 (TP14)	1.770	5.1648e-13	10.000	1.1353e-08
system_11	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0 m 0.593 s	3.3225e-10	10.000	3.6967e-08
$system_{-11}$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0 m 0.299 s	6.505e-12	10.000	3.2633e-09
system_11	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.436 s	3.5971e-14	10.000	5.0365e-10
system_11	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.732s	0.011564	4.825	986.14
$system_11$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0 m 0.252 s	0.11774	2.902	1.5629
system_11	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.094 s	1.5234	1.050	1.7124
system_11	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.015s	1.3101e-14	10.000	2.7778e-12
system_11	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.013s	9.1038e-15	10.000	1.9398e-12
$system_{-11}$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.011 s	6.8834 e- 15	10.000	2.2919e-12

 Table 3.8: Simulation results of Problem 11

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_13	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.100	6.0623e-08	10.000	1.1392e-05
$system_13$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.160	0.160 6.3074e-09 1		6.6022 e-06
$system_13$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.260	6.6362 e- 10	10.000	6.3809e-06
$system_13$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.410	6.9288e-11	10.000	5.969e-06
$system_13$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.630	8.6562e-12	10.000	5.8669e-06
$system_13$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.990	3.336e-12	10.000	9.4036e-06
system_13	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	1.570	4.281e-12	10.000	2.4348e-05
system_13	RK4 (TP8)	0.070	7.7716e-08	10.000	1.1601e-05
$system_13$	RK4 (TP9)	0.120	8.0154e-09	10.000	2.9548e-06
$system_13$	RK4 (TP10)	0.180	8.5062e-10	10.000	3.2373e-06
$system_13$	RK4 (TP11)	0.290	8.8824e-11	10.000	4.3262e-06
$system_13$	RK4 (TP12)	0.440	9.7406e-12	10.000	5.0541 e-06
$system_13$	RK4 (TP13)	0.690	1.9238e-12	10.000	4.0228e-06
system_13	RK4 (TP14)	1.100	1.6866e-12	10.000	1.052 e- 05
system_13	LA3 (TP8)	0.060	5.6343e-08	10.000	2.5172e-05
$system_13$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.090	6.0874 e- 09	10.000	1.0084 e-05
$system_13$	LA3 (TP10)	0.140	6.5448e-10	10.000	5.8655e-06
$system_13$	LA3 (TP11)	0.220	6.8319e-11	10.000	5.7753e-06
$system_13$	LA3 (TP12)	0.350	7.3896e-12	10.000	4.6608e-06
$system_13$	LA3 (TP13)	0.530	1.4424e-12	10.000	3.0252e-06
system_13	LA3 (TP14)	0.830	1.2559e-12	10.000	3.7585e-06
system_13	LC3 (TP8)	0.060	5.7775e-08	10.000	3.7157e-05
$system_13$	LC3 (TP9)	0.100	6.2167 e-09	10.000	1.62e-05
$system_13$	LC3 (TP10)	0.150	6.5544 e- 10	10.000	7.0966e-06
$system_13$	LC3 (TP11)	0.250	6.8894e-11	10.000	7.2423e-06
$system_13$	LC3 (TP12)	0.390	7.4376e-12	10.000	6.7877e-06
$system_13$	LC3 (TP13)	0.590	1.5206e-12	10.000	1.347e-05
system_13	LC3 (TP14)	0.920	1.3589e-12	10.000	9.5534e-06
system_13	Riot (05, 1e-11)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.182 \mathrm{s}$	2.3274e-10	10.000	2.2851e-09
$system_13$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.119 \mathrm{s}$	3.5083e-14	10.000	1.236e-10
system_13	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m0.153s	1.1813e-13	10.000	5.4101e-12
system_13	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.141s	0.0044966	7.088	999.86
$system_13$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.099 \mathrm{s}$	0.045269	5.923	999.03
system_13	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.017 s	0.48459	4.650	990.84
system_13	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.004s	6.2172e-15	10.000	2.4802e-13
$system_13$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.005 \mathrm{s}$	3.9968e-15	10.000	2.3404e-13
system_13	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.005 \mathrm{s}$	1.7764e-15	10.000	1.1502e-13

Table 3.9: Simulation results of Problem 13

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_14	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.180	6.7792e-07	10.000	3.6732e + 06
system_14	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.260	6.9365e-08	10.000	3.7168e + 05
system_14	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.420	6.9965e-09	10.000	37470
system_14	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.640	7.1965e-10	10.000	3831
system_14	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1.000	9.1987e-11	10.000	487.39
$system_14$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	1.570	4.0941e-11	10.000	212.59
system_14	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	2.460	5.42e-11	10.000	280.91
system_14	RK4 (TP8)	0.140	8.8443e-07	10.000	4.8078e + 06
$system_14$	RK4 (TP9)	0.210	9.0238e-08	10.000	4.8664e + 05
$system_14$	RK4 (TP10)	0.330	9.1356e-09	10.000	49032
$system_14$	RK4 (TP11)	0.520	9.2979e-10	10.000	4954.2
$system_14$	RK4 (TP12)	0.830	1.0077e-10	10.000	536.16
$system_14$	RK4 (TP13)	1.250	2.2155e-11	10.000	116.14
system_14	RK4 (TP14)	1.980	2.1288e-11	10.000	110.34
system_14	LA3 (TP8)	0.110	6.5762 e- 07	10.000	3.6344e + 06
$system_14$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.160	6.8229e-08	10.000	3.6887e + 05
$system_14$	LA3 (TP10)	0.250	6.9439e-09	10.000	37284
$system_14$	LA3 (TP11)	0.390	7.0554e-10	10.000	3768.7
$system_14$	LA3 (TP12)	0.630	7.6625e-11	10.000	407.83
$system_14$	LA3 (TP13)	0.960	1.6641e-11	10.000	87.117
system_14	LA3 (TP14)	1.500	1.5774e-11	10.000	81.805
system_14	LC3 (TP8)	0.120	6.6269e-07	10.000	3.6549e + 06
$system_14$	LC3 (TP9)	0.180	6.8267 e-08	10.000	3.7023e + 05
$system_14$	LC3 (TP10)	0.280	7.0143e-09	10.000	37343
$system_14$	LC3 (TP11)	0.440	7.0725e-10	10.000	3774.1
$system_14$	LC3 (TP12)	0.700	7.7222e-11	10.000	410.63
$system_14$	LC3 (TP13)	1.150	1.7465e-11	10.000	91.328
system_14	LC3 (TP14)	1.660	1.7025e-11	10.000	88.352
system_14	Riot (03, 1e-11)	0m2.181s	1.0466e-05	-0.000	1.0466e-05
$system_14$	Riot (04, 1e-11)	0m1.239s	2.1448e-08	-0.000	2.1448e-08
$system_14$	Riot $(05, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.348 s	7.1298e-09	8.208	2.2565e + 261
$system_14$	Riot $(06, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.194 s	2.2129e-09	-0.000	2.2129e-09
$system_14$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0m0.126s	4.0075e-12	1.000	4.0075e-12
system_14	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m0.175s	1.2037e-11	10.000	1.5302e + 136
system_14	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.778s	0.090273	3.670	999.58
$system_14$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.165 \mathrm{s}$	0.90282	2.973	998.44
system_14	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.021s	9.1235	2.275	967.86
system_14	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.008s	1.9185e-13	10.000	1.0508
$system_14$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.006 \mathrm{s}$	2.2737e-13	10.000	1.25
system_14	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.005s	9.2371e-14	10.000	0.48828

 Table 3.10: Simulation results of Problem 14

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_15	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.110	0.9093	10.000	0.91298
$system_{-}15$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.160	0.9093	10.000	0.91296
$system_15$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.250	0.9093	10.000	0.91296
$system_15$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.410	0.9093	10.000	0.91295
$system_{-}15$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.650	0.9093	10.000	0.91297
$system_{-}15$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	1.030	0.9093	10.000	0.91297
$system_{15}$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	1.590	0.9093	10.000	0.91296
system_15	RK4 (TP8)	0.070	0.9093	10.000	0.91299
$system_15$	RK4 (TP9)	0.110	0.9093	10.000	0.91296
$system_15$	RK4 (TP10)	0.180	0.9093	10.000	0.91295
$system_15$	RK4 (TP11)	0.280	0.9093	10.000	0.91296
$system_{-}15$	RK4 (TP12)	0.450	0.9093	10.000	0.91295
$system_15$	RK4 (TP13)	0.710	0.9093	10.000	0.91296
$system_{15}$	RK4 (TP14)	1.090	0.9093	10.000	0.91295
system_15	LA3 (TP8)	0.060	1.004	10.000	41.485
$system_15$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.090	0.96902	10.000	25.255
$system_15$	LA3 (TP10)	0.140	0.94981	10.000	9.715
$system_{-}15$	LA3 (TP11)	0.220	0.93481	10.000	6.4485
$system_{-}15$	LA3 (TP12)	0.350	0.926	10.000	3.4445
$system_15$	LA3 (TP13)	0.550	0.92025	10.000	1.6699
system_15	LA3 $(TP14)$	0.870	0.91549	10.000	2.3746
system_15	LC3 (TP8)	0.060	1.0058	10.000	63.011
$system_15$	LC3 (TP9)	0.100	0.97512	10.000	22.843
$system_{-}15$	LC3 (TP10)	0.160	0.95246	10.000	16.319
$system_{-}15$	LC3 (TP11)	0.240	0.93554	10.000	8.0286
$system_{-}15$	LC3 (TP12)	0.460	0.92607	10.000	4.1775
$system_15$	LC3 (TP13)	0.620	0.92054	10.000	1.9364
$system_{-}15$	LC3 (TP14)	0.970	0.91552	10.000	1.4643
system_15	Riot $(05, 1e-11)$	0m0.360s	0.92101	10.000	0.91295
$system_{-}15$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0m0.155s	0.93965	10.000	0.91295
system_15	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m0.202s	0.93965	10.000	0.91295
system_15	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	0m0.976s	3.6323	3.799	999.63
$system_{-}15$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0 m 0.088 s	3.6817	3.785	999.37
$system_{-}15$	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.014s	4.2116	3.650	997.82
system_15	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.004s	0.9093	10.000	8.3669
$system_{-}15$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.006s	0.9093	10.000	8.3669
$system_{-}15$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.003 s	0.9093	10.000	8.3669

Table 3.11: Simulation results of Problem 15

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_16	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.190	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
system_16	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.290	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
$system_16$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.430	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
$system_16$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.660	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
$system_16$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1.040	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
$system_16$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	1.620	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
system_16	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	2.530	5.0338	10.000	$2.6716e{+}12$
system_16	RK4 (TP8)	0.140	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
$system_{16}$	RK4 (TP9)	0.210	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
$system_16$	RK4 (TP10)	0.330	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
$system_16$	RK4 (TP11)	0.530	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
$system_{-}16$	RK4 (TP12)	0.840	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
$system_16$	RK4 (TP13)	1.270	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
$system_{-16}$	RK4 (TP14)	1.960	5.0338	10.000	$2.6716e{+}12$
system_16	LA3 (TP8)	0.110	5.0368	10.000	2.6879e + 12
$system_16$	LA3 (TP9)	0.170	5.035	10.000	2.678e + 12
$system_16$	LA3 (TP10)	0.250	5.0343	10.000	2.6742e + 12
$system_16$	LA3 (TP11)	0.410	5.034	10.000	2.6726e + 12
$system_16$	LA3 (TP12)	0.670	5.0339	10.000	2.672e + 12
$system_16$	LA3 (TP13)	1.030	5.0339	10.000	2.6718e + 12
$system_{-}16$	LA3 (TP14)	1.570	5.0338	10.000	$2.6717e{+}12$
system_16	LC3 (TP8)	0.120	5.0391	10.000	2.7006e+12
$system_16$	LC3 (TP9)	0.190	5.0359	10.000	$2.6828e{+}12$
$system_16$	LC3 (TP10)	0.280	5.0347	10.000	2.676e + 12
$system_{-}16$	LC3 (TP11)	0.450	5.0342	10.000	$2.6734e{+}12$
$system_16$	LC3 (TP12)	0.720	5.034	10.000	$2.6723e{+}12$
$system_{-}16$	LC3 (TP13)	1.140	5.0339	10.000	$2.6719e{+}12$
system_16	LC3 (TP14)	1.740	5.0339	10.000	2.6717e + 12
system_16	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0 m 0.607 s	5.0338	-0.000	3.4e + 150
$system_{-}16$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.160 s	5.0338	-0.000	3.3409e + 248
system_16	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.204 s	5.0338	-0.000	$1.3096e{+}136$
system_16	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.641s	5.1241	2.748	999.74
$system_16$	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.155 \mathrm{s}$	5.9373	2.635	999.64
system_16	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.022 s	14.218	2.200	938.36
system_16	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.004s	5.0338	10.000	2.6716e + 12
$system_{-16}$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.004 s	5.0338	10.000	$2.6716e{+}12$
$system_{-}16$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.005 \mathrm{s}$	5.0338	10.000	$2.6716e{+}12$

Table 3.12: Simulation results of Problem 16

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_17	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.020	2.5429e-08 10.000		2.3333e-08
system_17	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.030	0.030 2.695e-09 10.		2.4776e-09
$system_17$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.050	2.7876e-10	10.000	2.6014e-10
$system_17$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.080	2.859e-11	10.000	2.673e-11
$system_17$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.130	3.0154e-12	10.000	2.7828e-12
$system_17$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.200	4.6429e-13	10.000	3.6043e-13
$system_17$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.000	0	0.000	0
system_17	RK4 (TP8)	0.010	5.9725e-08	10.000	5.6092e-08
system_17	RK4 (TP9)	0.010	6.7171e-09	10.000	6.2806e-09
system_17	RK4 (TP10)	0.010	6.4465 e- 10	10.000	6.282e-10
$system_17$	RK4 (TP11)	0.020	5.8932e-11	10.000	5.8241e-11
$system_17$	RK4 (TP12)	0.040	5.3604 e- 12	10.000	5.1803e-12
$system_17$	RK4 (TP13)	0.060	5.1581e-13	10.000	4.8617e-13
$system_17$	RK4 (TP14)	0.090	8.5709e-14	10.000	6.3449e-14
system_17	LA3 (TP8)	0.010	2.395e-08	10.000	2.1498e-08
system_17	LA3 (TP9)	0.010	2.5485e-09	10.000	2.4479e-09
system_17	LA3 (TP10)	0.020	2.7709e-10	10.000	2.569e-10
system_17	LA3 (TP11)	0.030	2.8204e-11	10.000	2.6542e-11
system_17	LA3 (TP12)	0.050	2.9106e-12	10.000	2.7096e-12
system_17	LA3 (TP13)	0.080	3.2618e-13	10.000	2.916e-13
$system_17$	LA3 (TP14)	0.130	8.2823e-14	10.000	5.429e-14
system_17	LC3 (TP8)	0.010	3.2526e-08	10.000	3.1401e-08
system_17	LC3 (TP9)	0.010	3.4509e-09	10.000	3.3385e-09
system_17	LC3 (TP10)	0.020	3.6045e-10	10.000	3.4087e-10
system_17	LC3 (TP11)	0.030	3.4278e-11	10.000	3.2206e-11
system_17	LC3 (TP12)	0.040	3.2934e-12	10.000	3.1542e-12
system_17	LC3 (TP13)	0.070	3.4661e-13	10.000	3.1558e-13
$system_17$	LC3 (TP14)	0.110	7.2831e-14	10.000	5.0293e-14
system_17	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m0.209s	4.0267e-11	-0.000	4.3024e-11
$system_17$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0m0.153s	3.8114e-13	-0.000	4.3851e-12
$system_17$	Riot (15, 1e-11)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.249 \mathrm{s}$	1.7208e-14	-0.000	2.2093e-14
system_17	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.248s	0.00062591	10.000	0.012037
system_17	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0 m 0.108 s	0.0062999	10.000	0.12039
$system_17$	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	0m0.015s	0.06731	9.275	1.1674
system_17	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.007s	2.1094e-15	10.000	1.0825e-15
system_17	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.009 s	1.1102e-15	10.000	9.1593e-16
system_17	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.010 s	1.2212e-15	10.000	5.8287 e-16

 Table 3.13: Simulation results of Problem 17

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_18	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.080	2.3166	1.247	80.315
system_18	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.120	2.2033	1.271	63.866
$system_18$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.190	2.136	1.286	50.824
$system_18$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.280	2.0957	1.296	40.262
$system_18$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.440	2.0711	1.302	31.806
$system_18$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.700	2.0558	1.305	25.183
$system_18$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.000	1	0.000	1
system_18	RK4 (TP8)	0.040	2.032	1.315	92.9
system_18	RK4 (TP9)	0.060	2.031	1.315	73.775
system_18	RK4 (TP10)	0.090	2.0305	1.315	58.317
$system_18$	RK4 (TP11)	0.140	2.0303	1.315	46.315
$system_18$	RK4 (TP12)	0.210	2.0303	1.314	36.66
$system_18$	RK4 (TP13)	0.330	2.0302	1.313	29.062
$system_{18}$	RK4 (TP14)	0.520	2.0302	1.312	22.972
system_18	LA3 (TP8)	0.040	2.634	1.188	103.56
$system_18$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.050	2.3653	1.232	82.448
$system_18$	LA3 (TP10)	0.080	2.2265	1.262	64.848
system_18	LA3 (TP11)	0.130	2.1482	1.281	51.565
$system_18$	LA3 (TP12)	0.180	2.1026	1.293	40.939
$system_18$	LA3 (TP13)	0.280	2.0752	1.300	32.465
$system_18$	LA3 $(TP14)$	0.450	2.0583	1.304	25.656
system_18	LC3 (TP8)	0.040	3.3388	1.118	99.411
$system_18$	LC3 (TP9)	0.060	2.6504	1.185	79.498
$system_18$	LC3 (TP10)	0.090	2.3694	1.230	63.574
system_18	LC3 (TP11)	0.140	2.227	1.261	50.594
$system_18$	LC3 (TP12)	0.200	2.1486	1.280	39.994
$system_18$	LC3 (TP13)	0.310	2.1029	1.292	31.772
$system_18$	LC3 (TP14)	0.490	2.0753	1.299	25.11
system_18	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m3.154s	0.89498	-0.000	5.6525
$system_18$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0m12.527s	0.7695	-0.000	13.258
$system_18$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m46.473s	0.76476	-0.000	12.845
system_18	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m3.609s	2.5351	1.309	62.299
system_18	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0 m 0.385 s	2.4744	0.983	2.4744
system_18	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.046 s	2.1873	0.875	2.1873
system_18	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.008s	1.952	1.352	106.72
system_18	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.013s	4.4163	1.079	154.57
$system_18$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.032 s	189.75	0.944	189.75

Table 3.14: Simulation results of Problem 18

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_19	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.060	0.66694	10.000	0.18508
system_19	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.090	0.65131	10.000	0.15696
$system_19$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.130	0.64145	10.000	0.14286
$system_19$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.210	0.63542	10.000	0.13516
$system_19$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.330	0.63167	10.000	0.13071
$system_19$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.520	0.62932	10.000	0.12803
system_19	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.000	1	0.000	1
system_19	RK4 (TP8)	0.030	0.62552	10.000	0.12388
$system_19$	RK4 (TP9)	0.040	0.62541	10.000	0.12377
$system_19$	RK4 (TP10)	0.070	0.62536	10.000	0.12372
$system_19$	RK4 (TP11)	0.100	0.62534	10.000	0.1237
$system_19$	RK4 (TP12)	0.150	0.62533	10.000	0.12369
$system_{19}$	RK4 (TP13)	0.240	0.62533	10.000	0.12369
system_19	RK4 (TP14)	0.380	0.62533	10.000	0.12369
system_19	LA3 (TP8)	0.030	0.67072	10.000	0.19253
$system_19$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.040	0.65354	10.000	0.15985
$system_19$	LA3 (TP10)	0.060	0.64288	10.000	0.14432
$system_19$	LA3 (TP11)	0.090	0.63625	10.000	0.13591
$system_{-}19$	LA3 $(TP12)$	0.130	0.63216	10.000	0.13112
$system_{19}$	LA3 $(TP13)$	0.210	0.62963	10.000	0.12827
system_19	LA3 (TP14)	0.330	0.62803	10.000	0.12654
system_19	LC3 (TP8)	0.030	0.69287	10.000	0.25335
$system_{19}$	LC3 (TP9)	0.040	0.66627	10.000	0.18198
$system_19$	LC3 (TP10)	0.060	0.65057	10.000	0.15488
$system_{19}$	LC3 (TP11)	0.090	0.6409	10.000	0.14156
$system_19$	LC3 (TP12)	0.140	0.63504	10.000	0.13436
$system_19$	LC3 (TP13)	0.220	0.63142	10.000	0.13021
system_19	LC3 (TP14)	0.350	0.62915	10.000	0.12771
system_19	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0 m 3.192 s	0.44827	-0.000	0.13094
$system_19$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0m12.762s	0.44389	-0.000	0.057421
system_19	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m40.498s	0.44387	-0.000	0.055362
system_19	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	0m2.772s	2.8979	1.191	3.7768
$system_19$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.287 \mathrm{s}$	2.9052	1.175	3.694
system_19	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.041 s	2.9872	1.300	5.8585
system_19	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.008s	0.88761	6.361	151.77
system_19	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.010 s	0.98714	3.815	218.19
$system_19$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.008 \mathrm{s}$	1.1388	2.597	270.43

Table 3.15: Simulation results of Problem 19

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_20	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.040	0.0052454	10.000	5.7321e-09
system_20	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.060	0.0052389  10.000		5.9775e-10
system_20	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.100	0.005235	10.000	1.3097e-10
system_20	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.160	0.0052325	10.000	7.6695e-11
system_20	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.000	0.2	0.000	0.2
system_20	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.000	0.2	0.000	0.2
$system_20$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.000	0.2	0.000	0.2
system_20	RK4 (TP8)	0.010	0.0052285	10.000	9.8518e-09
system_20	RK4 (TP9)	0.020	0.0052284	10.000	1.2709e-09
system_20	RK4 (TP10)	0.040	0.0052284	10.000	1.5888e-10
system_20	RK4 (TP11)	0.060	0.0052284	10.000	8.1081e-11
system_20	RK4 (TP12)	0.100	0.0052284	10.000	$6.8557 e{-11}$
$system_20$	RK4 (TP13)	0.000	0.2	0.000	0.2
$system_20$	RK4 (TP14)	0.000	0.2	0.000	0.2
system_20	LA3 (TP8)	0.010	0.0052955	10.000	2.5286e-07
system_20	LA3 (TP9)	0.030	0.0052591	10.000	8.833e-09
system_20	LA3 (TP10)	0.040	0.0052431	10.000	8.3868e-10
system_20	LA3 (TP11)	0.060	0.0052358	10.000	1.9991e-10
system_20	LA3 (TP12)	0.100	0.0052323	10.000	1.02e-10
$system_20$	LA3 (TP13)	0.000	0.2	0.000	0.2
$system_20$	LA3 (TP14)	0.000	0.2	0.000	0.2
system_20	LC3 (TP8)	0.010	0.0053599	10.000	9.8946e-07
system_20	LC3 (TP9)	0.020	0.0052888	10.000	5.6014 e-08
$system_20$	LC3 (TP10)	0.030	0.005257	10.000	4.6691e-09
$system_20$	LC3 (TP11)	0.050	0.0052427	10.000	2.7076e-10
$system_20$	LC3 (TP12)	0.090	0.0052359	10.000	1.1279e-10
$system_20$	LC3 (TP13)	0.140	0.0052325	10.000	8.2115e-11
system_20	LC3 (TP14)	0.210	0.0052308	10.000	7.2424e-11
system_20	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m2.343s	0.0051337	-0.000	6.9818e-11
system_20	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0m0.506s	0.0051337	-0.000	6.6049e-11
$system_20$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m1.011s	0.0051337	-0.000	6.6032 e- 11
system_20	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m2.020s	5.7609	1.371	895.46
system_20	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0 m 0.244 s	6.1709	1.123	8.035
system_20	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	0 m 0.030 s	7.1228	0.750	7.1228
system_20	VNODE-LP (15. 1e-14.1e-14)	0m0.003s	0.0053622	10.000	6.9172e-11
system_20	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.005 s	0.0053887	10.000	6.957e-11
system_20	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.007 s	0.0054356	10.000	7.0287e-11

 Table 3.16: Simulation results of Problem 20

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_21	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.030	3.0733e-08 10.000		6.8721e-09
system_21	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.050	) 3.2389e-09 10.00		1.1268e-09
system_21	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.070	3.3001e-10	10.000	9.6522e-11
$system_21$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.110	3.3614e-11	10.000	8.5003e-12
system_21	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.000	0	0.000	0
system_21	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.000	0	0.000	0
$system_21$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.000	0	0.000	0
system_21	RK4 (TP8)	0.010	3.3937e-08	10.000	7.4364e-09
system_21	RK4 (TP9)	0.020	3.4224e-09	10.000	1.0865e-09
system_21	RK4 (TP10)	0.030	3.4031e-10	10.000	7.6861e-11
$system_21$	RK4 (TP11)	0.050	3.39e-11	10.000	1.1213e-11
system_21	RK4 (TP12)	0.090	3.4204 e- 12	10.000	1.3034e-12
$system_21$	RK4 (TP13)	0.000	0	0.000	0
system_21	RK4 (TP14)	0.000	0	0.000	0
system_21	LA3 (TP8)	0.010	2.6881e-08	8.634	3.8833e-08
$system_21$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.020	2.8558e-09	10.000	1.9854 e - 09
$system_21$	LA3 (TP10)	0.030	2.9342e-10	10.000	1.4172e-10
$system_21$	LA3 (TP11)	0.060	2.9966e-11	10.000	1.0167e-11
$system_21$	LA3 (TP12)	0.090	3.0833e-12	10.000	8.5887e-13
$system_21$	LA3 (TP13)	0.140	3.908e-13	10.000	9.9032e-14
system_21	LA3 (TP14)	0.000	0	0.000	0
system_21	LC3 (TP8)	0.010	3.0304e-08	10.000	5.0799e-07
$system_21$	LC3 (TP9)	0.020	2.7984 e - 09	10.000	3.9342e-08
$system_21$	LC3 (TP10)	0.030	2.6206e-10	10.000	2.426e-10
system_21	LC3 (TP11)	0.050	2.5378e-11	10.000	1.213e-11
$system_21$	LC3 (TP12)	0.070	2.458e-12	10.000	1.243e-12
$system_21$	LC3 (TP13)	0.120	3.082e-13	10.000	1.0303e-13
system_21	LC3 (TP14)	0.190	1.39e-13	10.000	1.6875e-14
system_21	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0 m 0.346 s	4.0035e-11	-0.000	2.075e-12
$system_21$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.168 s	4.4511e-12	-0.000	7.0832e-14
system_21	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.211 s	2.1094e-14	-0.000	2.1094e-14
system_21	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.174s	0.073251	3.678	900.35
$system_21$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.095 \mathrm{s}$	0.74627	2.210	6.0933
system_21	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.032 s	6.312	0.975	6.312
system_21	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.008s	3.9968e-15	10.000	1.1102e-15
$system_21$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.007 \mathrm{s}$	2.8866e-15	10.000	1.1102e-15
system_21	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.006 s	1.9984e-15	10.000	1.1102e-15

 Table 3.17: Simulation results of Problem 21
Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_22	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.060	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
$system_22$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.080	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
$system_22$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.120	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
$system_22$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.170	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
$system_22$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.270	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
$system_22$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.440	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
$system_22$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.690	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
system_22	RK4 (TP8)	0.040	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
system_22	RK4 (TP9)	0.060	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
$system_22$	RK4 (TP10)	0.090	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
$system_22$	RK4 (TP11)	0.130	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
$system_22$	RK4 (TP12)	0.210	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
$system_22$	RK4 (TP13)	0.330	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
$system_22$	RK4 (TP14)	0.520	1.3818	10.000	1.3831
system_22	LA3 (TP8)	0.030	1.4465	10.000	5.1497
system_22	LA3 (TP9)	0.040	1.4248	10.000	3.046
system_22	LA3 (TP10)	0.070	1.4096	10.000	3.5315
system_22	LA3 (TP11)	0.100	1.4	10.000	2.4605
$system_22$	LA3 (TP12)	0.170	1.3935	10.000	2.5072
$system_22$	LA3 (TP13)	0.250	1.3891	10.000	1.8036
$system_22$	LA3 $(TP14)$	0.410	1.3865	10.000	1.5151
system_22	LC3 (TP8)	0.040	1.4501	10.000	4.8497
$system_22$	LC3 (TP9)	0.050	1.427	10.000	4.1688
$system_22$	LC3 (TP10)	0.080	1.4116	10.000	2.9464
$system_22$	LC3 (TP11)	0.110	1.4004	10.000	3.0065
$system_22$	LC3 (TP12)	0.180	1.394	10.000	2.0322
$system_22$	LC3 (TP13)	0.290	1.3895	10.000	1.7565
$system_22$	LC3 (TP14)	0.450	1.3867	10.000	1.7305
system_22	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m0.215s	1.3818	-0.000	1.3831
$system_22$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0m0.147s	1.3818	-0.000	1.3831
$system_22$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.192 s	1.3818	-0.000	1.3831
system_22	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m0.980s	2.7189	6.907	999.97
system_22	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0m0.090s	2.724	6.897	999.51
system_22	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.014s	2.7767	6.800	990.15
system_22	VNODE-LP (15. 1e-14.1e-14)	0m0.003s	1.3818	10.000	25.373
system_22	VNODE-LP $(20, 1e-14.1e-14)$	0m0.006s	1.3818	10.000	25.373
system_22	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.005 s	1.3818	10.000	25.373

 Table 3.18: Simulation results of Problem 22

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_23	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.050	1.5913e-08	10.000	1.6814e-06
system_23	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.080	1.7046e-09	10.000	2.6103e-07
system_23	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.120	1.8306e-10	10.000	1.6375e-07
system_23	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.180	1.903e-11	10.000	1.6848e-07
system_23	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.280	2.212e-12	10.000	2.0574e-08
system_23	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.450	6.5636e-13	10.000	6.3359e-09
$system_23$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.710	7.6073e-13	10.000	7.5749e-09
system_23	RK4 (TP8)	0.040	1.9834e-08	10.000	7.863e-07
system_23	RK4 (TP9)	0.050	2.2172e-09	10.000	2.5607 e-07
system_23	RK4 (TP10)	0.080	2.3651e-10	10.000	8.9245e-08
system_23	RK4 (TP11)	0.130	2.4555e-11	10.000	1.3865e-07
system_23	RK4 (TP12)	0.200	2.6081e-12	10.000	2.2231e-08
$system_23$	RK4 (TP13)	0.310	4.2721e-13	10.000	3.913e-09
$system_23$	RK4 (TP14)	0.490	3.0509e-13	10.000	2.9406e-09
system_23	LA3 (TP8)	0.030	1.5086e-08	10.000	1.2796e-06
$system_23$	LA3 (TP9)	0.040	1.6451e-09	10.000	3.7812e-07
$system_23$	LA3 (TP10)	0.060	1.7698e-10	10.000	1.8245e-07
system_23	LA3 (TP11)	0.100	1.8517e-11	10.000	1.1868e-07
system_23	LA3 (TP12)	0.150	1.9926e-12	10.000	1.811e-08
$system_23$	LA3 (TP13)	0.240	3.233e-13	10.000	3.106e-09
system_23	LA3 (TP14)	0.370	2.256e-13	10.000	2.248e-09
system_23	LC3 (TP8)	0.030	1.5774e-08	10.000	1.8135e-06
$system_23$	LC3 (TP9)	0.050	1.7152e-09	10.000	2.948e-07
$system_23$	LC3 (TP10)	0.070	1.7917e-10	10.000	3.0775e-07
system_23	LC3 (TP11)	0.110	$1.8552e{-}11$	10.000	1.3905e-07
$system_23$	LC3 (TP12)	0.170	1.9949e-12	10.000	1.8803e-08
$system_23$	LC3 (TP13)	0.270	3.3529e-13	10.000	3.2553e-09
system_23	LC3 (TP14)	0.420	2.4336e-13	10.000	2.448e-09
system_23	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m0.102s	5.6269e-11	-0.000	7.3491e-10
$system_23$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0 m 0.114 s	2.7978e-14	-0.000	4.2883e-11
system_23	Riot (15, 1e-11)	0 m 0.139 s	4.1966e-14	-0.000	1.0757e-12
system_23	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.130s	0.00046233	10.000	5.0012
$system_23$	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.095 \mathrm{s}$	0.0046322	10.000	50.642
system_23	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.014s	0.047235	10.000	574.87
system_23	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.003s	1.9984e-15	10.000	8.3933e-14
$system_23$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.004 s	9.992e-16	10.000	7.5051e-14
$system_23$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.006 s	6.6613 e-16	10.000	4.7073e-14

 Table 3.19:
 Simulation results of Problem 23

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_24	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.060	1.9324	10.000	14317
system_24	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.080	1.9324	10.000	14317
system_24	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.110	1.9324	10.000	14317
$system_24$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.170	1.9324	10.000	14317
system_24	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.270	1.9324	10.000	14317
system_24	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.440	1.9324	10.000	14317
$system_24$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.670	1.9324	10.000	14317
system_24	RK4 (TP8)	0.040	1.9324	10.000	14317
system_24	RK4 (TP9)	0.060	1.9324	10.000	14317
$system_24$	RK4 (TP10)	0.080	1.9324	10.000	14317
$system_24$	RK4 (TP11)	0.130	1.9324	10.000	14317
$system_24$	RK4 (TP12)	0.200	1.9324	10.000	14317
$system_24$	RK4 (TP13)	0.330	1.9324	10.000	14317
$system_24$	RK4 (TP14)	0.510	1.9324	10.000	14317
system_24	LA3 (TP8)	0.030	1.9328	10.000	14347
system_24	LA3 (TP9)	0.050	1.9326	10.000	14329
$system_24$	LA3 (TP10)	0.070	1.9325	10.000	14322
$system_24$	LA3 (TP11)	0.100	1.9325	10.000	14319
$system_24$	LA3 $(TP12)$	0.160	1.9324	10.000	14318
$system_24$	LA3 (TP13)	0.250	1.9324	10.000	14318
$system_24$	LA3 $(TP14)$	0.400	1.9324	10.000	14317
system_24	LC3 (TP8)	0.040	1.9331	10.000	14371
$system_24$	LC3 (TP9)	0.050	1.9327	10.000	14338
$system_24$	LC3 (TP10)	0.070	1.9325	10.000	14325
$system_24$	LC3 (TP11)	0.110	1.9325	10.000	14320
$system_24$	LC3 (TP12)	0.180	1.9325	10.000	14318
$system_24$	LC3 (TP13)	0.320	1.9324	10.000	14318
$system_24$	LC3 (TP14)	0.470	1.9324	10.000	14317
system_24	Riot $(05, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.222 s	1.9324	-0.000	21721
$system_24$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.148 s	1.9324	-0.000	21718
$system_24$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.193 s	1.9324	-0.000	21703
system_24	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	0m1.214s	1.9329	7.337	999.94
$system_24$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0 m 0.114 s	1.9368	7.320	998.62
system_24	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.014s	1.977	7.175	998.34
system_24	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.004s	1.9324	10.000	14317
$system_24$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.006 s	1.9324	10.000	14317
$system_24$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.002 s	1.9324	10.000	14317

Table 3.20: Simulation results of Problem 24

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_25	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.040	1.3772e-08	10.000	0.00016615
$system_25$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.070	1.5056e-09	10.000	1.7744e-05
$system_25$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.110	1.6064 e-10	10.000	1.8561e-06
$system_25$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.160	1.6748e-11	10.000	1.9164 e-07
$system_25$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.260	1.8416e-12	10.000	2.0722e-08
$system_25$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.410	4.1234e-13	10.000	4.1866e-09
$system_25$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.650	4.0101e-13	10.000	3.7742e-09
system_25	RK4 (TP8)	0.030	1.7587e-08	10.000	0.00021528
system_25	RK4 (TP9)	0.050	1.9552e-09	10.000	2.3157e-05
system_25	RK4 (TP10)	0.070	2.0936e-10	10.000	2.4276e-06
$system_25$	RK4 (TP11)	0.110	2.1817e-11	10.000	2.501e-07
$system_25$	RK4 (TP12)	0.180	2.26e-12	10.000	2.5778e-08
$system_25$	RK4 (TP13)	0.280	3.1397e-13	10.000	3.3969e-09
$system_25$	RK4 (TP14)	0.450	1.6809e-13	10.000	1.6121e-09
system_25	LA3 (TP8)	0.030	1.2714e-08	10.000	0.00016167
$system_25$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.040	1.4237e-09	10.000	1.7264e-05
$system_25$	LA3 (TP10)	0.060	1.537e-10	10.000	1.7994e-06
$system_25$	LA3 (TP11)	0.090	1.61e-11	10.000	1.8681e-07
$system_25$	LA3 (TP12)	0.140	1.714e-12	10.000	1.9526e-08
$system_25$	LA3 (TP13)	0.210	2.3848e-13	10.000	2.5806e-09
system_25	LA3 (TP14)	0.340	1.2601e-13	10.000	1.2041e-09
system_25	LC3 (TP8)	0.030	1.2989e-08	10.000	0.00016663
$system_25$	LC3 (TP9)	0.040	1.473e-09	10.000	1.7777e-05
$system_25$	LC3 (TP10)	0.060	1.562e-10	10.000	1.8255e-06
$system_25$	LC3 (TP11)	0.100	1.6272e-11	10.000	1.878e-07
$system_25$	LC3 (TP12)	0.150	1.7181e-12	10.000	1.9601e-08
$system_25$	LC3 (TP13)	0.240	2.4358e-13	10.000	2.6186e-09
system_25	LC3 (TP14)	0.380	1.3412e-13	10.000	1.2862e-09
system_25	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m0.104s	5.7086e-11	-0.000	0.0013639
$system_25$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0 m 0.109 s	3.7192e-15	-0.000	3.7192e-15
$system_25$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.089 s	0	-0.000	5.7732e-15
system_25	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.087s	0.00029389	10.000	2.7571
$system_25$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m0.093s	0.0029465	10.000	27.915
$system_{25}$	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.015s	0.030251	10.000	316.61
system_25	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.004s	9.992e-16	10.000	8.9433e-12
system_25	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.004s	8.8818e-16	10.000	7.9496e-12
system_25	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.004s	8.3267e-16	10.000	6.2134e-12

 Table 3.21: Simulation results of Problem 25

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_26	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.190	1.2981	10.000	0.00023241
system_26	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.280	1.2981	10.000	0.00022813
$system_26$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.410	1.2981	10.000	0.00022749
system_26	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.610	1.2981	10.000	0.000229
$system_26$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.940	1.2981	10.000	0.00022964
$system_26$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	1.480	1.2981	10.000	0.00022949
system_26	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	2.360	1.2981	10.000	0.00022948
system_26	RK4 (TP8)	0.130	1.2981	10.000	0.00023297
system_26	RK4 (TP9)	0.190	1.2981	10.000	0.00022751
$system_26$	RK4 (TP10)	0.300	1.2981	10.000	0.00022782
$system_26$	RK4 (TP11)	0.470	1.2981	10.000	0.00022747
$system_26$	RK4 (TP12)	0.730	1.2981	10.000	0.00022897
$system_26$	RK4 (TP13)	1.150	1.2981	10.000	0.00022902
system_26	RK4 (TP14)	1.800	1.2981	10.000	0.00022939
system_26	LA3 (TP8)	0.110	1.7614	10.000	47.327
system_26	LA3 (TP9)	0.160	1.6099	10.000	5.1636
$system_26$	LA3 (TP10)	0.240	1.5196	10.000	3.3388
system_26	LA3 (TP11)	0.380	1.4341	10.000	1.4904
system_26	LA3 (TP12)	0.580	1.394	10.000	0.77395
system_26	LA3 (TP13)	0.910	1.3539	10.000	0.27453
system_26	LA3 (TP14)	1.440	1.3298	10.000	0.09762
system_26	LC3 (TP8)	0.110	1.7962	10.000	57.572
$system_26$	LC3 (TP9)	0.170	1.6345	10.000	4.2967
system_26	LC3 (TP10)	0.260	1.544	10.000	3.6973
$system_26$	LC3 (TP11)	0.420	1.4629	10.000	3.522
$system_26$	LC3 (TP12)	0.630	1.3763	10.000	0.85036
$system_26$	LC3 (TP13)	1.000	1.3639	10.000	1.2933
system_26	LC3 (TP14)	1.600	1.3283	10.000	0.13024
system_26	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0 m 0.592 s	1.2981	-0.000	0.00023441
$system_26$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.217 \mathrm{s}$	1.2981	-0.000	0.00022716
system_26	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.302 s	1.2981	-0.000	0.00022731
system_26	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.817s	277.25	1.238	999.84
system_26	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0m0.156s	287.15	1.230	996.77
system_26	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.022 \mathrm{s}$	421.64	1.125	867.43
system_26	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.007 s	1.2981	10.000	6.8883
system_26	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.008 s	1.2981	10.000	6.8883
$system_26$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.007 \mathrm{s}$	1.2981	10.000	6.8883

 Table 3.22: Simulation results of Problem 26

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_27	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.150	9.9382e-08	10.000	9.9453e-07
system_27	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.210	1.0984 e-08	10.000	2.4889e-07
system_27	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.320	1.1848e-09	10.000	3.0464 e- 07
$system_27$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.500	1.2016e-10	10.000	2.585e-07
system_27	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.790	1.366e-11	10.000	2.1613e-07
$system_27$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	1.220	3.6535e-12	10.000	3.5367 e-07
$system_27$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	1.900	3.9741e-12	10.000	1.2891e-06
system_27	RK4 (TP8)	0.110	1.2932e-07	10.000	1.3248e-06
system_27	RK4 (TP9)	0.160	1.4163e-08	10.000	2.0043e-07
$system_27$	RK4 (TP10)	0.250	1.5098e-09	10.000	1.5059e-07
$system_27$	RK4 (TP11)	0.410	1.5404 e- 10	10.000	1.5609e-07
$system_27$	RK4 (TP12)	0.640	1.6365e-11	10.000	1.7223e-07
$system_27$	RK4 (TP13)	0.970	2.5304e-12	10.000	1.8389e-07
$system_27$	RK4 (TP14)	1.540	1.6289e-12	10.000	1.7725e-07
system_27	LA3 (TP8)	0.090	1.077e-07	10.000	0.0021861
$system_27$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.130	1.1278e-08	10.000	0.00013224
$system_27$	LA3 (TP10)	0.200	1.1811e-09	10.000	3.2675e-05
$system_27$	LA3 (TP11)	0.310	1.2139e-10	10.000	3.5598e-06
$system_27$	LA3 $(TP12)$	0.490	1.2736e-11	10.000	1.2922e-06
$system_27$	LA3 $(TP13)$	0.760	1.9278e-12	10.000	3.4537e-07
system_27	LA3 $(TP14)$	1.230	1.2119e-12	10.000	3.651e-07
system_27	LC3 (TP8)	0.100	1.1371e-07	10.000	0.0045486
$system_27$	LC3 (TP9)	0.150	1.1741e-08	10.000	0.0004441
$system_27$	LC3 (TP10)	0.220	1.2156e-09	10.000	4.9058e-05
$system_27$	LC3 (TP11)	0.350	1.2311e-10	10.000	4.7915e-06
$system_27$	LC3 (TP12)	0.540	1.297e-11	10.000	1.3287e-06
$system_27$	LC3 (TP13)	0.840	1.9971e-12	10.000	4.0411e-07
$system_27$	LC3 (TP14)	1.290	1.3069e-12	10.000	1.3527e-06
system_27	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0 m 0.256 s	1.8868e-10	-0.000	2.7813e+09
$system_27$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.164 s	1.199e-14	-0.000	3.4514e-08
$system_27$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.230 s	8.793e-14	-0.000	1.8045e-12
system_27	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.391s	0.1407	2.649	999.19
$system_27$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0 m 0.126 s	1.4595	2.205	988.39
$system_27$	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.021s	21.761	1.650	925.46
system_27	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.006s	9.992e-15	10.000	9.4229e-14
system_27	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.005s	5.9952e-15	10.000	5.4546e-14
system_27	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.004s	5.9952e-15	10.000	3.6599e-14

Table 3.23: Simulation results of Problem 27

	Table 3.24: Simulation	results of Pr	oblem 28		
Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_28	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	4.130	20.932	0.283	20.932
$system_28$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	5.960	17.968	0.282	17.968
$system_28$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	8.860	15.338	0.280	15.338
$system_28$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	12.540	13.052	0.282	13.052
$system_28$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	18.350	11.079	0.280	11.079
$system_28$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	27.080	9.385	0.277	9.385
$system_28$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	38.040	7.9094	0.275	7.9094
system_28	RK4 (TP8)	4.160	23.152	0.284	23.152
system_28	RK4 (TP9)	3.300	19.86	0.284	19.86
$system_28$	RK4 (TP10)	4.790	17.013	0.285	17.013
$system_28$	RK4 (TP11)	6.890	14.506	0.283	14.506
$system_28$	RK4 (TP12)	9.590	12.365	0.279	12.365
$system_28$	RK4 (TP13)	13.920	10.475	0.278	10.475
system_28	RK4 (TP14)	20.070	8.8608	0.276	8.8608
system_28	LA3 (TP8)	1.960	24.682	0.274	24.682
$system_28$	LA3 (TP9)	2.960	21.217	0.276	21.217
$system_28$	LA3 (TP10)	4.250	18.246	0.276	18.246
$system_28$	LA3 (TP11)	6.350	15.618	0.278	15.618
$system_28$	LA3 (TP12)	8.760	13.281	0.278	13.281
$system_28$	LA3 (TP13)	12.720	11.269	0.277	11.269
system_28	LA3 (TP14)	18.360	9.5482	0.276	9.5482
system_28	LC3 (TP8)	1.870	24.835	0.265	24.835
$system_28$	LC3 (TP9)	2.830	21.005	0.270	21.005
$system_28$	LC3 (TP10)	4.250	17.966	0.273	17.966
$system_28$	LC3 (TP11)	6.320	15.404	0.276	15.404
$system_28$	LC3 (TP12)	8.960	13.092	0.276	13.092
$system_28$	LC3 (TP13)	13.090	11.123	0.277	11.123
system_28	LC3 (TP14)	19.040	9.4039	0.275	9.4039
system_28	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m29.200s	0	-0.000	4.2446
$system_28$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	18m44.691s	0	-0.000	4.0786
system_28	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	210m1.595s	0	-0.000	4.5904
system_28	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m2.126s	1.1713	0.162	1.1713
$system_28$	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0 m 0.733 s	3.1672	0.395	3.1672
system_28	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.027 \mathrm{s}$	0.95755	0.075	0.95755
system_28	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.309s	18.119	0.155	18.119
$system_28$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.299 s	22.402	0.140	22.402
$system_28$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.301 s	25.252	0.128	25.252

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_29	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.560	3.5522e-07	10.000	3.7763e-07
system_29	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.830	3.6736e-08	10.000	3.9348e-08
system_29	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	1.250	3.7576e-09	10.000	4.0256e-09
$system_29$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	1.990	3.7343e-10	10.000	4.03e-10
system_29	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	3.120	3.7579e-11	10.000	4.0723e-11
$system_29$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	5.370	4.5068e-12	10.000	4.8452e-12
$system_29$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	7.360	1.6607 e-12	10.000	1.7164e-12
system_29	RK4 (TP8)	0.340	5.0539e-07	10.000	5.8051e-07
system_29	RK4 (TP9)	0.460	5.8113e-08	10.000	6.5063 e-08
system_29	RK4 (TP10)	0.710	5.7374e-09	10.000	6.4068e-09
system_29	RK4 (TP11)	1.000	6.2862e-10	10.000	6.6449e-10
system_29	RK4 (TP12)	1.540	6.1003e-11	10.000	6.3473e-11
$system_29$	RK4 (TP13)	2.420	5.9718e-12	10.000	6.1513e-12
$system_29$	RK4 (TP14)	3.700	8.6475e-13	10.000	8.7147e-13
system_29	LA3 (TP8)	0.340	2.3268e-07	10.000	3.1546e-07
$system_29$	LA3 (TP9)	0.470	2.4512e-08	10.000	3.3829e-08
$system_29$	LA3 (TP10)	0.710	2.3962e-09	10.000	3.3821e-09
$system_29$	LA3 (TP11)	1.060	2.281e-10	10.000	3.2909e-10
$system_29$	LA3 (TP12)	1.660	$2.1874e{-}11$	10.000	3.1581e-11
$system_29$	LA3 (TP13)	2.600	2.3522e-12	10.000	3.2499e-12
system_29	LA3 (TP14)	4.030	5.4412e-13	10.000	6.1251e-13
system_29	LC3 (TP8)	0.340	2.9012e-07	10.000	4.1042 e- 07
$system_29$	LC3 (TP9)	0.460	2.9152e-08	10.000	4.3464 e-08
$system_29$	LC3 (TP10)	0.680	2.7738e-09	10.000	4.2533e-09
$system_29$	LC3 (TP11)	1.000	2.5993e-10	10.000	3.9511e-10
$system_29$	LC3 (TP12)	1.560	2.3858e-11	10.000	3.5866e-11
$system_29$	LC3 (TP13)	2.480	2.4346e-12	10.000	3.4715e-12
system_29	LC3 (TP14)	3.730	5.4146e-13	10.000	6.1394e-13
system_29	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m1.818s	3.2308e-10	-0.000	5.7962e-09
$system_29$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0m1.333s	6.1563 e- 12	-0.000	1.0335e-10
system_29	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m2.386s	9.6034 e- 15	-0.000	9.6034 e- 15
system_29	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m3.140s	0.001153	10.000	0.057922
$system_29$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.516 \mathrm{s}$	0.01199	6.265	0.2962
system_29	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.226s	0.17131	1.200	0.2357
system_29	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.080s	1.8485e-14	10.000	1.5952e-14
system_29	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.099s	1.199e-14	10.000	1.1606e-14
system_29	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.107s	9.4924e-15	10.000	8.9239e-15

 Table 3.25:
 Simulation results of Problem 29

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
		16 140	00.070	0.007	00.070
system_30	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	10.140	80.278	0.297	80.278
system_30	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	24.390	03.718 50.470	0.298	03.718
system_30	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	36.140	50.476	0.299	50.476
system_30	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	53.200	40.071	0.299	40.071
system_30	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	77.710	31.765	0.299	31.765
system_30	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	113.360	25.075	0.297	25.075
system_30	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	159.450	19.754	0.296	19.754
system_30	RK4 (TP8)	9.090	92.703	0.302	92.703
$system_30$	RK4 (TP9)	13.060	73.155	0.302	73.155
$system_30$	RK4 (TP10)	19.520	58.308	0.303	58.308
system_30	RK4 (TP11)	28.190	46.348	0.300	46.348
system_30	RK4 (TP12)	40.790	36.606	0.300	36.606
system_30	RK4 (TP13)	60.340	28.938	0.299	28.938
$system_{-}30$	RK4 (TP14)	87.210	22.85	0.298	22.85
system_30	LA3 (TP8)	7.670	103.64	0.290	103.64
system_30	LA3 (TP9)	11.260	81.407	0.293	81.407
system_30	LA3 (TP10)	16.760	65.057	0.296	65.057
system_30	LA3 (TP11)	24.890	51.523	0.298	51.523
system_30	LA3 (TP12)	36.280	40.863	0.298	40.863
system_30	LA3 (TP13)	55.410	32.308	0.298	32.308
$system_{-}30$	LA3 $(TP14)$	82.570	25.57	0.297	25.57
system_30	LC3 (TP8)	7.590	101.33	0.282	101.33
system_30	LC3 (TP9)	11.350	79.317	0.288	79.317
system_30	LC3 (TP10)	17.050	63.817	0.293	63.817
system_30	LC3 (TP11)	25.100	50.599	0.296	50.599
system_30	LC3 (TP12)	36.770	40.033	0.296	40.033
system_30	LC3 (TP13)	55.480	31.626	0.297	31.626
$system_{-}30$	LC3 (TP14)	78.840	25.014	0.297	25.014
system_30	Riot				
system_30	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m13.555s	57.455	0.332	57.455
system_30	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.494 \mathrm{s}$	4.4295	0.245	4.4295
system_30	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.108 \mathrm{s}$	3.7929	0.200	3.7929
system_30	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.194 s	105.32	0.259	105.32
system_30	VNODE-LP $(20, 1e-14, 1e-14)$	0m0.186s	146.87	0.237	146.87
system_30	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.187 s	188.72	0.220	188.72

Table 3.26: Simulation results of Problem 30

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	system_31	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	2.230	1.2578e-07	10.000	5.8598e-05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	3.470	1.3976e-08	10.000	5.2745e-05
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	5.330	1.5217e-09	10.000	1.2559e-05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	8.330	1.5944 e- 10	10.000	1.4182e-06
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	13.050	1.6868e-11	10.000	1.5655e-07
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	20.400	2.2326e-12	10.000	2.1442e-08
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_31$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	31.840	1.028e-12	10.000	1.025e-08
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	RK4 (TP8)	1.290	1.0486e-07	10.000	4.4015e-05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	RK4 (TP9)	1.850	1.2451e-08	10.000	4.0884e-05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	RK4 (TP10)	2.940	1.3932e-09	10.000	1.3356e-05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	RK4 (TP11)	4.390	1.5137e-10	10.000	1.457e-06
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	RK4 (TP12)	6.870	1.5606e-11	10.000	1.5259e-07
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	RK4 (TP13)	10.920	1.6551e-12	10.000	1.6821e-08
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_{31}$	RK4 (TP14)	16.650	3.2484e-13	10.000	3.6533e-09
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	LA3 (TP8)	1.250	7.1058e-08	10.000	8.3149e-05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_31$	LA3 $(TP9)$	1.780	7.6279e-09	10.000	4.4857 e-05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_31$	LA3 (TP10)	2.670	8.5419e-10	10.000	1.2882e-05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_31$	LA3 (TP11)	4.270	9.3902e-11	10.000	1.3788e-06
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_{-}31$	LA3 (TP12)	6.580	9.7906e-12	10.000	1.4299e-07
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_31$	LA3 (TP13)	10.140	1.0789e-12	10.000	1.5696e-08
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	LA3 (TP14)	16.130	2.7699e-13	10.000	3.3895e-09
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	LC3 (TP8)	1.090	1.7432e-07	10.000	4.6058e-05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_31$	LC3 (TP9)	1.490	1.9061e-08	10.000	4.6763 e- 05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_31$	LC3 (TP10)	2.220	1.9137e-09	10.000	1.9889e-05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_31$	LC3 (TP11)	3.450	1.8493e-10	10.000	1.9633e-06
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_31$	LC3 (TP12)	5.250	1.7977e-11	10.000	1.9146e-07
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_31$	LC3 (TP13)	8.310	1.821e-12	10.000	1.9509e-08
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	LC3 (TP14)	12.850	3.1761e-13	10.000	3.486e-09
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m $8.552$ s	1.3195e-10	-0.000	3.7849e-08
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_31$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0 m 4.423 s	4.2645e-12	-0.000	5.8043e-09
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m4.983s	1.8874e-15	-0.000	1.2535e-10
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_31	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m55.912s	0.0020183	4.793	1.5566
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_31$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m4.192s	0.020632	3.252	1.8903
system_31VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14,1e-14)0m0.160s9.26e-1510.0001.3792e-13system_31VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14,1e-14)0m0.181s4.9093e-1510.0009.2898e-14system_31VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14,1e-14)0m0.205s4.0697e-1510.0007.63e-14	system_31	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.399 s	0.25275	1.800	1.0445
system_31VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14,1e-14)0m0.181s4.9093e-1510.0009.2898e-14system_31VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14,1e-14)0m0.205s4.0697e-1510.0007.63e-14	system_31	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.160s	9.26e-15	10.000	1.3792e-13
system_31 VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14) 0m0.205s 4.0697e-15 10.000 7.63e-14	system_31	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.181s	4.9093e-15	10.000	9.2898e-14
	$system_{-31}$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.205 \mathrm{s}$	4.0697 e-15	10.000	7.63e-14

 Table 3.27:
 Simulation results of Problem 31

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_32	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.110	1.0131e-07	10.000	1.092e-06
system_32	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.150	1.1876e-08	10.000	1.2968e-07
$system_32$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.220	1.5521e-09	10.000	1.3318e-08
$system_32$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.330	1.5477e-10	10.000	1.4345e-09
$system_32$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.520	1.9744e-11	10.000	1.544e-10
$system_32$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.900	2.9185e-12	10.000	1.8198e-11
$system_{32}$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	1.280	1.549e-12	10.000	4.4076e-12
system_32	RK4 (TP8)	0.050	1.1569e-07	10.000	1.6157e-06
$system_32$	RK4 (TP9)	0.070	1.2497 e-08	10.000	2.1179e-07
$system_32$	RK4 (TP10)	0.110	1.4826e-09	10.000	2.7584e-08
$system_32$	RK4 (TP11)	0.170	1.5983e-10	10.000	3.5086e-09
$system_32$	RK4 (TP12)	0.250	1.6631e-11	10.000	4.1913e-10
$system_32$	RK4 (TP13)	0.400	1.9895e-12	10.000	5.1035e-11
system_32	RK4 (TP14)	0.630	4.9205e-13	10.000	6.4764e-12
system_32	LA3 (TP8)	0.060	4.8721e-08	10.000	9.5298e-07
$system_32$	LA3 (TP9)	0.080	5.2775e-09	10.000	9.6145 e-08
$system_32$	LA3 (TP10)	0.130	5.5251e-10	10.000	1.0333e-08
$system_32$	LA3 (TP11)	0.180	5.6823e-11	10.000	1.1945e-09
$system_32$	LA3 (TP12)	0.290	5.9162 e- 12	10.000	1.2948e-10
$system_32$	LA3 (TP13)	0.460	8.0025e-13	10.000	1.4912e-11
system_32	LA3 (TP14)	0.710	4.0501e-13	10.000	2.1458e-12
system_32	LC3 (TP8)	0.060	8.8322e-08	10.000	1.0067e-06
$system_32$	LC3 (TP9)	0.080	1.0516e-08	10.000	1.0107 e-07
$system_32$	LC3 (TP10)	0.110	1.3559e-09	10.000	1.2095e-08
$system_32$	LC3 (TP11)	0.180	1.5019e-10	10.000	1.3537e-09
$system_32$	LC3 (TP12)	0.250	1.6706e-11	10.000	1.5879e-10
$system_32$	LC3 (TP13)	0.390	2.0792e-12	10.000	1.7959e-11
system_32	LC3 (TP14)	0.610	4.7784e-13	10.000	2.528e-12
system_32	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m2.160s	8.7466e-11	-0.000	2.9713e-10
$system_32$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0 m 0.781 s	1.2124e-13	-0.000	4.0483e-11
system_32	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.815 \mathrm{s}$	1.3411e-13	-0.000	1.8493e-11
system_32	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	1m35.630s	0.00026492	10.000	0.28978
$system_{-32}$	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0m2.151s	0.0026499	10.000	2.9143
system_32	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.272 \mathrm{s}$	0.026604	10.000	31.409
system_32	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.039s	9.77e-15	10.000	6.3727e-14
system_32	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.044 s	8.8818e-15	10.000	7.3386e-14
$system_{-32}$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.040 s	7.9936e-15	10.000	3.586e-14

 Table 3.28: Simulation results of Problem 32

	Table 3.29: Simulation	results of P	roblem 33		
Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_33	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.110	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_33$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.150	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_33$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.230	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_33$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.360	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_33$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.530	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_33$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.860	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_33$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	1.330	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
system_33	RK4 (TP8)	0.060	0.81192	10.000	0.20315
system_33	RK4 (TP9)	0.080	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_33$	RK4 (TP10)	0.110	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_33$	RK4 (TP11)	0.170	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_33$	RK4 (TP12)	0.270	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_33$	RK4 (TP13)	0.410	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_{-}33$	RK4 (TP14)	0.640	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
system_33	LA3 (TP8)	0.060	0.81202	10.000	0.20448
$system_33$	LA3 (TP9)	0.080	0.81197	10.000	0.20373
$system_33$	LA3 (TP10)	0.130	0.81194	10.000	0.20338
$system_33$	LA3 (TP11)	0.200	0.81193	10.000	0.20324
$system_33$	LA3 (TP12)	0.300	0.81193	10.000	0.20318
$system_33$	LA3 (TP13)	0.470	0.81192	10.000	0.20316
$system_33$	LA3 (TP14)	0.740	0.81192	10.000	0.20315
system_33	LC3 (TP8)	0.060	0.81211	10.000	0.20522
system_33	LC3 (TP9)	0.080	0.812	10.000	0.204
system_33	LC3 (TP10)	0.120	0.81196	10.000	0.20349
system_33	LC3 (TP11)	0.170	0.81194	10.000	0.20329
system_33	LC3 (TP12)	0.260	0.81193	10.000	0.2032
$system_33$	LC3 (TP13)	0.400	0.81192	10.000	0.20317
$system_{-}33$	LC3 (TP14)	0.620	0.81192	10.000	0.20315
system_33	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m3.466s	0.81192	-0.000	0.20314
$system_33$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.842 s	0.81192	-0.000	0.20314
$system_33$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.886 \mathrm{s}$	0.81192	-0.000	0.20314
system_33	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	1m30.726s	0.8123	10.000	243.87
$system_33$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m1.521s	0.81566	10.000	249.32
system_33	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.257 \mathrm{s}$	0.85019	10.000	309.55
system_33	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.041s	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_33$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.042 s	0.81192	10.000	0.20314
$system_{-}33$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.039 s	0.81192	10.000	0.20314

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_34	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.010	4.7235e-09	10.000	2.9591e-07
system_34	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.010	7.8377e-10	10.000	3.0655e-08
system_34	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.020	1.0829e-10	10.000	3.5486e-09
system_34	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.020	1.2753e-11	10.000	4.2103e-10
system_34	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.030	1.3936e-12	10.000	4.4459e-11
system_34	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.040	2.1538e-13	10.000	7.7094e-12
system_34	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.070	1.2879e-13	10.000	5.361e-12
system_34	RK4 (TP8)	0.010	1.4271e-09	10.000	7.7418e-08
system_34	RK4 (TP9)	0.010	2.0589e-10	10.000	8.1568e-09
$system_34$	RK4 (TP10)	0.020	2.1419e-11	10.000	8.3585e-10
system_34	RK4 (TP11)	0.020	2.3852e-12	10.000	8.5283e-11
system_34	RK4 (TP12)	0.030	2.78e-13	10.000	9.3454e-12
$system_34$	RK4 (TP13)	0.040	6.2172e-14	10.000	1.9824e-12
system_34	RK4 (TP14)	0.060	5.5955e-14	10.000	1.8456e-12
system_34	LA3 (TP8)	0.010	8.073e-11	10.000	1.7684e-07
$system_34$	LA3 (TP9)	0.010	6.0024 e- 11	10.000	2.3486e-08
system_34	LA3 (TP10)	0.010	5.8509e-12	10.000	2.36e-09
system_34	LA3 (TP11)	0.020	5.4801e-13	10.000	2.6067e-10
$system_34$	LA3 (TP12)	0.020	7.7716e-14	10.000	2.9845e-11
$system_34$	LA3 (TP13)	0.030	3.0642e-14	10.000	4.0146e-12
system_34	LA3 (TP14)	0.050	3.6859e-14	10.000	1.5241e-12
system_34	LC3 (TP8)	0.010	4.5581e-10	10.000	1.7673e-07
$system_34$	LC3 (TP9)	0.010	1.0584e-10	10.000	1.8314e-08
$system_34$	LC3 (TP10)	0.020	1.5158e-11	10.000	2.4613e-09
$system_34$	LC3 (TP11)	0.020	1.7217e-12	10.000	2.8973e-10
$system_34$	LC3 (TP12)	0.010	1.9762e-13	10.000	2.8706e-11
$system_34$	LC3 (TP13)	0.040	4.7074e-14	10.000	4.4125e-12
system_34	LC3 (TP14)	0.060	4.4409e-14	10.000	1.6751e-12
system_34	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m0.304s	1.3289e-12	-0.000	1.8114e-10
$system_34$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.241 s	5.7954e-14	-0.000	3.439e-12
system_34	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m0.268s	6.9944e-14	-0.000	6.0574e-13
system_34	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	0m42.641s	1.6439e-05	10.000	0.0004796
$system_34$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m1.277s	0.00016439	10.000	0.0047963
system_34	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.165s	0.001644	10.000	0.047992
system_34	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.008s	8.8818e-16	10.000	3.5527e-14
system_34	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.010 s	8.8818e-16	10.000	3.6415e-14
system_34	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.009 s	8.8818e-16	10.000	2.931e-14

 Table 3.30:
 Simulation results of Problem 34

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_35	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.010	0.94449	10.000	4.7953
$system_35$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.020	0.94449	10.000	4.795
$system_35$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.030	0.94449	10.000	4.7949
$system_35$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.030	0.94449	10.000	4.7949
$system_35$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.050	0.94449	10.000	4.7948
$system_35$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.070	0.94449	10.000	4.7948
$system_35$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.090	0.94449	10.000	4.7948
system_35	RK4 (TP8)	0.010	0.94449	10.000	4.7948
$system_35$	RK4 (TP9)	0.020	0.94449	10.000	4.7948
$system_35$	RK4 (TP10)	0.020	0.94449	10.000	4.7948
$system_35$	RK4 (TP11)	0.020	0.94449	10.000	4.7948
$system_35$	RK4 (TP12)	0.040	0.94449	10.000	4.7948
$system_35$	RK4 (TP13)	0.050	0.94449	10.000	4.7948
system_35	RK4 (TP14)	0.070	0.94449	10.000	4.7948
system_35	LA3 (TP8)	0.010	0.94461	10.000	4.8179
$system_35$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.010	0.9446	10.000	4.809
$system_35$	LA3 (TP10)	0.010	0.94456	10.000	4.8035
$system_35$	LA3 (TP11)	0.020	0.94454	10.000	4.8002
$system_35$	LA3 (TP12)	0.030	0.94452	10.000	4.7982
$system_35$	LA3 (TP13)	0.040	0.94451	10.000	4.7969
system_35	LA3 (TP14)	0.050	0.9445	10.000	4.7962
system_35	LC3 (TP8)	0.010	0.94473	10.000	4.8375
$system_35$	LC3 (TP9)	0.010	0.94466	10.000	4.8213
$system_35$	LC3 (TP10)	0.020	0.94461	10.000	4.8111
$system_35$	LC3 (TP11)	0.020	0.94457	10.000	4.805
$system_35$	LC3 (TP12)	0.030	0.94454	10.000	4.8012
$system_35$	LC3 (TP13)	0.030	0.94452	10.000	4.7988
system_35	LC3 (TP14)	0.060	0.94451	10.000	4.7973
system_35	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m26.070s	0.93958	-0.000	4.3033
$system_{-}35$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0m21.763s	0.93958	-0.000	4.3033
system_35	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m1.415s	0.93958	-0.000	4.3033
system_35	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	0m46.038s	0.93957	10.000	4.2038
$system_35$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m1.842s	0.93976	10.000	4.2101
system_35	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.161s	0.94163	10.000	4.2741
system_35	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.010s	0.94965	10.000	5.8441
$system_35$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.008 s	0.94965	10.000	5.8753
$system_35$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.011 s	0.94965	10.000	5.8753

Table 3.31: Simulation results of Problem 35

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_36	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.390	3.8302e-07	10.000	3.3818e-06
system_36	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.480	5.0076e-08	10.000	4.2414e-07
system_36	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.600	6.2302e-09	10.000	5.0646e-08
system_36	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.860	7.2726e-10	10.000	5.7903e-09
system_36	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1.210	8.1487e-11	10.000	6.4198e-10
$system_36$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	1.790	2.7196e-11	10.000	1.2778e-10
$system_{-}36$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	2.760	1.3596e-11	10.000	5.2596e-11
system_36	RK4 (TP8)	0.300	2.8762e-07	10.000	2.7084e-06
$system_36$	RK4 (TP9)	0.310	4.616e-08	10.000	3.7416e-07
$system_36$	RK4 (TP10)	0.380	6.6357 e-09	10.000	4.8865e-08
system_36	RK4 (TP11)	0.480	8.5565e-10	10.000	5.9451e-09
$system_36$	RK4 (TP12)	0.630	1.0328e-10	10.000	6.8487e-10
$system_36$	RK4 (TP13)	0.880	1.2269e-11	10.000	7.8007e-11
$system_{-}36$	RK4 (TP14)	1.240	1.7035e-12	10.000	1.1177e-11
system_36	LA3 (TP8)	0.300	2.2169e-07	10.000	2.0499e-06
system_36	LA3 (TP9)	0.330	3.3496e-08	10.000	2.7089e-07
system_36	LA3 (TP10)	0.390	4.619e-09	10.000	3.451e-08
system_36	LA3 (TP11)	0.510	5.7408e-10	10.000	4.0876e-09
$system_36$	LA3 (TP12)	0.650	6.7628e-11	10.000	4.6189e-10
$system_36$	LA3 (TP13)	0.910	7.7591e-12	10.000	5.2355e-11
system_36	LA3 (TP14)	1.420	1.231e-12	10.000	8.5425e-12
system_36	LC3 (TP8)	0.290	2.2911e-07	10.000	2.0717e-06
$system_36$	LC3 (TP9)	0.330	3.4984 e-08	10.000	2.7398e-07
system_36	LC3 (TP10)	0.390	4.9685e-09	10.000	3.4966e-08
$system_36$	LC3 (TP11)	0.500	6.4688e-10	10.000	4.22e-09
$system_36$	LC3 (TP12)	0.660	7.7153e-11	10.000	4.8028e-10
$system_36$	LC3 (TP13)	0.890	9.0523e-12	10.000	5.493e-11
system_36	LC3 (TP14)	1.320	1.3678e-12	10.000	8.8445e-12
system_36	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m1.095s	3.8821e-11	-0.000	2.6445e-10
$system_{-}36$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.857 \mathrm{s}$	2.176e-13	-0.000	4.5475e-12
system_36	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m1.818s	3.1442e-13	-0.000	1.2212e-12
system_36	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	1m34.728s	8.8326e-05	10.000	0.00054692
$system_36$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m1.368s	0.00088326	10.000	0.0054692
system_36	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.178 s	0.0088326	10.000	0.054692
system_36	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.014s	1.3323e-14	10.000	9.4147e-14
system_36	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.014s	1.1546e-14	10.000	8.0824e-14
system_36	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.014s	7.9936e-15	10.000	5.9508e-14

Table 3.32: Simulation results of Problem 36

	Table 3.33: Simulation	results of P	roblem 37		
Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_37	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.460	0.36452	10.000	1.0893
$system_37$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.570	0.3637	10.000	1.0799
$system_37$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.800	0.36315	10.000	1.0739
$system_37$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	1.140	0.36279	10.000	1.0699
$system_37$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1.690	0.36255	10.000	1.0674
$system_37$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	2.530	0.3624	10.000	1.0658
$system_37$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	3.930	0.3623	10.000	1.0648
system_37	RK4 (TP8)	0.290	0.36214	10.000	1.063
system_37	RK4 (TP9)	0.360	0.36214	10.000	1.0631
$system_37$	RK4 (TP10)	0.370	0.36214	10.000	1.0631
$system_37$	RK4 (TP11)	0.560	0.36214	10.000	1.0631
$system_37$	RK4 (TP12)	0.780	0.36214	10.000	1.0631
$system_37$	RK4 (TP13)	1.090	0.36214	10.000	1.0631
$system_37$	RK4 (TP14)	1.630	0.36214	10.000	1.0631
system_37	LA3 (TP8)	0.320	0.36125	10.000	1.0578
$system_37$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.300	0.36152	10.000	1.0594
$system_37$	LA3 (TP10)	0.430	0.36172	10.000	1.0606
$system_37$	LA3 (TP11)	0.590	0.36186	10.000	1.0615
$system_37$	LA3 (TP12)	0.800	0.36196	10.000	1.062
$system_37$	LA3 (TP13)	1.150	0.36202	10.000	1.0624
$system_37$	LA3 $(TP14)$	1.740	0.36207	10.000	1.0627
system_37	LC3 (TP8)	0.310	0.36119	10.000	1.0583
$system_37$	LC3 (TP9)	0.360	0.36148	10.000	1.0598
$system_37$	LC3 (TP10)	0.450	0.36169	10.000	1.0609
$system_37$	LC3 (TP11)	0.590	0.36184	10.000	1.0616
$system_37$	LC3 (TP12)	0.780	0.36195	10.000	1.0621
$system_37$	LC3 (TP13)	1.200	0.36201	10.000	1.0625
$system_37$	LC3 (TP14)	1.710	0.36206	10.000	1.0627
system_37	Riot (05, 1e-11)	1m11.410s	0.25904	-0.000	0.51435
$system_37$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	$0\mathrm{m}5.525\mathrm{s}$	0.25904	-0.000	0.51435
$system_37$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m20.456s	0.25904	-0.000	0.51435
system_37	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	1m26.397s	0.25956	10.000	0.51575
$system_37$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 1.774 \mathrm{s}$	0.26021	10.000	0.52027
$system_37$	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.170 s	0.26796	10.000	0.56814
system_37	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.012s	0.26197	10.000	0.53714
$system_37$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.014 s	0.26206	10.000	0.53773
$system_37$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.015 \mathrm{s}$	0.26225	10.000	0.53846

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_38	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.170	2.7922e-08	10.000	7.4431e-08
system_38	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.220	3.2672e-09	10.000	7.942e-09
system_38	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.270	4.1428e-10	10.000	8.3748e-10
system_38	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.400	4.6597 e-11	10.000	8.5737e-11
system_38	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.560	5.4421e-12	10.000	8.7429e-12
system_38	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.870	6.8723e-13	10.000	9.297e-13
$system_38$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	1.320	2.669e-13	10.000	1.6706e-13
system_38	RK4 (TP8)	0.120	2.1332e-08	10.000	4.498e-08
system_38	RK4 (TP9)	0.160	2.19e-09	10.000	4.8124e-09
system_38	RK4 (TP10)	0.220	2.2353e-10	10.000	4.9613e-10
system_38	RK4 (TP11)	0.300	2.2414e-11	10.000	5.1359e-11
system_38	RK4 (TP12)	0.430	2.2782e-12	10.000	5.2231e-12
system_38	RK4 (TP13)	0.620	2.7422e-13	10.000	5.4531e-13
system_38	RK4 (TP14)	0.930	9.8588e-14	10.000	8.3211e-14
system_38	LA3 (TP8)	0.090	2.3226e-08	10.000	5.5003e-08
system_38	LA3 (TP9)	0.120	2.0602e-09	10.000	5.7806e-09
system_38	LA3 (TP10)	0.160	1.8549e-10	10.000	5.8628e-10
system_38	LA3 (TP11)	0.220	1.7422e-11	10.000	6.0634 e- 11
system_38	LA3 (TP12)	0.280	1.6807e-12	10.000	6.1279e-12
$system_38$	LA3 (TP13)	0.420	1.9051e-13	10.000	6.3527e-13
system_38	LA3 (TP14)	0.600	6.2839e-14	10.000	8.3517e-14
system_38	LC3 (TP8)	0.100	3.1915e-08	10.000	3.6288e-08
system_38	LC3 (TP9)	0.080	2.9665e-09	10.000	3.5504 e-09
system_38	LC3 (TP10)	0.180	3.4742e-10	10.000	3.6358e-10
system_38	LC3 (TP11)	0.240	3.4815e-11	10.000	3.6803e-11
$system_38$	LC3 (TP12)	0.310	3.511e-12	10.000	3.7114e-12
$system_38$	LC3 (TP13)	0.480	3.8325e-13	10.000	3.8405e-13
system_38	LC3 (TP14)	0.690	8.8596e-14	10.000	6.0923e-14
system_38	Riot (05, 1e-11)	$0 \mathrm{m} 1.119 \mathrm{s}$	8.3338e-11	-0.000	3.9802e-10
$system_38$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.599 \mathrm{s}$	3.0975e-14	-0.000	2.307e-11
system_38	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.755 \mathrm{s}$	4.4409e-15	-0.000	4.7198e-14
system_38	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	1m10.629s	0.00053855	9.927	935.08
system_38	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0 m 4.51 s	0.0054036	7.390	83.458
system_38	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.436 s	0.055881	4.675	9.5271
system_38	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.027 \mathrm{s}$	2.3315e-15	10.000	1.7986e-14
$system_{-}38$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.023 s	1.4433e-15	10.000	1.2323e-14
system_38	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.026 s	1.4155e-15	10.000	1.1435e-14

 Table 3.34:
 Simulation results of Problem 38

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_39	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.180	0.098956	10.000	0.0040241
$system_39$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.250	0.098918	10.000	0.0040059
$system_39$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.350	0.098895	10.000	0.0039945
$system_39$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.500	0.098881	10.000	0.0039875
$system_39$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.760	0.098872	10.000	0.0039831
$system_39$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	1.150	0.098866	10.000	0.0039803
system_39	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	1.730	0.098863	10.000	0.0039785
system_39	RK4 (TP8)	0.110	0.098857	10.000	0.0039757
system_39	RK4 (TP9)	0.170	0.098857	10.000	0.0039756
$system_39$	RK4 (TP10)	0.230	0.098857	10.000	0.0039756
$system_39$	RK4 (TP11)	0.310	0.098856	10.000	0.0039756
$system_39$	RK4 (TP12)	0.480	0.098856	10.000	0.0039756
$system_39$	RK4 (TP13)	0.690	0.098856	10.000	0.0039756
$system_39$	RK4 (TP14)	1.060	0.098856	10.000	0.0039756
system_39	LA3 (TP8)	0.100	0.10458	10.000	0.0045642
$system_39$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.130	0.10263	10.000	0.0043234
$system_39$	LA3 (TP10)	0.180	0.10129	10.000	0.0041864
$system_39$	LA3 (TP11)	0.240	0.1004	10.000	0.0041045
$system_39$	LA3 (TP12)	0.330	0.099845	10.000	0.0040554
$system_39$	LA3 (TP13)	0.470	0.099481	10.000	0.0040253
system_39	LA3 $(TP14)$	0.690	0.09925	10.000	0.0040067
system_39	LC3 (TP8)	0.110	0.10484	10.000	0.0046338
$system_39$	LC3 (TP9)	0.150	0.10273	10.000	0.0043531
$system_39$	LC3 (TP10)	0.200	0.10128	10.000	0.0042001
$system_39$	LC3 (TP11)	0.270	0.1004	10.000	0.004112
$system_39$	LC3 (TP12)	0.380	0.099832	10.000	0.0040597
$system_39$	LC3 (TP13)	0.560	0.099472	10.000	0.0040278
system_39	LC3 (TP14)	0.820	0.099244	10.000	0.0040082
system_39	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m3.777s	0.09197	-0.000	1.135e-05
$system_39$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	6m32.012s	0.09682	-0.000	0.24626
system_39	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	13m4.722s	0.09682	-0.000	0.24626
system_39	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	0m23.487s	0.67999	2.515	881.5
$system_39$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m1.379s	0.68374	2.303	6.9672
system_39	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.247 s	0.73359	2.275	9.8884
system_39	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.028s	0.10211	10.000	0.29379
system_39	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.028 s	0.10278	10.000	0.30109
$system_39$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.025 s	0.10322	10.000	0.3087

Table 3.35: Simulation results of Problem 39

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_40	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	25.590	1.5611e-06	9.522	0.62151
system_40	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	35.300	1.886e-07	10.000	0.24996
system_40	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	49.740	2.2374e-08	10.000	0.029197
system_40	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	74.140	2.6015e-09	10.000	0.0094394
system_40	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	113.610	2.9517e-10	10.000	0.0011596
system_40	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	173.010	3.3894e-11	10.000	0.0001425
$system_40$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	266.180	5.7838e-12	10.000	2.3248e-05
system_40	RK4 (TP8)	18.480	1.0075e-06	10.000	0.54239
system_40	RK4 (TP9)	21.920	1.3601e-07	10.000	0.1267
$system_40$	RK4 (TP10)	30.550	1.5332e-08	10.000	0.023281
system_40	RK4 (TP11)	43.010	1.6852e-09	10.000	0.0039165
system_40	RK4 (TP12)	64.720	1.8093e-10	10.000	0.00066257
$system_40$	RK4 (TP13)	101.010	1.9397e-11	10.000	7.4275e-05
system_40	RK4 (TP14)	151.690	2.5571e-12	10.000	9.829e-06
system_40	LA3 (TP8)	16.790	1.1266e-06	10.000	0.60409
$system_40$	LA3 $(TP9)$	21.760	1.3529e-07	10.000	0.15636
$system_40$	LA3 (TP10)	29.580	1.6075e-08	10.000	0.026108
$system_40$	LA3 (TP11)	41.390	1.8938e-09	10.000	0.0063105
$system_40$	LA3 (TP12)	60.530	2.1847e-10	10.000	0.00083967
$system_40$	LA3 (TP13)	91.840	2.4841e-11	10.000	0.00010056
system_40	LA3 (TP14)	136.740	3.209e-12	10.000	1.2899e-05
system_40	LC3 (TP8)	16.910	1.2305e-06	9.878	0.61908
$system_40$	LC3 (TP9)	21.020	1.5037 e-07	10.000	0.16173
$system_40$	LC3 (TP10)	28.780	1.8244e-08	10.000	0.033471
$system_40$	LC3 (TP11)	39.800	2.1564e-09	10.000	0.0072601
$system_40$	LC3 (TP12)	58.290	2.5061e-10	10.000	0.0009416
$system_40$	LC3 (TP13)	88.440	2.8377e-11	10.000	0.00011561
system_40	LC3 (TP14)	133.470	3.5767e-12	10.000	1.4508e-05
system_40	Riot (05, 1e-11)	$0\mathrm{m}26.087\mathrm{s}$	1.9465e-10	0.000	0
$system_40$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	11m50.212s	5.0149e-12	0.000	0
system_40	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	60m12.975s	7.1054e-15	0.000	0
system_40	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m12.132s	0.0010009	0.000	0
$system_40$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.366 \mathrm{s}$	0.010036	0.000	0
system_40	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.035 \mathrm{s}$	0.10322	0.000	0
system_40	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.046 s	3.8192e-14	3.000	2.9702e-10
$system_40$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 3.850 s	2.7978e-14	0.000	0
$system_40$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m4.400s	2.1316e-14	0.000	0

Table 3.36:Simulation results of Problem 40

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system 41	TAYLOB4 (TP8)	38 240	0 23341	2 193	0.81586
system 41	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	56.240	0.23356	2.144	0.84571
system 41	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	82.870	0.23312	2.150	0.844
system 41	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	129.130	0.23292	2.133	0.86159
system 41	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	203.650	0.23286	2.139	0.85592
system 41	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	317.250	0.2329	2.131	0.85896
system_41	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	501.260	0.23288	2.155	0.84068
	RK4 (TP8)	24.250	0.23001	2.229	0.79366
system_41	RK4 (TP9)	28.080	0.22991	2.223	0.79461
system_41	RK4 (TP10)	40.330	0.22957	2.211	0.80802
system_41	RK4 (TP11)	59.630	0.23089	2.190	0.8191
system_41	RK4(TP12)	90.700	0.23209	2.165	0.83117
system_41	RK4 (TP13)	144.140	0.23228	2.156	0.83803
system_41	RK4 (TP14)	224.750	0.23232	2.149	0.84697
system_41	LA3 (TP8)	22.090	0.23611	2.198	0.81753
system_41	LA3 (TP9)	27.740	0.23533	2.193	0.81736
system_41	LA3 (TP10)	40.040	0.23467	2.157	0.83501
system_41	LA3 (TP11)	59.630	0.23386	2.143	0.84664
system_41	LA3 (TP12)	90.130	0.23336	2.160	0.83456
system_41	LA3 (TP13)	139.080	0.23337	2.149	0.84272
system_41	LA3 (TP14)	223.950	0.2331	2.150	0.84385
system_41	LC3 (TP8)	20.800	0.23786	2.181	0.821
system_41	LC3 (TP9)	29.050	0.23605	2.187	0.8203
system_41	LC3 (TP10)	40.420	0.23551	2.158	0.83568
system_41	LC3 (TP11)	59.040	0.23434	2.140	0.84825
system_41	LC3 (TP12)	91.580	0.23364	2.156	0.84324
$system_41$	LC3 (TP13)	142.150	0.23351	2.141	0.85052
system_41	LC3 (TP14)	220.420	0.23324	2.140	0.85526
system_41	Riot (05, 1e-11)	4m0.951s	0.22004	0.000	0
$system_41$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	81m51.368s	0.22004	0.000	0
system_41	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	305m35.205s	0.22004	0.000	0
system_41	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m10.623s	0.3966	0.000	0
$system_41$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m0.275s	0.4067	0.000	0
system_41	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.029s	0.51161	0.000	0
system_41	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.056s	0.24701	2.251	1.0915
$system_41$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.061 s	0.24758	2.240	1.1135
$system_41$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.068 s	0.24797	2.231	1.1282

Table 3.37 Simulation results of Problem 41

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_42	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.670	6.5182e-08	10.000	0.0001853
system_42	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.970	6.9772 e-09	10.000	7.5231e-05
$system_42$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	1.510	7.267e-10	10.000	2.3754e-05
$system_42$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	2.340	7.4017e-11	10.000	3.93e-06
$system_42$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	3.720	7.7236e-12	10.000	4.2675e-07
$system_42$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	5.810	1.1897e-12	10.000	6.9992e-08
$system_42$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	9.150	7.8271e-13	10.000	4.9014 e-08
system_42	RK4 (TP8)	0.420	4.9849e-08	10.000	6.6443e-05
system_42	RK4 (TP9)	0.600	5.3644 e-09	10.000	4.1734e-05
system_42	RK4 (TP10)	0.880	5.6878e-10	10.000	2.3717e-05
$system_42$	RK4 (TP11)	1.360	5.7543e-11	10.000	3.2772e-06
system_42	RK4 (TP12)	2.140	5.9515e-12	10.000	3.4427 e-07
$system_42$	RK4 (TP13)	3.380	6.9655e-13	10.000	4.1534e-08
$system_42$	RK4 (TP14)	5.250	2.3448e-13	10.000	1.4537e-08
system_42	LA3 (TP8)	0.380	5.4075e-08	10.000	0.00017238
system_42	LA3 (TP9)	0.530	5.9316e-09	10.000	4.4174e-05
system_42	LA3 (TP10)	0.800	6.3172e-10	10.000	2.4504e-05
system_42	LA3 (TP11)	1.180	6.4227e-11	10.000	3.3231e-06
system_42	LA3 (TP12)	1.840	6.5794 e- 12	10.000	3.4972e-07
system_42	LA3 (TP13)	2.940	7.5517e-13	10.000	4.1783e-08
$system_42$	LA3 (TP14)	4.530	2.1938e-13	10.000	1.3757e-08
system_42	LC3 (TP8)	0.360	5.3813e-08	10.000	0.00013458
system_42	LC3 (TP9)	0.480	5.0378e-09	10.000	7.047e-05
system_42	LC3 (TP10)	0.700	4.7183e-10	10.000	2.1268e-05
system_42	LC3 (TP11)	1.030	4.4507e-11	10.000	2.4301e-06
system_42	LC3 (TP12)	1.600	4.3485e-12	10.000	2.4349e-07
$system_42$	LC3 (TP13)	2.530	4.9805e-13	10.000	2.9361e-08
$system_42$	LC3 (TP14)	3.990	1.7741e-13	10.000	1.1287e-08
system_42	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m0.410s	1.4272e-10	-0.000	2.2876e-08
system_42	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0m0.197s	4.0634e-14	-0.000	1.0613e-09
system_42	Riot (15, 1e-11)	0 m 0.264 s	1.8874e-15	-0.000	1.1936e-09
system_42	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m4.192s	0.00030347	9.119	981.67
system_42	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0m0.741s	0.0030419	7.175	270.69
system_42	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	0m0.118s	0.031193	5.000	19.406
system_42	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.010s	5.5511e-15	10.000	3.5123e-12
system_42	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14,1e-14)	0m0.007s	3.7748e-15	10.000	2.3554e-12
system_42	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.010s	3.6637e-15	10.000	2.6627e-12

 Table 3.38: Simulation results of Problem 42

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_43	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.950	0.40394	3.329	6930.6
system_43	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	1.460	0.40494	3.308	4411.4
system_43	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	2.430	0.40469	3.306	2799.3
system_43	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	3.590	0.4051	3.299	1762.2
system_43	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	5.760	0.4055	3.289	1112.5
$system_43$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	9.260	0.40555	3.287	701.1
system_43	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	14.500	0.40561	3.280	442.54
system_43	RK4 (TP8)	0.530	0.40361	3.338	8726.8
$system_43$	RK4 (TP9)	0.800	0.40434	3.333	5561.3
$system_43$	RK4 (TP10)	1.200	0.40498	3.316	3507.6
$system_43$	RK4 (TP11)	1.850	0.40521	3.301	2218.8
$system_43$	RK4 (TP12)	2.930	0.40515	3.288	1405.5
$system_43$	RK4 (TP13)	4.610	0.4055	3.282	888.14
$system_43$	RK4 (TP14)	7.290	0.40557	3.279	560.43
system_43	LA3 (TP8)	0.490	0.41849	3.263	11235
system_43	LA3 (TP9)	0.680	0.41437	3.282	7043.4
$system_43$	LA3 (TP10)	1.030	0.41131	3.280	4481.6
$system_43$	LA3 (TP11)	1.590	0.40936	3.277	2831.3
$system_43$	LA3 (TP12)	2.470	0.40803	3.276	1786.1
$system_43$	LA3 (TP13)	3.930	0.40718	3.283	1129.3
system_43	LA3 (TP14)	6.240	0.40664	3.276	713.89
system_43	LC3 (TP8)	0.460	0.42315	3.230	10495
$system_43$	LC3 (TP9)	0.660	0.41741	3.254	6704.5
$system_43$	LC3 (TP10)	0.990	0.41374	3.259	4299.6
$system_43$	LC3 (TP11)	1.500	0.41082	3.267	2691.3
$system_43$	LC3 (TP12)	2.310	0.40895	3.271	1711.2
$system_43$	LC3 (TP13)	3.680	0.40774	3.271	1079.2
system_43	LC3 (TP14)	5.800	0.40699	3.272	680.82
system_43	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m57.400s	0.36095	0.000	0
$system_43$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	42m34.441s	0.36736	0.000	0
system_43	Riot (15, 1e-11)	335m18.382s	0.36736	0.000	0
system_43	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0 m 4.077 s	0.63512	2.885	954.65
$system_43$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0 m 0.463 s	0.63944	2.860	300.15
system_43	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	0m0.116s	0.68415	2.650	29.66
system_43	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.010s	0.55406	2.715	13888
$system_43$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.011 \mathrm{s}$	0.55889	2.580	29046
system_43	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.009 s	0.52831	2.438	43755

Table 3.39: Simulation results of Problem 43

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_44	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	99.250	1.6729e-08	10.000	5.1709e-07
system_44	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	147.970	1.7394e-09	10.000	7.0242e-08
system_44	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	226.180	1.7919e-10	10.000	9.4333e-09
system_44	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	350.780	1.8123e-11	10.000	1.2442e-09
system_44	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	555.550	1.82e-12	10.000	1.6044 e- 10
$system_44$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	878.990	1.9962e-13	10.000	2.0348e-11
$system_44$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	1374.220	1.9529e-13	10.000	2.7485e-12
system_44	RK4 (TP8)	87.650	2.171e-08	10.000	6.5343e-07
$system_44$	RK4 (TP9)	130.540	2.2593e-09	10.000	8.9434e-08
$system_44$	RK4 (TP10)	200.110	2.3279e-10	10.000	1.2006e-08
$system_44$	RK4 (TP11)	312.950	2.3621e-11	10.000	1.5783e-09
$system_44$	RK4 (TP12)	493.220	2.3793e-12	10.000	2.0472e-10
$system_44$	RK4 (TP13)	783.320	2.4301e-13	10.000	2.5788e-11
system_44	RK4 (TP14)	1230.930	7.9048e-14	10.000	3.2618e-12
system_44	LA3 (TP8)	71.940	1.6981e-08	10.000	5.8303e-07
$system_44$	LA3 (TP9)	98.920	1.7519e-09	10.000	6.9277e-08
system_44	LA3 (TP10)	149.090	1.7923e-10	10.000	8.6749e-09
$system_44$	LA3 (TP11)	233.660	1.8224e-11	10.000	1.1029e-09
$system_44$	LA3 (TP12)	361.000	1.8307e-12	10.000	1.3978e-10
$system_44$	LA3 (TP13)	9.390	0	0.000	0
system_44	LA3 (TP14)	13.960	0	0.000	0
system_44	LC3 (TP8)	75.260	1.7199e-08	10.000	6.3209e-07
$system_44$	LC3 (TP9)	106.040	1.7313e-09	10.000	7.4196e-08
system_44	LC3 (TP10)	163.720	1.801e-10	10.000	9.1825e-09
$system_44$	LC3 (TP11)	248.310	1.8118e-11	10.000	1.157e-09
$system_44$	LC3 (TP12)	9.380	0	0.000	0
$system_44$	LC3 (TP13)	9.390	0	0.000	0
$system_44$	LC3 (TP14)	13.940	0	0.000	0
system_44	Riot				
system_44	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m17.732s	0.00067987	8.555	999.95
system_44	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0m1.845s	0.0068261	7.338	997.5
system_44	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	0 m 0.222 s	0.071092	6.000	977.47
system_44	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.026s	8.3267e-16	10.000	1.0658e-14
system_44	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.019 s	4.996e-16	10.000	5.5511e-15
system_44	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.014 s	1.9429e-16	10.000	3.9968e-15

 Table 3.40:
 Simulation results of Problem 44

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_45	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	109.900	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
system_45	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	162.030	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
system_45	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	247.300	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
system_45	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	385.000	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
system_45	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	610.920	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
$system_45$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	978.780	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
$system_45$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	1538.830	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
system_45	RK4 (TP8)	95.190	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
$system_45$	RK4 (TP9)	141.530	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
$system_45$	RK4 (TP10)	218.660	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
$system_45$	RK4 (TP11)	338.220	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
$system_45$	RK4 (TP12)	538.980	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
$system_45$	RK4 (TP13)	853.390	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
$system_45$	RK4 (TP14)	1335.820	0.36788	10.000	0.1126
system_45	LA3 (TP8)	75.870	0.38756	10.000	0.18747
$system_45$	LA3 (TP9)	106.810	0.38077	10.000	0.15599
$system_45$	LA3 (TP10)	164.780	0.37601	10.000	0.13858
$system_45$	LA3 (TP11)	253.600	0.37304	10.000	0.12843
$system_45$	LA3 (TP12)	392.330	0.37115	10.000	0.12237
$system_45$	LA3 (TP13)	628.270	0.36994	10.000	0.11868
system_45	LA3 (TP14)	990.970	0.36917	10.000	0.1164
system_45	LC3 (TP8)	79.310	0.38884	10.000	0.192
$system_45$	LC3 (TP9)	114.090	0.38141	10.000	0.15824
$system_45$	LC3 (TP10)	175.810	0.37636	10.000	0.13975
$system_45$	LC3 (TP11)	272.780	0.37326	10.000	0.1291
$system_45$	LC3 (TP12)	431.510	0.37127	10.000	0.12276
$system_45$	LC3 (TP13)	676.980	0.37001	10.000	0.11892
system_45	LC3 (TP14)	1073.260	0.36923	10.000	0.11655
system_45	Riot				
system_45	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m17.383s	2.72	4.274	999.57
system_45	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0m1.838s	2.7353	4.263	997.63
$system_45$	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.222 \mathrm{s}$	2.8947	4.150	973.41
system_45	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.024s	0.36788	10.000	0.66718
system_45	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.020 s	0.36788	10.000	0.66718
system_45	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.013 s	0.36788	10.000	0.66718

Table 3.41: Simulation results of Problem 45

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_46	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	206.640	2.8129e-07	10.000	4.6794e-08
system_46	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	295.550	3.9758e-08	10.000	6.1744e-09
system_46	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	442.500	5.6043 e-09	10.000	9.4693e-10
system_46	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	659.730	7.9915e-10	10.000	1.3713e-10
system_46	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1006.260	1.1174e-10	10.000	2.0165e-11
$system_46$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	1520.690	1.557e-11	10.000	2.9375e-12
system_46	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	2311.850	2.162e-12	10.000	4.3671e-13
system_46	RK4 (TP8)	181.660	3.5581e-07	10.000	6.0115e-08
$system_46$	RK4 (TP9)	266.450	5.0501e-08	10.000	8.4781e-09
$system_46$	RK4 (TP10)	398.290	7.1016e-09	10.000	1.1435e-09
$system_46$	RK4 (TP11)	595.700	9.957e-10	10.000	1.7022e-10
$system_46$	RK4 (TP12)	900.870	1.3983e-10	10.000	2.492e-11
$system_46$	RK4 (TP13)	1372.050	1.9572e-11	10.000	3.7196e-12
system_46	RK4 (TP14)	2083.170	2.7271e-12	10.000	5.4328e-13
system_46	LA3 (TP8)	142.750	3.029e-07	10.000	8.59e-08
$system_46$	LA3 $(TP9)$	204.050	3.6926e-08	10.000	7.3781e-09
$system_46$	LA3 (TP10)	301.230	4.8977e-09	10.000	9.0584 e- 10
$system_46$	LA3 (TP11)	455.320	6.5218e-10	10.000	1.2048e-10
$system_46$	LA3 (TP12)	683.080	9.0125e-11	10.000	1.6853e-11
$system_46$	LA3 (TP13)	1035.690	1.2352e-11	10.000	2.3525e-12
system_46	LA3 (TP14)	18.270	0	0.000	0
system_46	LC3 (TP8)	149.890	3.2956e-07	10.000	1.0752e-07
$system_46$	LC3 (TP9)	219.390	4.0029e-08	10.000	8.8952e-09
$system_46$	LC3 (TP10)	323.850	5.1723e-09	10.000	1.0649e-09
$system_46$	LC3 (TP11)	490.370	6.9923e-10	10.000	1.2867e-10
$system_46$	LC3 (TP12)	737.050	9.5419e-11	10.000	1.7671e-11
$system_46$	LC3 (TP13)	1110.750	1.3104e-11	10.000	2.5332e-12
system_46	LC3 (TP14)	18.420	0	0.000	0
system_46	Riot				
system_46	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m19.620s	0.90083	1.613	998.27
system_46	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0m2.097s	10.696	1.383	994.33
system_46	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	0 m 0.280 s	717.1	1.000	717.1
system_46	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.112s	2.9109e-15	10.000	8.7708e-14
system_46	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.064s	1.5613e-15	10.000	3.9968e-14
system_46	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.040s	8.3267e-16	10.000	2.4092e-14

 Table 3.42:
 Simulation results of Problem 46

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_47	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	251.600	0.073576	10.000	9.138e-06
system_47	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	370.930	0.073576	10.000	9.0857 e-06
system_47	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	574.250	0.073576	10.000	9.08e-06
$system_47$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	896.240	0.073576	10.000	9.08e-06
system_47	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1411.760	0.073576	10.000	9.08e-06
$system_47$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	2235.520	0.073576	10.000	9.08e-06
$system_47$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	3574.470	0.073576	10.000	9.08e-06
system_47	RK4 (TP8)	214.830	0.073576	10.000	9.1474e-06
$system_47$	RK4 (TP9)	329.930	0.073576	10.000	9.0882e-06
$system_47$	RK4 (TP10)	514.490	0.073576	10.000	9.08e-06
$system_47$	RK4 (TP11)	804.210	0.073576	10.000	9.08e-06
$system_47$	RK4 (TP12)	1261.520	0.073576	10.000	9.08e-06
$system_47$	RK4 (TP13)	1985.340	0.073576	10.000	9.08e-06
$system_47$	RK4 (TP14)	3126.690	0.073576	10.000	9.08e-06
system_47	LA3 (TP8)	172.260	0.073587	10.000	5.1859e-05
$system_47$	LA3 $(TP9)$	253.280	0.073581	10.000	2.9554 e - 05
$system_47$	LA3 (TP10)	387.100	0.073578	10.000	1.9645 e-05
$system_47$	LA3 (TP11)	606.460	0.073577	10.000	1.4898e-05
$system_47$	LA3 (TP12)	939.230	0.073576	10.000	1.2458e-05
$system_47$	LA3 (TP13)	1476.800	0.073576	10.000	1.1107e-05
$system_47$	LA3 (TP14)	2318.910	0.073576	10.000	1.0316e-05
system_47	LC3 (TP8)	183.610	0.073588	10.000	5.7105e-05
$system_47$	LC3 (TP9)	275.560	0.073581	10.000	3.2142e-05
$system_47$	LC3 (TP10)	417.670	0.073578	10.000	2.056e-05
$system_47$	LC3 (TP11)	655.720	0.073577	10.000	1.5314e-05
$system_47$	LC3 (TP12)	1024.290	0.073576	10.000	1.2655e-05
$system_47$	LC3 (TP13)	1599.140	0.073576	10.000	1.1206e-05
$system_47$	LC3 (TP14)	2513.770	0.073576	10.000	1.0371e-05
system_47	Riot				
system_47	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m19.696s	43.149	1.244	998.7
$system_47$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m2.122s	62.436	1.215	989.09
system_47	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.270 s	832.17	0.975	832.17
system_47	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.112s	0.073576	10.000	0.19992
$system_47$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.063 s	0.073576	10.000	0.19992
$system_47$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.038 s	0.073576	10.000	0.19992

Table 3.43: Simulation results of Problem 47

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_48	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	270.510	3.5098e-08	10.000	7.1884e-07
system_48	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	411.140	3.7378e-09	10.000	1.0437e-07
$system_48$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	636.760	3.9037e-10	10.000	1.5031e-08
system_48	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	1003.860	3.9284e-11	10.000	2.1706e-09
$system_48$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1586.020	4.0629e-12	10.000	3.1246e-10
$system_48$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	2484.510	4.9355e-13	10.000	4.4848e-11
$system_48$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	3908.730	1.9457e-13	10.000	6.4941e-12
system_48	RK4 (TP8)	244.580	4.4892e-08	10.000	9.0045e-07
$system_48$	RK4 (TP9)	372.300	4.8038e-09	10.000	1.306e-07
$system_48$	RK4 (TP10)	583.480	5.112e-10	10.000	1.8909e-08
$system_48$	RK4 (TP11)	921.360	5.1871e-11	10.000	2.7231e-09
$system_48$	RK4 (TP12)	1449.170	5.2396e-12	10.000	3.9235e-10
$system_48$	RK4 (TP13)	2274.660	5.6177e-13	10.000	5.6309e-11
$system_48$	RK4 (TP14)	3598.580	1.0719e-13	10.000	8.1017e-12
system_48	LA3 (TP8)	192.150	3.5165e-08	10.000	5.7435e-06
$system_48$	LA3 $(TP9)$	282.410	3.6459e-09	10.000	3.0749e-07
$system_48$	LA3 (TP10)	432.900	3.8153e-10	10.000	2.5881e-08
$system_48$	LA3 (TP11)	688.310	3.9668e-11	10.000	2.7242e-09
$system_48$	LA3 (TP12)	1069.590	4.036e-12	10.000	3.2499e-10
$system_48$	LA3 (TP13)	24.680	0	0.000	0
system_48	LA3 (TP14)	32.600	0	0.000	0
system_48	LC3 (TP8)	206.350	3.7145e-08	10.000	9.4115e-06
$system_48$	LC3 (TP9)	303.850	3.7256e-09	10.000	3.9047 e-07
$system_48$	LC3 (TP10)	468.430	3.9032e-10	10.000	2.9785e-08
$system_48$	LC3 (TP11)	738.850	3.9451e-11	10.000	3.0202e-09
$system_48$	LC3 (TP12)	1151.230	4.015e-12	10.000	3.5442e-10
$system_48$	LC3 (TP13)	24.670	0	0.000	0
$system_48$	LC3 (TP14)	32.170	0	0.000	0
system_48	Riot				
system_48	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m24.122s	0.004682	4.352	999.44
system_48	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0m2.676s	0.047669	3.725	994.41
system_48	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.311 \mathrm{s}$	0.57528	2.950	913.46
system_48	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.041s	8.0491e-16	10.000	9.194e-16
system_48	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.029s	7.2164e-16	10.000	3.4001e-16
system_48	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.023s	3.0531e-16	10.000	2.498e-16

Table 3.44: Simulation results of Problem 48

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_49	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	309.470	0.10763	10.000	0.011897
system_49	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	471.270	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
$system_49$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	733.000	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
$system_49$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	1166.480	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
$system_49$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1859.450	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
$system_49$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	2949.570	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
system_49	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	4695.330	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
system_49	RK4 (TP8)	272.790	0.10763	10.000	0.011897
$system_49$	RK4 (TP9)	422.230	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
$system_49$	RK4 (TP10)	655.010	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
$system_49$	RK4 (TP11)	1042.530	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
$system_49$	RK4 (TP12)	1639.250	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
$system_49$	RK4 (TP13)	2579.950	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
system_49	RK4 (TP14)	4085.610	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
system_49	LA3 (TP8)	212.960	0.11444	10.000	0.059049
$system_49$	LA3 $(TP9)$	317.320	0.11195	10.000	0.033987
$system_49$	LA3 (TP10)	489.220	0.11035	10.000	0.02343
$system_49$	LA3 $(TP11)$	773.440	0.10933	10.000	0.018369
$system_49$	LA3 $(TP12)$	1212.350	0.1087	10.000	0.015688
$system_49$	LA3 $(TP13)$	1913.060	0.1083	10.000	0.01418
system_49	LA3 (TP14)	3027.240	0.10805	10.000	0.013295
system_49	LC3 (TP8)	227.850	0.11525	10.000	0.063765
$system_49$	LC3 (TP9)	339.800	0.11229	10.000	0.035622
$system_49$	LC3 (TP10)	526.690	0.11049	10.000	0.024098
$system_49$	LC3 (TP11)	839.800	0.10941	10.000	0.018691
$system_49$	LC3 (TP12)	1309.320	0.10874	10.000	0.015859
$system_49$	LC3 (TP13)	2073.580	0.10833	10.000	0.014276
system_49	LC3 (TP14)	3273.800	0.10807	10.000	0.013352
system_49	Riot				
system_49	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m24.032s	5.8874	2.488	999.56
system_49	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0m2.571s	5.9852	2.475	998.38
system_49	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.314 \mathrm{s}$	7.1174	2.350	997.96
system_49	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.044s	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
system_49	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.030 s	0.10763	10.000	0.011895
system_49	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.021 s	0.10763	10.000	0.011895

Table 3.45: Simulation results of Problem 49

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_56	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	1.210	1.2465e-05	10.000	8.3213e-05
system_56	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	1.470	4.9579e-06	10.000	4.0366e-05
$system_56$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	2.060	2.875e-06	10.000	3.0631e-05
$system_56$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	2.980	9.1925e-07	10.000	3.1768e-05
$system_56$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	4.320	2.9164 e-07	10.000	0.00013262
$system_56$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	6.340	8.4087 e-08	10.000	0.00010804
$system_56$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	9.610	1.159e-08	10.000	3.8782e-05
system_56	RK4 (TP8)	0.590	2.9768e-07	10.000	1.6874e-05
system_56	RK4 (TP9)	0.800	4.3149e-08	10.000	1.0541e-05
system_56	RK4 (TP10)	1.040	6.4105e-09	10.000	8.784e-06
system_56	RK4 (TP11)	1.550	8.693e-10	10.000	2.2891e-06
system_56	RK4 (TP12)	2.300	1.1902e-10	10.000	3.4672e-07
$system_56$	RK4 (TP13)	3.410	1.572e-11	10.000	4.8711e-08
$system_56$	RK4 (TP14)	5.200	2.0466e-12	10.000	6.8005e-09
system_56	LA3 (TP8)	0.590	2.3105e-07	10.000	2.6021e-05
system_56	LA3 (TP9)	0.790	3.7592e-08	10.000	1.2067 e-05
$system_56$	LA3 (TP10)	1.090	5.8336e-09	10.000	9.2705e-06
system_56	LA3 (TP11)	1.520	$8.9354e{-}10$	10.000	2.7425e-06
$system_56$	LA3 (TP12)	2.210	1.3327e-10	10.000	4.3035e-07
$system_56$	LA3 (TP13)	3.110	1.9496e-11	10.000	6.3061e-08
$system_56$	LA3 (TP14)	4.550	2.8287e-12	10.000	9.2639e-09
system_56	LC3 (TP8)	0.600	2.2727e-07	10.000	2.0461e-05
system_56	LC3 (TP9)	0.780	3.6407 e-08	10.000	1.6216e-05
system_56	LC3 (TP10)	1.090	5.4528e-09	10.000	9.3004e-06
system_56	LC3 (TP11)	1.570	7.8127e-10	10.000	2.411e-06
$system_56$	LC3 (TP12)	2.350	1.062e-10	10.000	3.3882e-07
$system_56$	LC3 (TP13)	3.370	1.3902e-11	10.000	4.5448e-08
$system_56$	LC3 (TP14)	5.080	1.8026e-12	10.000	6.1851e-09
system_56	Riot (02, 1e-11)	0m2.480s	2.643e-07	-0.000	0.001449
$system_{-}56$	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0 m 0.300 s	6.8263e-11	-0.000	2.0833e-07
$system_56$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0 m 0.259 s	1.0353e-12	-0.000	1.1906e-09
$system_56$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.375 s	4.563e-14	-0.000	6.2571e-12
system_56	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.982s	0.00019354	10.000	4.7911
$system_56$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m0.184s	0.0019484	10.000	48.755
$system_56$	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.026s	0.020834	10.000	582.16
system_56	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.015s	4.6629e-15	10.000	6.9611e-14
$system_56$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m $0.017$ s	3.5527e-15	10.000	5.948e-14
$system_56$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.019 s	2.7756e-15	10.000	3.9801e-14

 Table 3.46: Simulation results of Problem 56

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_57	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	1.060	0.0067999	10.000	0.0034968
system_57	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	1.420	0.0067971	10.000	0.0033828
$system_57$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	2.050	0.0067966	10.000	0.003375
$system_57$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	2.970	0.0067958	10.000	0.0033756
$system_57$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	4.230	0.0067957	10.000	0.0034279
$system_57$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	6.310	0.0067956	10.000	0.0034369
$system_57$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	9.570	0.0067956	10.000	0.0033881
system_57	RK4 (TP8)	0.580	0.0067958	10.000	0.0033643
system_57	RK4 (TP9)	0.780	0.0067956	10.000	0.0033621
system_57	RK4 (TP10)	1.060	0.0067956	10.000	0.0033584
system_57	RK4 (TP11)	1.530	0.0067956	10.000	0.0033523
system_57	RK4 (TP12)	2.410	0.0067956	10.000	0.0033504
$system_57$	RK4 (TP13)	3.320	0.0067956	10.000	0.0033501
$system_57$	RK4 (TP14)	5.180	0.0067956	10.000	0.00335
system_57	LA3 (TP8)	0.580	0.0069796	10.000	0.013207
system_57	LA3 (TP9)	0.770	0.0069339	10.000	0.0083059
system_57	LA3 (TP10)	1.060	0.0068985	10.000	0.0086576
system_57	LA3 (TP11)	1.480	0.0068688	10.000	0.0060924
$system_57$	LA3 (TP12)	2.160	0.0068461	10.000	0.0054237
$system_57$	LA3 (TP13)	3.030	0.0068304	10.000	0.0045981
$system_{-}57$	LA3 (TP14)	4.490	0.0068194	10.000	0.004046
system_57	LC3 (TP8)	0.590	0.0070117	10.000	0.014465
$system_57$	LC3 (TP9)	0.770	0.0069595	10.000	0.0095625
$system_57$	LC3 (TP10)	1.070	0.0069121	10.000	0.010461
$system_57$	LC3 (TP11)	1.520	0.0068748	10.000	0.0065855
$system_57$	LC3 (TP12)	2.280	0.0068492	10.000	0.0056602
$system_57$	LC3 (TP13)	3.300	0.0068311	10.000	0.0047481
$system_57$	LC3 (TP14)	5.050	0.0068188	10.000	0.004001
system_57	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m0.342s	0.013481	-0.000	33.434
$system_{-}57$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0 m 0.308 s	0.012937	-0.000	4.2549
$system_57$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.517 s	0.012937	-0.000	1.078
system_57	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.863s	0.015962	10.000	288.91
$system_57$	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0m0.180s	0.017692	10.000	337.44
$system_57$	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.024s	0.035905	10.000	921.84
system_57	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.015s	0.0067956	10.000	0.054773
system_57	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.018 s	0.0067956	10.000	0.054773
system_57	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.018s	0.0067956	10.000	0.054773

Table 3.47: Simulation results of Problem 57

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_58	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.180	7.7141e-08	10.000	3.4549e-05
system_58	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.260	8.0285e-09	10.000	2.1271e-05
$system_58$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.410	8.2963e-10	10.000	9.8007e-06
$system_58$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.640	8.5321e-11	10.000	3.2405e-06
$system_58$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1.000	8.848e-12	10.000	3.459e-07
$system_58$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	1.550	1.6449e-12	10.000	5.4546e-08
$system_58$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	2.730	1.3616e-12	10.000	3.6384 e-08
system_58	RK4 (TP8)	0.130	1.1435e-07	10.000	3.0612e-05
system_58	RK4 (TP9)	0.190	1.5557e-08	10.000	1.7471e-05
$system_58$	RK4 (TP10)	0.280	1.8288e-09	10.000	8.2663e-06
system_58	RK4 (TP11)	0.440	1.9948e-10	10.000	4.5881e-06
system_58	RK4 (TP12)	0.680	2.3118e-11	10.000	5.8802e-07
$system_58$	RK4 (TP13)	1.030	2.7485e-12	10.000	7.0181e-08
system_58	RK4 (TP14)	1.600	6.4215e-13	10.000	1.6374e-08
system_58	LA3 (TP8)	0.100	7.7582e-08	10.000	3.862e-05
$system_58$	LA3 (TP9)	0.150	8.4071e-09	10.000	1.8014 e-05
$system_58$	LA3 (TP10)	0.230	1e-09	10.000	5.9569e-06
$system_58$	LA3 (TP11)	0.350	1.1954e-10	10.000	4.7884e-06
$system_58$	LA3 (TP12)	0.550	1.4015e-11	10.000	5.7684 e-07
$system_58$	LA3 (TP13)	0.840	1.6018e-12	10.000	6.6192 e-08
system_58	LA3 (TP14)	1.300	4.8228e-13	10.000	1.4357e-08
system_58	LC3 (TP8)	0.110	7.6055e-08	10.000	3.2019e-05
$system_58$	LC3 (TP9)	0.160	7.0379e-09	10.000	1.7252e-05
$system_58$	LC3 (TP10)	0.240	7.073e-10	10.000	8.826e-06
$system_58$	LC3 (TP11)	0.370	6.9519e-11	10.000	2.7407e-06
$system_58$	LC3 (TP12)	0.570	7.0854e-12	10.000	2.6685e-07
$system_58$	LC3 (TP13)	0.880	9.0639e-13	10.000	3.0817e-08
system_58	LC3 (TP14)	1.360	4.0279e-13	10.000	1.0658e-08
system_58	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0 m 0.386 s	6.7986e-11	-0.000	1.8892e-06
$system_58$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0 m 0.225 s	7.1609e-13	-0.000	3.3649e-08
system_58	Riot (15, 1e-11)	0 m 0.310 s	2.1094e-14	-0.000	7.9267 e-07
system_58	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m1.907s	0.0032029	4.129	968.02
$system_58$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0 m 0.289 s	0.032453	3.468	825.8
system_58	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	0 m 0.063 s	0.36874	2.325	2.7348
system_58	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.007s	9.992e-15	10.000	5.2854e-13
system_58	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.007 s	5.9952e-15	10.000	3.5797e-13
$system_58$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.008 s	5.107 e- 15	10.000	2.6821e-13

 Table 3.48: Simulation results of Problem 58

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system 59	TAYLOB4 (TP8)	0.320	0.61888	2 332	409.62
system 59	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.500	0.61763	2.342	627.45
system_59	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.780	0.62016	2.343	947.95
system_59	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	1.220	0.61634	2.353	1393.3
system_59	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1.960	0.61604	2.356	2026.9
system_59	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	3.070	0.61584	2.358	2938.4
system_59	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	4.880	0.61601	2.356	1820.2
system_59	RK4 (TP8)	0.190	0.61554	2.340	309.16
$system_59$	RK4 (TP9)	0.290	0.61552	2.346	482.14
$system_59$	RK4 (TP10)	0.460	0.61552	2.351	729.25
$system_59$	RK4 (TP11)	0.700	0.61571	2.354	1074.3
$system_59$	RK4 (TP12)	1.150	0.61887	2.351	1577.8
$system_59$	RK4 (TP13)	1.740	0.61551	2.358	2300
$system_59$	RK4 (TP14)	2.740	0.61551	2.359	2656.2
system_59	LA3 (TP8)	0.170	0.62868	2.301	256.97
$system_59$	LA3 (TP9)	0.240	0.6239	2.322	405.24
$system_59$	LA3 (TP10)	0.370	0.62081	2.335	610.27
$system_59$	LA3 (TP11)	0.580	0.61885	2.344	914.53
$system_59$	LA3 (TP12)	0.890	0.61796	2.350	1348.2
$system_59$	LA3 (TP13)	1.410	0.61684	2.354	1965
$system_59$	LA3 (TP14)	2.230	0.61635	2.357	2848.1
system_59	LC3 (TP8)	0.170	0.63191	2.285	262.91
$system_59$	LC3 (TP9)	0.260	0.6259	2.312	421.05
$system_59$	LC3 (TP10)	0.390	0.62194	2.329	642.8
$system_59$	LC3 (TP11)	0.610	0.61954	2.341	952.94
$system_59$	LC3 (TP12)	1.050	0.62126	2.343	1402.2
$system_59$	LC3 (TP13)	1.490	0.61753	2.352	2043.1
system_59	LC3 (TP14)	2.350	0.61652	2.356	2965.4
system_59	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0 m 7.354 s	0	0.000	0
$system_59$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	6m33.869s	0.58244	0.000	0
system_59	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	53m34.326s	0.58244	0.000	0
system_59	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	0 m 3.563 s	1.4356	1.733	990.17
$system_59$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0 m 0.469 s	1.5086	1.698	818.5
system_59	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.100 \mathrm{s}$	3.003	1.300	23.135
system_59	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.013s	1.4378	1.641	3.7929e + 05
$system_59$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.013 s	1.8859	1.527	1.176e + 06
$system_59$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.011 s	2.2062	1.455	1.9992e + 06

 Table 3.49:
 Simulation results of Problem 59

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_60	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.980	3.6313e-08	10.000	2.0172e-05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_60	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	1.510	3.91e-09	10.000	1.0452 e- 05
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_60	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	2.370	4.1545e-10	10.000	2.449e-06
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_60	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	3.760	4.2142e-11	10.000	2.5501e-07
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_60	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	5.900	4.5062 e- 12	10.000	2.7482e-08
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_60	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	9.510	8.6153e-13	10.000	4.9282e-09
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_60	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	15.000	7.2609e-13	10.000	3.8885e-09
system_60         RK4 (TP9)         0.850         5.3363e-09         10.000         6.8093e-06           system_60         RK4 (TP10)         1.280         5.4613e-10         10.000         3.8676e-06           system_60         RK4 (TP11)         2.460         5.5102e-11         10.000         4.6275e-07           system_60         RK4 (TP12)         3.240         5.5893e-12         10.000         4.885e-08           system_60         RK4 (TP13)         5.110         6.1329e-13         10.000         5.556e-09           system_60         RK4 (TP14)         7.970         2.0783e-13         10.000         1.3849e-09           system 60         LA3 (TP8)         0.550         5.2924e-08         10.000         1.3089e-05	system_60	RK4 (TP8)	0.560	5.1365e-08	10.000	1.0619e-05
system_60         RK4 (TP10)         1.280         5.4613e-10         10.000         3.8676e-06           system_60         RK4 (TP11)         2.460         5.5102e-11         10.000         4.6275e-07           system_60         RK4 (TP12)         3.240         5.5893e-12         10.000         4.885e-08           system_60         RK4 (TP13)         5.110         6.1329e-13         10.000         5.556e-09           system_60         RK4 (TP14)         7.970         2.0783e-13         10.000         1.3849e-09           system 60         LA3 (TP8)         0.550         5.2924e-08         10.000         1.3089e-05	system_60	RK4 (TP9)	0.850	5.3363e-09	10.000	6.8093e-06
system_60         RK4 (TP11)         2.460         5.5102e-11         10.000         4.6275e-07           system_60         RK4 (TP12)         3.240         5.5893e-12         10.000         4.885e-08           system_60         RK4 (TP13)         5.110         6.1329e-13         10.000         5.556e-09           system_60         RK4 (TP14)         7.970         2.0783e-13         10.000         1.3849e-09           system 60         LA3 (TP8)         0.550         5.2924e-08         10.000         1.3089e-05	system_60	RK4 (TP10)	1.280	5.4613 e- 10	10.000	3.8676e-06
system_60         RK4 (TP12)         3.240         5.5893e-12         10.000         4.885e-08           system_60         RK4 (TP13)         5.110         6.1329e-13         10.000         5.556e-09           system_60         RK4 (TP14)         7.970         2.0783e-13         10.000         1.3849e-09           system 60         LA3 (TP8)         0.550         5.2924e-08         10.000         1.3089e-05	system_60	RK4 (TP11)	2.460	5.5102e-11	10.000	4.6275e-07
system_60         RK4 (TP13)         5.110         6.1329e-13         10.000         5.556e-09           system_60         RK4 (TP14)         7.970         2.0783e-13         10.000         1.3849e-09           system 60         LA3 (TP8)         0.550         5.2924e-08         10.000         1.3089e-05	system_60	RK4 (TP12)	3.240	5.5893e-12	10.000	4.885e-08
system_60         RK4 (TP14)         7.970         2.0783e-13         10.000         1.3849e-09           system 60         LA3 (TP8)         0.550         5.2924e-08         10.000         1.3089e-05	system_60	RK4 (TP13)	5.110	6.1329e-13	10.000	5.556e-09
system 60 LA3 (TP8) 0.550 5.2924e-08 10.000 1.3089e-05	system_60	RK4 (TP14)	7.970	2.0783e-13	10.000	1.3849e-09
	system_60	LA3 (TP8)	0.550	5.2924e-08	10.000	1.3089e-05
system_60 LA3 (TP9) 0.840 5.5683e-09 10.000 1.2154e-05	system_60	LA3 (TP9)	0.840	5.5683e-09	10.000	1.2154e-05
system_60 LA3 (TP10) 1.230 5.7092e-10 10.000 2.8252e-06	system_60	LA3 (TP10)	1.230	5.7092e-10	10.000	2.8252e-06
system_60 LA3 (TP11) 2.120 5.8239e-11 10.000 3.2878e-07	system_60	LA3 (TP11)	2.120	5.8239e-11	10.000	3.2878e-07
system_60 LA3 (TP12) 3.040 5.9095e-12 10.000 3.4149e-08	system_60	LA3 (TP12)	3.040	5.9095e-12	10.000	3.4149e-08
system_60 LA3 (TP13) 4.770 6.4371e-13 10.000 4.0022e-09	$system_60$	LA3 (TP13)	4.770	6.4371e-13	10.000	4.0022e-09
system_60 LA3 (TP14) 7.540 1.9762e-13 10.000 1.2146e-09	system_60	LA3 (TP14)	7.540	1.9762e-13	10.000	1.2146e-09
system_60 LC3 (TP8) 0.580 3.2515e-08 10.000 1.2785e-05	system_60	LC3 (TP8)	0.580	3.2515e-08	10.000	1.2785e-05
system_60 LC3 (TP9) 0.850 3.4269e-09 10.000 1.1738e-05	system_60	LC3 (TP9)	0.850	3.4269e-09	10.000	1.1738e-05
system_60 LC3 (TP10) 1.330 3.5506e-10 10.000 2.5568e-06	system_60	LC3 (TP10)	1.330	3.5506e-10	10.000	2.5568e-06
system_60 LC3 (TP11) 2.090 3.6346e-11 10.000 2.6495e-07	system_60	LC3 (TP11)	2.090	3.6346e-11	10.000	2.6495e-07
system_60 LC3 (TP12) 3.310 3.6904e-12 10.000 2.7388e-08	system_60	LC3 (TP12)	3.310	3.6904 e- 12	10.000	2.7388e-08
system_60 LC3 (TP13) 5.160 4.6496e-13 10.000 3.3249e-09	system_60	LC3 (TP13)	5.160	4.6496e-13	10.000	3.3249e-09
system_60 LC3 (TP14) 8.120 2.0606e-13 10.000 1.1795e-09	system_60	LC3 (TP14)	8.120	2.0606e-13	10.000	1.1795e-09
system_60 Riot (05, 1e-11) 0m0.401s 1.0846e-10 -0.000 4.1356e-07	system_60	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m0.401s	1.0846e-10	-0.000	4.1356e-07
system_60 Riot (10, 1e-11) 0m0.208s 1.3138e-12 -0.000 1.4383e-08	$system_60$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0 m 0.208 s	1.3138e-12	-0.000	1.4383e-08
system_60 Riot (15, 1e-11) 0m0.293s 2.3981e-14 -0.000 1.4009e-09	system_60	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.293 s	2.3981e-14	-0.000	1.4009e-09
system_60 Valencia-IVP (0.00025) 0m2.208s 0.0012113 10.000 21.282	system_60	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m2.208s	0.0012113	10.000	21.282
system_60 Valencia-IVP (0.0025) 0m0.282s 0.012152 8.033 944.65	system_60	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.282 \mathrm{s}$	0.012152	8.033	944.65
system_60 Valencia-IVP (0.025) 0m0.049s 0.12493 5.225 615.14	60	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.049 \mathrm{s}$	0.12493	5.225	615.14
system_60 VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14,1e-14) 0m0.015s 6.3283e-15 10.000 1.8436e-12	system_60	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.015s	6.3283e-15	10.000	1.8436e-12
system_60 VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14,1e-14) 0m0.013s 5.9952e-15 10.000 2.2619e-12	system_60	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.013 s	5.9952e-15	10.000	2.2619e-12
system_60 VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14,1e-14) 0m0.013s 3.9968e-15 10.000 1.127e-12	system_60	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.013 s	3.9968e-15	10.000	1.127e-12

 Table 3.50:
 Simulation results of Problem 60

Problems Methods c5t c5w c6t	c6w
system_61 TAYLOR4 (TP8) 1.180 0.0054407 10.000	8.3228
system_61 TAYLOR4 (TP9) 1.830 0.0053657 10.000	15.453
system_61 TAYLOR4 (TP10) 2.860 0.0054044 10.000	14.813
system_61 TAYLOR4 (TP11) 4.600 0.0054132 10.000	320.66
system_61 TAYLOR4 (TP12) 7.210 0.0054145 10.000	11.811
system_61 TAYLOR4 (TP13) 11.320 0.0053453 10.000	313.11
system_61 TAYLOR4 (TP14) 18.070 0.0054383 10.000	725.35
system_61 RK4 (TP8) 0.620 0.0052643 10.000	3.3273
system_61 RK4 (TP9) 0.920 0.0052883 10.000	12.87
system_61 RK4 (TP10) 1.420 0.0054387 10.000	8.7345
system_61 RK4 (TP11) 2.330 0.0053817 10.000	14.179
system_61 RK4 (TP12) 3.520 0.0053906 10.000 9	90.053
system_61 RK4 (TP13) 5.520 0.0054731 10.000	40.322
system_61 RK4 (TP14) 8.640 0.0054604 10.000	52.883
system_61 LA3 (TP8) 0.600 0.0053293 10.000	11.103
system_61 LA3 (TP9) 0.890 0.0053306 10.000	15.398
system_61 LA3 (TP10) 1.340 0.0053562 10.000	10.597
system_61 LA3 (TP11) 2.080 0.0054059 10.000	24.382
system_61 LA3 (TP12) 3.320 0.0054158 10.000	22.094
system_61 LA3 (TP13) 5.200 0.0054598 9.972	75209
system_61 LA3 (TP14) 8.110 0.0054368 10.000 8	84.479
system_61 LC3 (TP8) 0.620 0.0053219 10.000	15.264
system_61 LC3 (TP9) 0.930 0.0053593 10.000	13.911
system_61 LC3 (TP10) 1.430 0.005359 10.000	12.418
system_61 LC3 (TP11) 2.270 0.0054463 10.000 (	63.773
system_61 LC3 (TP12) 3.630 0.0054206 10.000	26.739
system_61 LC3 (TP13) 5.640 0.0054502 9.731	81583
system_61 LC3 (TP14) 8.820 0.0054423 10.000	44.156
system_61 Riot (05, 1e-11) 0m29.113s 0.016523 0.000	0
system_61 Riot (10, 1e-11) 2m2.447s 0.016523 0.000	0
system_61 Riot (15, 1e-11) 9m16.121s 0.016523 0.000	0
system_61 Valencia-IVP (0.00025) 0m2.193s 0.0070886 7.850 9	995.84
system_61 Valencia-IVP (0.0025) 0m0.314s 0.018078 7.098	938.56
system_61 Valencia-IVP (0.025) 0m0.049s 0.13117 5.150	535.8
system_61 VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14) 0m0.015s 0.0064256 9.464 1.0	425e + 08
system_61 VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14) 0m0.011s 0.007766 9.213 4.7	889e + 08
system_61 VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14,1e-14) 0m0.012s 0.0087521 9.173 1.0	624e + 09

 Table 3.51: Simulation results of Problem 61

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_62	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.020	1.2802e-09	10.000	1.0457e-06
system_62	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.020	1.2802e-09	10.000	1.4972e-07
system_62	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.020	9.382e-10	10.000	1.6447e-08
system_62	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.030	1.4039e-10	10.000	1.7013e-09
system_62	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.030	1.5092e-11	10.000	1.7605e-10
$system_62$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.050	2.1814e-12	10.000	2.251e-11
$system_62$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.070	9.8055e-13	10.000	9.5852e-12
system_62	RK4 (TP8)	0.020	7.5438e-11	10.000	6.0009e-07
system_62	RK4 (TP9)	0.020	7.5438e-11	10.000	2.0981e-07
system_62	RK4 (TP10)	0.020	7.5438e-11	10.000	2.6419e-08
system_62	RK4 (TP11)	0.020	7.5438e-11	10.000	2.6952e-09
$system_62$	RK4 (TP12)	0.020	1.1987e-11	10.000	2.6037e-10
$system_62$	RK4 (TP13)	0.020	1.7266e-12	10.000	2.6858e-11
$system_62$	RK4 (TP14)	0.040	4.0501e-13	10.000	4.7393e-12
system_62	LA3 (TP8)	0.020	2.0744e-10	10.000	3.7513e-07
$system_62$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.020	2.0744e-10	10.000	6.8085e-08
$system_62$	LA3 (TP10)	0.020	2.0744e-10	10.000	8.2075e-09
$system_62$	LA3 (TP11)	0.020	8.3048e-11	10.000	9.0913e-10
$system_62$	LA3 (TP12)	0.020	2.4023e-11	10.000	1.1102e-10
$system_62$	LA3 (TP13)	0.030	3.3396e-12	10.000	1.3493e-11
system_62	LA3 (TP14)	0.040	6.0396e-13	10.000	3.4959e-12
system_62	LC3 (TP8)	0.020	8.3944e-11	10.000	2.7272e-07
$system_62$	LC3 (TP9)	0.020	8.3944e-11	10.000	1.0016e-07
$system_62$	LC3 (TP10)	0.020	8.3944e-11	10.000	1.1054e-08
$system_62$	LC3 (TP11)	0.020	7.875e-11	10.000	1.1258e-09
$system_62$	LC3 (TP12)	0.020	1.1283e-11	10.000	1.1067e-10
$system_62$	LC3 (TP13)	0.030	1.5774e-12	10.000	1.2335e-11
system_62	LC3 (TP14)	0.040	3.8369e-13	10.000	3.304e-12
system_62	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0 m 0.096 s	7.887e-13	-0.000	3.9957e-11
$system_62$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.116 s	7.9226e-13	-0.000	2.2027e-13
system_62	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.139 s	9.3081e-13	-0.000	5.0093e-13
system_62	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	0m1.501s	8e-06	10.000	9.0701e-05
$system_62$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m0.135s	8.0004 e-05	10.000	0.00090724
$system_62$	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.017s	0.00080027	10.000	0.0090954
system_62	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.006s	1.0658e-14	10.000	1.0303e-13
system_62	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.006s	1.0658e-14	10.000	1.1013e-13
$system_62$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.005 s	1.0658e-14	10.000	1.1013e-13

Table 3.52: Simulation results of Problem 62

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_63	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.050	0.91819	10.000	4.9513
system_63	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.060	0.91498	10.000	4.7543
system_63	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.090	0.9127	10.000	4.6373
system_63	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.130	0.9111	10.000	4.5661
system_63	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.200	0.91002	10.000	4.5221
system_63	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.320	0.90932	10.000	4.4947
$system_63$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.500	0.90886	10.000	4.4776
system_63	RK4 (TP8)	0.030	0.90814	10.000	4.452
system_63	RK4 (TP9)	0.030	0.9081	10.000	4.4501
$system_63$	RK4 (TP10)	0.050	0.90808	10.000	4.4493
system_63	RK4 (TP11)	0.070	0.90808	10.000	4.449
system_63	RK4 (TP12)	0.110	0.90807	10.000	4.4489
$system_63$	RK4 (TP13)	0.160	0.90807	10.000	4.4488
$system_{63}$	RK4 (TP14)	0.250	0.90807	10.000	4.4488
system_63	LA3 (TP8)	0.030	0.94854	10.000	5.196
$system_63$	LA3 (TP9)	0.030	0.93622	10.000	4.9019
$system_63$	LA3 (TP10)	0.040	0.927	10.000	4.7292
system_63	LA3 (TP11)	0.060	0.9205	10.000	4.6236
$system_63$	LA3 (TP12)	0.090	0.91616	10.000	4.5583
$system_63$	LA3 (TP13)	0.140	0.91325	10.000	4.5176
system_63	LA3 (TP14)	0.220	0.91136	10.000	4.492
system_63	LC3 (TP8)	0.030	0.95645	10.000	5.5166
$system_63$	LC3 (TP9)	0.030	0.94129	10.000	5.0708
$system_63$	LC3 (TP10)	0.050	0.9303	10.000	4.8246
$system_63$	LC3 (TP11)	0.060	0.92279	10.000	4.6803
$system_63$	LC3 (TP12)	0.100	0.91757	10.000	4.5928
$system_63$	LC3 (TP13)	0.140	0.91414	10.000	4.5388
system_63	LC3 (TP14)	0.230	0.91192	10.000	4.5052
system_63	Riot (05, 1e-11)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.226 \mathrm{s}$	6.1391e-12	-0.000	2.1793e-10
$system_63$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.219 s	6.1391e-12	-0.000	8.3134e-13
system_63	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.222 s	3.6238e-13	-0.000	3.979e-13
system_63	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m3.804s	1.4207	4.983	939.4
$system_63$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.416 \mathrm{s}$	1.4208	4.960	184.88
system_63	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.067 \mathrm{s}$	1.4224	3.675	6.8657
system_63	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.006s	1.1898	5.765	12397
system_63	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.006 \mathrm{s}$	1.1582	4.716	24367
system_63	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.004 s	1.161	4.394	39403

 Table 3.53:
 Simulation results of Problem 63
system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP8)         0.390         1.4114e-06         10.000         0.00068375           system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP9)         0.390         1.2487e-06         10.000         0.00015597           system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP10)         0.430         4.3621e-07         10.000         4.6461e-05           system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP11)         0.560         1.2507e-07         10.000         1.1767e-05
system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP9)         0.390         1.2487e-06         10.000         0.00015597           system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP10)         0.430         4.3621e-07         10.000         4.6461e-05           system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP11)         0.560         1.2507e-07         10.000         1.1767e-05           system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP11)         0.560         1.2507e-07         10.000         1.0767e-05
system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP10)         0.430         4.3621e-07         10.000         4.6461e-05           system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP11)         0.560         1.2507e-07         10.000         1.1767e-05           system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP11)         0.560         1.2507e-07         10.000         1.1767e-05
system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP11)         0.560         1.2507e-07         10.000         1.1767e-05           system_64         TAYLOR4 (TP11)         0.720         2.2404-09         10.000         2.0077-06
$T_{1}$
system_04   IAYLOR4 (1P12)   0.730 3.3494e-08 10.000 2.9977e-06
system_64 TAYLOR4 (TP13) 0.980 8.5987e-09 10.000 7.7019e-07
system_64 TAYLOR4 (TP14) 1.400 2.3403e-09 10.000 1.9712e-07
system_64 RK4 (TP8) 0.330 4.21e-11 10.000 4.7828e-07
system_64 RK4 (TP9) 0.330 4.21e-11 10.000 4.7336e-08
system_64 RK4 (TP10) 0.330 3.0917e-11 10.000 4.8889e-09
system_64 RK4 (TP11) 0.400 4.2763e-12 10.000 5.0111e-10
system_64 RK4 (TP12) 0.470 4.4072e-13 10.000 5.0163e-11
system_64 RK4 (TP13) 0.610 4.842e-14 10.000 5.218e-12
system_64 RK4 (TP14) 0.840 5.6413e-15 10.000 7.4518e-13
system_64 LA3 (TP8) 0.330 4.4317e-11 10.000 3.2208e-07
system_64 LA3 (TP9) 0.330 4.4317e-11 10.000 3.4219e-08
system_64 LA3 (TP10) 0.360 2.6981e-11 10.000 3.3887e-09
system_64 LA3 (TP11) 0.400 3.1899e-12 10.000 3.4486e-10
system_64 LA3 (TP12) 0.470 3.5908e-13 10.000 3.4774e-11
system_64 LA3 (TP13) 0.640 3.9885e-14 10.000 3.6753e-12
system_64 LA3 (TP14) 0.890 4.7254e-15 10.000 6.1373e-13
system_64 LC3 (TP8) 0.330 4.1986e-11 10.000 3.5873e-07
system_64 LC3 (TP9) 0.330 4.1986e-11 10.000 3.7852e-08
system_64 LC3 (TP10) 0.370 2.5936e-11 10.000 3.8917e-09
system_64 LC3 (TP11) 0.400 3.0693e-12 10.000 3.9943e-10
system_64 LC3 (TP12) 0.470 3.4297e-13 10.000 4.0659e-11
system_64 LC3 (TP13) 0.650 3.849e-14 10.000 4.2375e-12
system_64 LC3 (TP14) 0.880 4.5519e-15 10.000 6.6169e-13
system_64 Riot (05, 1e-11) 0m0.136s 3.194e-14 -0.000 1.1558e-10
system_64 Riot (10, 1e-11) 0m0.253s 5.4123e-16 -0.000 1.35e-13
system_64 Riot (15, 1e-11) 0m0.252s 5.4123e-16 -0.000 6.9278e-14
system_64 Valencia-IVP (0.00025) 0m1.721s 1.0417e-05 10.000 0.00016797
system_64 Valencia-IVP (0.0025) 0m0.165s 0.00010417 10.000 0.0016797
system_64         Valencia-IVP (0.025)         0m0.019s         0.0010417         10.000         0.016797
system_64 VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14) 0m0.004s 6.245e-17 10.000 9.77e-15
system_64 VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14) 0m0.005s 6.9389e-17 10.000 1.199e-14
system_64   VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14,1e-14)   0m0.004s 6.9389e-17 10.000 1.0658e-14

 Table 3.54:
 Simulation results of Problem 64

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_65	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.410	0.25212	10.000	2.7137
$system_65$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.410	0.25212	10.000	2.7126
$system_65$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.500	0.25212	10.000	2.7121
$system_65$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.590	0.25211	10.000	2.7118
$system_65$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.760	0.25211	10.000	2.7116
$system_{65}$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	1.070	0.25211	10.000	2.7115
$system_65$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	1.570	0.25211	10.000	2.7114
system_65	RK4 (TP8)	0.340	0.25211	10.000	2.7113
$system_65$	RK4 (TP9)	0.330	0.25211	10.000	2.7113
$system_65$	RK4 (TP10)	0.370	0.25211	10.000	2.7113
$system_65$	RK4 (TP11)	0.400	0.25211	10.000	2.7113
$system_{65}$	RK4 (TP12)	0.470	0.25211	10.000	2.7113
$system_65$	RK4 (TP13)	0.650	0.25211	10.000	2.7113
$system_65$	RK4 (TP14)	0.860	0.25211	10.000	2.7113
system_65	LA3 (TP8)	0.330	0.25211	10.000	2.7134
$system_65$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.330	0.25211	10.000	2.7127
system_65	LA3 (TP10)	0.370	0.25211	10.000	2.7122
system_65	LA3 (TP11)	0.400	0.25211	10.000	2.7119
$system_65$	LA3 (TP12)	0.500	0.25211	10.000	2.7117
$system_65$	LA3 (TP13)	0.690	0.25211	10.000	2.7115
system_65	LA3 (TP14)	0.940	0.25211	10.000	2.7115
system_65	LC3 (TP8)	0.330	0.25211	10.000	2.7145
$system_65$	LC3 (TP9)	0.330	0.25211	10.000	2.7133
$system_65$	LC3 (TP10)	0.370	0.25211	10.000	2.7126
$system_65$	LC3 (TP11)	0.400	0.25211	10.000	2.7121
$system_65$	LC3 (TP12)	0.500	0.25211	10.000	2.7118
$system_65$	LC3 (TP13)	0.650	0.25211	10.000	2.7117
system_65	LC3 (TP14)	0.900	0.25211	10.000	2.7115
system_65	Riot $(05, 1e-11)$	0m5.669s	0.25147	-0.000	2.6697
$system_{-}65$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0m1.551s	0.25147	-0.000	2.6698
system_65	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m5.042s	0.25147	-0.000	2.6698
system_65	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	0m1.576s	0.25147	10.000	2.6699
$system_65$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	0m0.146s	0.25147	10.000	2.6716
system_65	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0m0.021s	0.25177	10.000	2.6883
system_65	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.006s	0.25278	10.000	2.7636
$system_65$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.006 s	0.25278	10.000	2.7636
$system_{-}65$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.005 s	0.25278	10.000	2.7636

Table 3.55: Simulation results of Problem 65

	Table 3.56: Simulation	results of I	Problem 71		
Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_71	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.410	0.34183	0.723	0.34183
$system_71$	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.610	0.34398	0.723	0.34398
$system_71$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.930	0.34513	0.723	0.34513
$system_71$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	1.530	0.34637	0.723	0.34637
$system_71$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	2.420	0.34685	0.723	0.34685
$system_71$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	3.600	0.34733	0.723	0.34733
$system_71$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	5.500	0.34747	0.723	0.34747
system_71	RK4 (TP8)	0.410	0.34107	0.710	0.34107
$system_71$	RK4 (TP9)	0.800	0.34517	0.718	0.34517
$system_71$	RK4 (TP10)	0.970	0.34595	0.719	0.34595
$system_71$	RK4 (TP11)	0.610	0.34721	0.721	0.34721
$system_71$	RK4 (TP12)	0.940	0.34711	0.721	0.34711
$system_71$	RK4 (TP13)	1.510	0.34743	0.722	0.34743
$system_71$	RK4 (TP14)	2.290	0.34757	0.722	0.34757
system_71	LA3 (TP8)	0.320	0.34419	0.714	0.34419
$system_71$	LA3 (TP9)	0.270	0.34689	0.720	0.34689
$system_71$	LA3 (TP10)	0.390	0.34737	0.721	0.34737
$system_71$	LA3 (TP11)	0.570	0.34704	0.721	0.34704
$system_71$	LA3 (TP12)	0.900	0.34744	0.722	0.34744
$system_71$	LA3 (TP13)	1.440	0.34753	0.722	0.34753
system_71	LA3 (TP14)	2.220	0.34779	0.722	0.34779
system_71	LC3 (TP8)	0.310	0.34572	0.715	0.34572
$system_71$	LC3 (TP9)	0.270	0.34545	0.715	0.34545
$system_71$	LC3 (TP10)	0.400	0.34696	0.719	0.34696
$system_71$	LC3 (TP11)	0.600	0.3477	0.721	0.3477
$system_71$	LC3 (TP12)	0.940	0.34745	0.721	0.34745
$system_71$	LC3 (TP13)	1.490	0.34765	0.722	0.34765
system_71	LC3 (TP14)	2.300	0.34772	0.722	0.34772
system_71	Riot				
system_71	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m9.028s	0	0.000	0
system_71	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	0 m 0.112 s	0	0.000	0
system_71	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.007 \mathrm{s}$	0	0.000	0
system_71	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.028s	0.093606	1.088	0.078438
$system_71$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.035 \mathrm{s}$	0.094651	1.085	0.080607
system_71	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.034 s	0.095228	1.083	0.081672

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_72	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.110	1.888e-08	10.000	1.5233e-07
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_72	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.160	1.991e-09	10.000	8.6791e-08
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	system_72	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.250	2.0536e-10	10.000	9.4023e-08
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$system_72$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.410	2.076e-11	10.000	1.1399e-08
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_72	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.640	2.1992e-12	10.000	1.6071e-09
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	0.990	3.4417e-13	10.000	2.5738e-10
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	1.550	2.3176e-13	10.000	6.5053e-11
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	system_72	RK4 (TP8)	0.090	2.476e-08	10.000	1.6617e-07
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	RK4 (TP9)	0.140	2.538e-09	10.000	4.0938e-08
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	RK4 (TP10)	0.200	2.6417e-10	10.000	5.5074 e-08
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	RK4 (TP11)	0.330	2.6895e-11	10.000	3.9127e-09
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	RK4 (TP12)	0.520	2.7741e-12	10.000	7.941e-10
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	RK4 (TP13)	0.800	3.2496e-13	10.000	1.5842e-10
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	RK4 (TP14)	1.230	1.0836e-13	10.000	3.334e-11
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_72	LA3 (TP8)	0.070	1.8797e-08	10.000	1.0346e-06
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	LA3 $(TP9)$	0.110	1.9786e-09	10.000	1.3939e-07
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	LA3 (TP10)	0.160	2.0676e-10	10.000	9.6798e-08
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	LA3 (TP11)	0.250	2.1116e-11	10.000	1.2856e-08
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	LA3 (TP12)	0.750	2.126e-12	10.000	1.7966e-09
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	LA3 (TP13)	0.620	2.4913e-13	10.000	2.5436e-10
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	LA3 $(TP14)$	0.950	8.1712e-14	10.000	4.3266e-11
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	system_72	LC3 (TP8)	0.080	1.9008e-08	10.000	3.6404e-06
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	LC3 (TP9)	0.120	2.0101e-09	10.000	2.7038e-07
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	LC3 (TP10)	0.180	2.0895e-10	10.000	1.3971e-07
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	LC3 (TP11)	0.280	2.1388e-11	10.000	2.2361e-08
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	LC3 (TP12)	0.430	2.1335e-12	10.000	2.1033e-09
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_72$	LC3 (TP13)	0.690	2.5269e-13	10.000	2.8453e-10
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_72	LC3 (TP14)	1.040	8.632e-14	10.000	4.7709e-11
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	system_72	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m1.648s	6.8875e-11	-0.000	0.0018269
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$system_{-}72$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0m1.461s	4.1078e-15	-0.000	7.1333e-13
system_72         Valencia-IVP (0.00025)         1m10.076s         0.011379         4.194         999.68           system_72         Valencia-IVP (0.0025)         0m0.692s         0.11581         3.530         992.01	system_72	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m1.542s	1.4155e-15	-0.000	9.9245e-15
system_72 Valencia-IVP (0.0025) 0m0.692s 0.11581 3.530 992.01	system_72	Valencia-IVP $(0.00025)$	1m10.076s	0.011379	4.194	999.68
	$system_72$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.692 \mathrm{s}$	0.11581	3.530	992.01
system.72 Valencia-IVP (0.025) 0m0.061s 1.3941 2.750 956.94		Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.061 \mathrm{s}$	1.3941	2.750	956.94
system_72 VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14) 0m0.014s 9.1593e-16 10.000 1.9629e-16	system_72	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.014s	9.1593e-16	10.000	1.9629e-16
system.72 VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14) 0m0.010s 9.1593e-16 10.000 1.4984e-16	system_72	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.010 s	9.1593e-16	10.000	1.4984e-16
system_72   VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)   0m0.010s 3.8858e-16 10.000 7.7839e-17	$system_72$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.010 \mathrm{s}$	3.8858e-16	10.000	7.7839e-17

Table 3.57:Simulation results of Problem 72

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_73	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.200	0.64903	10.000	0.00011543
system_73	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.280	0.64903	10.000	0.00011391
$system_73$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.410	0.64903	10.000	0.00011378
$system_73$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.620	0.64903	10.000	0.00011407
system_73	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1.730	0.64903	10.000	0.00011593
$system_73$	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	1.560	0.64903	10.000	0.00011625
system_73	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	2.420	0.64903	10.000	0.00011618
system_73	RK4 (TP8)	0.130	0.64903	10.000	0.00011568
$system_73$	RK4 (TP9)	0.200	0.64903	10.000	0.0001142
$system_73$	RK4 (TP10)	0.310	0.64903	10.000	0.00011396
$system_73$	RK4 (TP11)	0.480	0.64903	10.000	0.00011377
$system_73$	RK4 (TP12)	0.760	0.64903	10.000	0.00011457
$system_73$	RK4 (TP13)	1.200	0.64903	10.000	0.00011523
system_73	RK4 (TP14)	1.850	0.64903	10.000	0.00011523
system_73	LA3 (TP8)	0.110	0.90804	10.000	44.669
$system_73$	LA3 (TP9)	0.160	0.84683	10.000	11.972
$system_73$	LA3 (TP10)	0.240	0.74939	10.000	1.4182
$system_73$	LA3 (TP11)	0.380	0.72469	10.000	2.9375
$system_73$	LA3 (TP12)	0.600	0.6851	10.000	0.3029
$system_73$	LA3 (TP13)	0.980	0.67844	10.000	0.28271
system_73	LA3 (TP14)	1.500	0.66826	10.000	0.1337
system_73	LC3 (TP8)	0.120	0.95006	10.000	41.555
$system_73$	LC3 (TP9)	0.170	0.85351	10.000	4.46
$system_73$	LC3 (TP10)	0.270	0.78623	10.000	3.7844
$system_73$	LC3 (TP11)	0.420	0.72942	10.000	1.3191
$system_73$	LC3 (TP12)	0.660	0.69002	10.000	0.35275
$system_73$	LC3 (TP13)	1.060	0.67725	10.000	0.3206
system_73	LC3 (TP14)	1.660	0.66841	10.000	0.061096
system_73	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m1.815s	0.64903	-0.000	0.00011995
$system_{-}73$	Riot $(10, 1e-11)$	0m2.136s	0.64903	-0.000	0.0001136
system_73	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0m3.216s	0.64903	-0.000	0.00011366
system_73	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	1m1.164s	138.84	1.367	999.11
$system_73$	Valencia-IVP $(0.0025)$	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.278 \mathrm{s}$	145.77	1.355	994.94
system_73	Valencia-IVP $(0.025)$	0 m 0.029 s	243.46	1.225	891.88
system_73	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.024s	0.64903	10.000	3.4442
$system_73$	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.015 \mathrm{s}$	0.64903	10.000	3.4442
$system_73$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.016 \mathrm{s}$	0.64903	10.000	3.4442

Table 3.58: Simulation results of Problem 73

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
system_74	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.250	430.91	0.785	430.91
system_74	TAYLOR4 (TP9)	0.350	652.41	0.785	652.41
system_74	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.490	283.48	0.785	283.48
$system_74$	TAYLOR4 (TP11)	0.670	559.65	0.785	559.65
system_74	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.890	576.25	0.785	576.25
system_74	TAYLOR4 (TP13)	1.290	234.53	0.785	234.53
system_74	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.000	0	0.000	0
system_74	RK4 (TP8)	0.130	624.24	0.785	624.24
$system_74$	RK4 (TP9)	0.160	57.925	0.785	57.925
$system_74$	RK4 (TP10)	0.220	330.01	0.785	330.01
$system_74$	RK4 (TP11)	0.310	268.64	0.785	268.64
$system_74$	RK4 (TP12)	0.440	44.208	0.785	44.208
$system_74$	RK4 (TP13)	0.620	267.16	0.785	267.16
$system_74$	RK4 (TP14)	0.860	74.118	0.785	74.118
system_74	LA3 (TP8)	0.130	76.095	0.785	76.095
system_74	LA3 (TP9)	0.190	45.448	0.785	45.448
system_74	LA3 (TP10)	0.260	62.95	0.785	62.95
system_74	LA3 (TP11)	0.370	64.448	0.785	64.448
system_74	LA3 (TP12)	0.530	527.45	0.785	527.45
$system_74$	LA3 (TP13)	0.730	21.878	0.785	21.878
system_74	LA3 (TP14)	1.040	266.61	0.785	266.61
system_74	LC3 (TP8)	0.100	90.528	0.785	90.528
system_74	LC3 (TP9)	0.130	61.895	0.785	61.895
$system_74$	LC3 (TP10)	0.170	79.971	0.785	79.971
$system_74$	LC3 (TP11)	0.240	104.2	0.785	104.2
$system_74$	LC3 (TP12)	0.320	8.7342	0.785	8.7342
$system_74$	LC3 (TP13)	0.450	205.63	0.785	205.63
$system_74$	LC3 (TP14)	0.640	258.77	0.785	258.77
system_74	Riot (05, 1e-11)	0m0.791s	0	0.000	0
$system_74$	Riot (10, 1e-11)	0 m 0.430 s	0	0.000	0
$system_74$	Riot $(15, 1e-11)$	0 m 0.613 s	0	0.000	0
system_74	Valencia-IVP (0.00025)	0m9.104s	668.07	0.783	668.07
$system_74$	Valencia-IVP (0.0025)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.165 \mathrm{s}$	60.454	0.765	60.454
system_74	Valencia-IVP (0.025)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.014 \mathrm{s}$	5.325	0.650	5.325
system_74	VNODE-LP (15, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0m0.014s	4992.7	0.015	4992.7
system_74	VNODE-LP (20, 1e-14, 1e-14)	0 m 0.023 s	2.2247e-07	0.785	2.2247 e-07
$system_74$	VNODE-LP (25, 1e-14, 1e-14)	$0 \mathrm{m} 0.010 \mathrm{s}$	16182	0.001	16182

Table 3.59: Simulation results of Problem 74

#### 3.1.3 Discussion

Firstly, we count the number of problem for which each method (for each order and each precision) is first in term of solution diameter, second or last. This account is done for the simulation at 1 second and at 10 seconds. The results are summarized in the table 3.60. Of course, we are aware that the results are biased by the number of methods we have. Nevertheless, this table allows us to consider that Valencia and Riot are not valid competitors.

Method	c5w (1st)	c5w (2nd)	c5w (last)	$\begin{array}{c} c6w \\ (1st) \end{array}$	c6w (2nd)	c6w (last)
RK	103	35	8	58	39	8
Vnode-LP	70	28	9	44	27	8
Riot	36	11	0	24	12	2
Valencia	3	3	49	3	2	49

Table 3.60: Number of times a method produced the sharpest enclosure or the second sharpest enclosure.

After this reduction of competitors, only the best results for our three order-4 Runge-Kutta methods, and for Vnode are kept for comparison. We present in the spider graph 3.1, respectively 3.2, the normalized results (divided by the median and multiplied by 10) for each problem for a simulation at 1 second, respectively at 10 seconds. The median used to normalize the results is computed with all the methods: Taylor4, RK4, LA3, LC3 Riot, Valencia and Vnode (for all precision and all order).

Remark: for the graph 3.1, we truncate the results at 25 for the clarity. It leads to the truncation of LC3 result for problem 44, initially at 178, the result is set at 25. In the same manner, the results are also truncated at 50 for the graph 3.2, fifteen times for Vnode, one time for LC3 and one time for RK4.



Figure 3.1: Results gathered in spider graph for a simulation of 1 second, for the methods: RK4, LC3, LA3 and Vnode

We can easily see on spider graph 3.1 that the Runge-Kutta methods are more stable, by describing a circle while Vnode results are more in a star shape. Moreover, the implicit methods (LA3 and LC3) provide better results than the explicit RK4 in a majority of problems. This fact is even more clear on the graph 3.2. On this latter graph, we can also see that Vnode fails many times while at least one of our Runge-Kutta methods performs a good simulation for all the considered problems. Finally, if Vnode are the best on many problems, by our stability and our better results for some problems, we can conclude



Figure 3.2: Results gathered in spider graph for a simulation of 10 seconds, for the methods: RK4, LC3, LA3 and Vnode

that our tool is a good competitor for Vnode. The last remark but not the least, it is important to remember that we have currently only methods of order 4, when Vnode can use a Taylor at order 25 !

## 3.2 Detest benchmark

#### 3.2.1 Disclaimer

This section reports the results of the solution of various problems coming from the DETEST benchmark. For each problem, different validated methods of Runge-Kutta of order 4 are applied among: the classical formula of Runge-Kutta (explicit), the Lobatto-3a formula (implicit) and the Lobatto-3c formula (implicit). Moreover, an homemade version of Taylor series, limited to order 5 and using affine arithmetic, is also applied on each problem.

For each problem, we report the following metrics:

- c5t: user time taken to simulate the problem for 1 second.
- c5w: the final diameter of the solution (infinity norm is used).
- c6t: the time to breakdown the method with a maximal limit of 10 seconds.
- c6w: the diameter of the solution a the breakdown time.

#### 3.2.2 Results

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_A1	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.030	9.146e-06	10.000	6.3861e-06
ns_A1	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.030	5.0222e-07	2.000	9.7332e-07
$ns_A1$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.060	6.0636e-09	2.000	5.7233e-08
ns_A1	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.120	6.3146e-11	2.000	6.7023e-10
ns_A1	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.300	7.1687e-13	10.000	5.5133e-12
ns_A1	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.020	9.146e-06	10.000	6.3861e-06
ns_A1	RK4 (TP4)	0.010	9.146e-06	10.000	6.2632e-06
ns_A1	RK4 (TP6)	0.020	7.1338e-07	2.000	1.236e-06
$ns_A1$	RK4 (TP8)	0.030	7.4993e-09	2.000	4.3775e-08
$ns_A1$	RK4 (TP10)	0.060	8.4251e-11	2.000	6.7118e-10
ns_A1	RK4 (TP12)	0.160	8.8185e-13	10.000	7.5966e-12
ns_A1	RK4 (TP14)	0.010	9.146e-06	10.000	6.2632e-06
ns_A1	LA3 $(TP4)$	0.010	1.531e-06	10.000	7.6554e-06
ns_A1	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.020	4.0741e-07	2.000	8.1525e-07
ns_A1	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.020	5.4981e-09	2.000	4.1256e-08
ns_A1	LA3 (TP10)	0.050	6.1542 e- 11	2.000	5.8395e-10
$ns_A1$	LA3 (TP12)	0.130	6.7724e-13	10.000	5.3249e-12
ns_A1	LA3 (TP14)	0.010	1.531e-06	10.000	7.6554e-06
ns_A1	LC3 (TP4)	0.010	2.3003e-06	10.000	7.8708e-05
ns_A1	LC3 (TP6)	0.020	3.8815e-07	2.000	1.1053e-06
ns_A1	LC3 (TP8)	0.030	5.8283e-09	2.000	4.7752e-08
ns_A1	LC3 (TP10)	0.060	6.1916e-11	2.000	6.2382e-10
$ns_A1$	LC3 (TP12)	0.140	6.7468e-13	10.000	5.3717e-12
$ns_A1$	LC3 (TP14)	0.020	2.3003e-06	10.000	7.8708e-05

Table 3.61: Simulation results of Problem ns\_A1

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_A2	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.040	8.4667e-05	10.000	8.3982e-05
$ns_A2$	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.050	1.5064 e-06	2.000	3.1707e-06
$ns_A2$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.080	2.1535e-08	2.000	1.4215e-07
$ns_A2$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.180	2.4119e-10	2.000	1.6527 e-09
$ns_A2$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.440	2.6019e-12	10.000	1.3628e-11
ns_A2	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.040	8.4667 e-05	10.000	8.3982e-05
ns_A2	RK4 (TP4)	0.020	1.6376e-05	10.000	4.3081e-05
$ns_A2$	RK4 (TP6)	0.030	2.3535e-06	2.000	4.883e-06
$ns_A2$	RK4 (TP8)	0.040	4.9213e-08	2.000	2.9883e-07
$ns_A2$	RK4 (TP10)	0.060	5.2365e-10	2.000	3.509e-09
$ns_A2$	RK4 (TP12)	0.140	4.6034 e- 12	10.000	2.7551e-11
ns_A2	RK4 (TP14)	0.020	1.6376e-05	10.000	4.3081e-05
ns_A2	LA3 $(TP4)$	0.020	9.2675e-06	10.000	2.3649e-05
$ns_A2$	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.030	1.1342e-06	2.000	3.1789e-06
$ns_A2$	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.040	1.9817e-08	2.000	1.116e-07
$ns_A2$	LA3 $(TP10)$	0.080	2.3168e-10	2.000	1.5203e-09
$ns_A2$	LA3 $(TP12)$	0.200	2.5466e-12	10.000	1.3165e-11
ns_A2	LA3 (TP14)	0.020	9.2675e-06	10.000	2.3649e-05
ns_A2	LC3 (TP4)	0.020	7.5652e-06	10.000	2.6357e-05
$ns_A2$	LC3 (TP6)	0.030	1.4833e-06	2.000	3.5055e-06
$ns_A2$	LC3 (TP8)	0.040	2.6328e-08	2.000	1.5975e-07
$ns_A2$	LC3 (TP10)	0.070	2.9172e-10	2.000	1.9148e-09
$ns_A2$	LC3 (TP12)	0.150	2.828e-12	10.000	1.5799e-11
$ns_A2$	LC3 (TP14)	0.020	7.5652e-06	10.000	2.6357e-05

Table 3.62: Simulation results of Problem ns\_A2

_	Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
	ns_A3	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.050	0.00043573	10.000	0.0041836
	ns_A3	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.060	8.3465e-06	2.000	1.6766e-05
	$ns_A3$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.110	1.0131e-07	2.000	2.4257 e-07
	$ns_A3$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.220	1.5521e-09	2.000	3.3297 e-09
	ns_A3	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.550	1.9743e-11	10.000	1.544e-10
_	ns_A3	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.050	0.00043573	10.000	0.0041836
-	ns_A3	RK4 (TP4)	0.030	0.00014736	10.000	0.004336
	ns_A3	RK4 (TP6)	0.040	8.2963e-06	2.000	2.5968e-05
	ns_A3	RK4 (TP8)	0.050	1.1569e-07	2.000	5.2775e-07
	$ns_A3$	RK4 (TP10)	0.120	1.4826e-09	2.000	1.0182e-08
	ns_A3	RK4 (TP12)	0.260	1.6631e-11	10.000	4.1913e-10
_	ns_A3	RK4 (TP14)	0.030	0.00014736	10.000	0.004336
-	ns_A3	LA3 $(TP4)$	0.030	7.0869e-05	10.000	0.0049959
	ns_A3	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.040	4.0701e-06	2.000	9.8443e-06
	ns_A3	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.060	4.8721e-08	2.000	1.7833e-07
	ns_A3	LA3 $(TP10)$	0.130	5.5251e-10	2.000	2.0766e-09
	ns_A3	LA3 $(TP12)$	0.310	5.917e-12	10.000	1.2948e-10
	ns_A3	LA3 $(TP14)$	0.030	7.0869e-05	10.000	0.0049959
-	ns_A3	LC3 (TP4)	0.030	8.4934e-05	10.000	0.0056809
	ns_A3	LC3 (TP6)	0.030	8.2958e-06	2.000	1.9432e-05
	ns_A3	LC3 (TP8)	0.060	8.8322e-08	2.000	2.3749e-07
	ns_A3	LC3 (TP10)	0.110	1.3559e-09	2.000	3.5262e-09
	ns_A3	LC3 (TP12)	0.250	1.6706e-11	10.000	1.5879e-10
	ns_A3	LC3 (TP14)	0.030	8.4934e-05	10.000	0.0056809

Table 3.63: Simulation results of Problem ns\_A3

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_A4	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.030	4.7235e-09	10.000	5.5164 e- 05
ns_A4	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.030	4.7235e-09	10.000	2.3393e-05
ns_A4	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.030	4.7235e-09	10.000	3.347e-07
ns_A4	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.040	1.0831e-10	10.000	4.3438e-09
ns_A4	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.080	1.394e-12	10.000	5.5834 e- 11
ns_A4	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.030	4.7235e-09	10.000	5.5164 e- 05
ns_A4	RK4 (TP4)	0.020	1.9557e-09	10.000	9.2536e-06
ns_A4	RK4 (TP6)	0.020	1.9557e-09	10.000	7.5759e-06
ns_A4	RK4 (TP8)	0.020	1.4271e-09	10.000	1.0095e-07
ns_A4	RK4 (TP10)	0.030	2.1419e-11	10.000	1.0543e-09
ns_A4	RK4 (TP12)	0.060	2.9976e-13	10.000	1.1743e-11
ns_A4	RK4 (TP14)	0.020	1.9557e-09	10.000	9.2536e-06
ns_A4	LA3 $(TP4)$	0.020	8.073e-11	10.000	3.1954e-06
ns_A4	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.020	8.073e-11	10.000	3.1954e-06
ns_A4	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.020	8.073e-11	10.000	2.7486e-07
ns_A4	LA3 $(TP10)$	0.020	5.8513e-12	10.000	3.7748e-09
ns_A4	LA3 $(TP12)$	0.040	7.7716e-14	10.000	4.1114e-11
ns_A4	LA3 (TP14)	0.020	8.073e-11	10.000	3.1954e-06
ns_A4	LC3 (TP4)	0.020	4.5581e-10	10.000	4.0216e-06
ns_A4	LC3 (TP6)	0.020	4.5581e-10	10.000	4.0216e-06
ns_A4	LC3 (TP8)	0.020	4.5581e-10	10.000	2.0247 e-07
ns_A4	LC3 (TP10)	0.030	1.517e-11	10.000	3.328e-09
ns_A4	LC3 (TP12)	0.050	2.0917e-13	10.000	4.2366e-11
ns_A4	LC3 (TP14)	0.020	4.5581e-10	10.000	4.0216e-06

Table 3.64: Simulation results of Problem ns\_A4

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_A5	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.070	3.7194e-05	10.000	0.0019729
$ns_A5$	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.070	3.7194 e- 05	10.000	0.00023788
$ns_A5$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.090	3.5949e-05	10.000	0.00011626
$ns_A5$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.140	3.5909e-05	10.000	0.00011476
$ns_A5$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.310	3.5909e-05	10.000	0.00011475
$ns_A5$	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.070	3.7194e-05	10.000	0.0019729
ns_A5	RK4 (TP4)	0.060	1.9565e-05	10.000	0.00017718
$ns_A5$	RK4 (TP6)	0.050	1.9565e-05	10.000	0.00013889
$ns_A5$	RK4 (TP8)	0.060	1.9565e-05	10.000	6.419e-05
$ns_A5$	RK4 (TP10)	0.070	1.9502e-05	10.000	6.2343e-05
$ns_A5$	RK4 (TP12)	0.120	1.9501e-05	10.000	6.2318e-05
ns_A5	RK4 (TP14)	0.060	1.9565e-05	10.000	0.00017718
ns_A5	LA3 $(TP4)$	0.060	1.2266e-05	10.000	0.0001074
$ns_A5$	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.060	1.2266e-05	10.000	8.3944e-05
$ns_A5$	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.060	1.2241e-05	10.000	4.0144e-05
$ns_A5$	LA3 $(TP10)$	0.080	1.2208e-05	10.000	3.9021e-05
$ns_A5$	LA3 $(TP12)$	0.150	1.2208e-05	10.000	3.9011e-05
ns_A5	LA3 (TP14)	0.060	1.2266e-05	10.000	0.0001074
ns_A5	LC3 (TP4)	0.060	3.0021e-06	10.000	8.1197e-05
$ns_A5$	LC3 (TP6)	0.060	3.0021e-06	10.000	5.8567 e-05
$ns_A5$	LC3 (TP8)	0.060	2.9819e-06	10.000	1.0499e-05
$ns_A5$	LC3 (TP10)	0.080	2.9478e-06	10.000	9.4283e-06
$ns_A5$	LC3 (TP12)	0.140	2.9474e-06	10.000	9.4188e-06
$ns_A5$	LC3 (TP14)	0.060	3.0021e-06	10.000	8.1197e-05

Table 3.65: Simulation results of Problem ns\_A5

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_B1	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.120	0.00041929	10.000	0.16516
$ns_B1$	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.180	5.8337e-06	2.000	8.016e-05
$ns_B1$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.270	1.5364 e- 07	2.000	3.7536e-05
$ns_B1$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.610	1.6928e-09	2.000	1.2351e-05
ns_B1	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1.500	1.847e-11	10.000	7.9824e-07
ns_B1	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.120	0.00041929	10.000	0.16516
ns_B1	RK4 (TP4)	0.060	0.00054791	10.000	0.093055
$ns_B1$	RK4 (TP6)	0.090	7.7186e-06	2.000	7.0418e-05
ns_B1	RK4 (TP8)	0.160	1.4924e-07	2.000	8.8254e-06
$ns_B1$	RK4 (TP10)	0.370	1.6512e-09	2.000	3.6011e-06
$ns_B1$	RK4 (TP12)	1.260	1.7231e-11	10.000	4.5013 e-07
ns_B1	RK4 (TP14)	0.070	0.00054791	10.000	0.093055
ns_B1	LA3 $(TP4)$	0.060	0.00052296	10.000	0.7639
$ns_B1$	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.090	5.981e-06	2.000	6.7454 e- 05
ns_B1	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.150	1.3016e-07	2.000	3.6223 e-05
ns_B1	LA3 $(TP10)$	0.380	1.5537e-09	2.000	1.2472e-05
$ns_B1$	LA3 $(TP12)$	0.820	1.5877e-11	10.000	4.2412e-07
ns_B1	LA3 $(TP14)$	0.060	0.00052296	10.000	0.7639
ns_B1	LC3 (TP4)	0.070	0.00074279	10.000	7.958
$ns_B1$	LC3 (TP6)	0.080	8.5157e-06	2.000	0.00010335
ns_B1	LC3 (TP8)	0.160	1.2294e-07	2.000	3.5055e-05
$ns_B1$	LC3 (TP10)	0.330	1.1696e-09	2.000	5.7342e-06
ns_B1	LC3 (TP12)	0.770	1.1289e-11	10.000	4.7543e-07
$ns_B1$	LC3 (TP14)	0.070	0.00074279	10.000	7.958

Table 3.66: Simulation results of Problem ns\_B1

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_B2	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.310	8.7614e-05	10.000	0.00010474
$ns_B2$	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.540	1.0578e-06	2.000	7.0248e-06
$ns_B2$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	1.030	2.3614e-08	2.000	4.1597 e-06
$ns_B2$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	2.470	2.5418e-10	2.000	4.92e-08
$ns_B2$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	6.170	2.8764e-12	10.000	2.2351e-10
ns_B2	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.330	8.7614e-05	10.000	0.00010474
ns_B2	RK4 (TP4)	0.200	9.85e-05	10.000	0.00014666
$ns_B2$	RK4 (TP6)	0.320	1.4878e-06	2.000	5.1326e-06
$ns_B2$	RK4 (TP8)	0.630	2.8479e-08	2.000	2.8268e-06
$ns_B2$	RK4 (TP10)	1.510	3.244e-10	2.000	5.9749e-08
$ns_B2$	RK4 (TP12)	3.710	3.4948e-12	10.000	1.4806e-10
ns_B2	RK4 (TP14)	0.200	9.85e-05	10.000	0.00014666
ns_B2	LA3 $(TP4)$	0.210	0.00011841	10.000	0.049815
$ns_B2$	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.270	1.0755e-06	2.000	8.8929e-06
$ns_B2$	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.490	2.1817e-08	2.000	3.4971e-06
$ns_B2$	LA3 (TP10)	1.110	2.4909e-10	2.000	4.7146e-08
$ns_B2$	LA3 $(TP12)$	2.830	2.6863e-12	10.000	1.7301e-10
ns_B2	LA3 (TP14)	0.200	0.00011841	10.000	0.049815
ns_B2	LC3 (TP4)	0.210	0.00011385	10.000	0.11981
$ns_B2$	LC3 (TP6)	0.290	1.2619e-06	2.000	1.129e-05
$ns_B2$	LC3 $(TP8)$	0.540	2.2956e-08	2.000	4.0489e-06
$ns_B2$	LC3 (TP10)	1.240	2.5586e-10	2.000	4.9842e-08
$ns_B2$	LC3 (TP12)	3.060	2.7098e-12	10.000	2.22e-10
ns_B2	LC3 (TP14)	0.200	0.00011385	10.000	0.11981

Table 3.67: Simulation results of Problem ns\_B2

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_B3	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.240	0.00012496	10.000	0.00010291
ns_B3	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.330	2.3385e-06	2.000	5.5216e-06
$ns_B3$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.640	2.7922e-08	2.000	2.5563 e-07
ns_B3	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	1.400	4.1428e-10	2.000	4.1314e-09
ns_B3	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	3.370	5.4439e-12	10.000	8.7434e-12
ns_B3	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.230	0.00012496	10.000	0.00010291
ns_B3	RK4 (TP4)	0.140	0.00015668	10.000	3.2946e-05
ns_B3	RK4 (TP6)	0.200	1.8062e-06	2.000	3.3727e-06
$ns_B3$	RK4 (TP8)	0.380	2.132e-08	2.000	1.8169e-07
ns_B3	RK4 (TP10)	0.830	2.2347e-10	2.000	1.9818e-09
$ns_B3$	RK4 (TP12)	2.050	2.2799e-12	10.000	5.204 e- 12
ns_B3	RK4 (TP14)	0.140	0.00015668	10.000	3.2946e-05
ns_B3	LA3 (TP4)	0.140	4.8032e-05	10.000	4.5514e-05
ns_B3	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.170	2.1365e-06	2.000	4.9673e-06
ns_B3	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.260	2.3226e-08	2.000	2.0047 e-07
$ns_B3$	LA3 (TP10)	0.540	1.8545e-10	2.000	1.8394e-09
$ns_B3$	LA3 (TP12)	1.220	1.6824e-12	10.000	6.1119e-12
ns_B3	LA3 (TP14)	0.150	4.8032e-05	10.000	4.5514e-05
ns_B3	LC3 (TP4)	0.150	7.7124e-05	10.000	8.204 e-05
ns_B3	LC3 (TP6)	0.210	1.6073e-06	2.000	3.8007e-06
ns_B3	LC3 (TP8)	0.290	3.1901e-08	2.000	2.6442 e-07
ns_B3	LC3 (TP10)	0.590	3.4737e-10	2.000	3.3251e-09
$ns_B3$	LC3 (TP12)	1.410	3.5121e-12	10.000	3.7007e-12
$ns_B3$	LC3 (TP14)	0.140	7.7124e-05	10.000	8.204e-05

Table 3.68: Simulation results of Problem ns\_B3

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_B4	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	17.290	0.0016863	4.340	0.90148
$ns_B4$	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	19.640	8.0895e-05	7.751	1.2422
$ns_B4$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	27.690	1.5611e-06	9.522	0.62151
$ns_B4$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	54.410	2.2374e-08	10.000	0.029197
$ns_B4$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	123.820	2.9517e-10	10.000	0.0011596
ns_B4	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	17.280	0.0016863	4.340	0.90148
ns_B4	RK4 (TP4)	16.010	0.00053691	5.537	1.439
ns_B4	RK4 (TP6)	17.720	2.7416e-05	8.560	0.69971
ns_B4	RK4 (TP8)	19.930	1.0075e-06	10.000	0.54239
ns_B4	RK4 (TP10)	33.190	1.5332e-08	10.000	0.023281
ns_B4	RK4 (TP12)	70.370	1.8093e-10	10.000	0.00066257
ns_B4	RK4 (TP14)	16.010	0.00053691	5.537	1.439
ns_B4	LA3 (TP4)	15.970	0.00044803	3.505	0.031706
ns_B4	LA3 $(TP6)$	17.740	2.2201e-05	8.281	0.86537
ns_B4	LA3 $(TP8)$	17.980	1.1266e-06	10.000	0.60409
ns_B4	LA3 (TP10)	31.530	1.6075e-08	10.000	0.026108
ns_B4	LA3 (TP12)	65.940	2.1847e-10	10.000	0.00083967
ns_B4	LA3 (TP14)	15.940	0.00044803	3.505	0.031706
ns_B4	LC3 (TP4)	14.510	0.00097031	4.842	1.322
ns_B4	LC3 (TP6)	17.500	2.2588e-05	8.261	0.88373
ns_B4	LC3 (TP8)	18.120	1.2305e-06	9.878	0.61908
ns_B4	LC3 (TP10)	31.120	1.8244e-08	10.000	0.033471
ns_B4	LC3 (TP12)	63.970	2.5061e-10	10.000	0.0009416
ns_B4	LC3 (TP14)	14.450	0.00097031	4.842	1.322

Table 3.69: Simulation results of Problem ns\_B4

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_B5	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.340	0.00024281	10.000	0.023851
$ns_B5$	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.420	4.2469e-06	10.000	0.00038581
$ns_B5$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.730	6.5182 e-08	10.000	0.00039017
$ns_B5$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	1.880	7.267e-10	10.000	2.2839e-05
$ns_B5$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	4.040	7.7236e-12	10.000	4.2675e-07
ns_B5	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.340	0.00024281	10.000	0.023851
ns_B5	RK4 (TP4)	0.230	0.00012717	10.000	0.018828
$ns_B5$	RK4 (TP6)	0.280	3.3117e-06	10.000	0.00050419
$ns_B5$	RK4 (TP8)	0.710	4.9849e-08	10.000	0.00028774
$ns_B5$	RK4 (TP10)	0.960	5.6878e-10	10.000	2.2317e-05
$ns_B5$	RK4 (TP12)	2.320	5.9515e-12	10.000	3.4427 e-07
ns_B5	RK4 (TP14)	0.230	0.00012717	10.000	0.018828
ns_B5	LA3 $(TP4)$	0.230	4.6884 e-05	10.000	0.085944
$ns_B5$	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.250	3.5205e-06	10.000	0.00074212
$ns_B5$	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.410	5.4075e-08	10.000	0.00049104
$ns_B5$	LA3 (TP10)	0.860	6.3172e-10	10.000	2.4014e-05
$ns_B5$	LA3 $(TP12)$	1.930	6.5794 e- 12	10.000	3.4972e-07
ns_B5	LA3 (TP14)	0.230	4.6884e-05	10.000	0.085944
ns_B5	LC3 (TP4)	0.230	4.1633e-05	10.000	0.099077
$ns_B5$	LC3 (TP6)	0.260	3.8362e-06	10.000	0.0014268
$ns_B5$	LC3 (TP8)	0.390	5.3813e-08	10.000	0.00028027
$ns_B5$	LC3 (TP10)	0.770	4.7183e-10	10.000	2.0975e-05
$ns_B5$	LC3 (TP12)	1.730	4.3485e-12	10.000	2.4349e-07
$ns_B5$	LC3 (TP14)	0.230	4.1633e-05	10.000	0.099077

Table 3.70: Simulation results of Problem ns\_B5

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_D1	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	25.810	0.006207	5.396	2.925
ns_D1	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	34.860	0.0034041	9.153	1.8021
$ns_D1$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	53.420	0.0033342	10.000	1.3536
$ns_D1$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	99.050	0.0033352	8.847	2.0949
ns_D1	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	188.630	0.0016658	8.083	2.7048
ns_D1	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	25.820	0.006207	5.396	2.925
ns_D1	RK4 (TP4)	20.920	0.0028112	6.395	1.7957
$ns_D1$	RK4 (TP6)	31.130	0.0016874	10.000	0.38124
$ns_D1$	RK4 (TP8)	44.450	0.0016637	10.000	0.30351
$ns_D1$	RK4 (TP10)	46.830	0.0016633	10.000	0.21176
$ns_D1$	RK4 (TP12)	90.540	0.00083237	9.009	1.8083
ns_D1	RK4 (TP14)	20.910	0.0028112	6.395	1.7957
ns_D1	LA3 $(TP4)$	18.400	0.0022911	3.265	0.023256
$ns_D1$	LA3 $(TP6)$	24.620	0.00073054	9.243	1.7605
$ns_D1$	LA3 $(TP8)$	32.510	0.0006961	10.000	0.5364
$ns_D1$	LA3 $(TP10)$	48.120	0.00069491	10.000	0.532
$ns_D1$	LA3 $(TP12)$	91.680	0.0006949	8.836	1.8679
ns_D1	LA3 $(TP14)$	18.470	0.0022911	3.265	0.023256
ns_D1	LC3 (TP4)	18.290	0.0019492	3.291	0.023226
$ns_D1$	LC3 (TP6)	24.570	0.00026326	9.563	1.9057
ns_D1	LC3 (TP8)	30.500	0.00022948	10.000	0.19742
$ns_D1$	LC3 (TP10)	48.300	0.00022838	10.000	0.72164
$ns_D1$	LC3 (TP12)	95.330	9.4802e-05	9.079	1.8038
$ns_D1$	LC3 (TP14)	18.230	0.0019492	3.291	0.023226

Table 3.71: Simulation results of Problem ns\_D1

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_E1	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.570	1.4351	2.719	18.977
ns_E1	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.930	0.42517	2.000	0.00010071
$ns_E1$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	1.450	0.20741	2.000	8.381e-06
$ns_E1$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	3.080	0.13387	2.000	1.0032e-07
$ns_E1$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	7.210	0.050696	10.000	22.567
ns_E1	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.570	1.4351	2.719	18.977
ns_E1	RK4 (TP4)	0.420	0.012668	10.000	1.2214
$ns_E1$	RK4 (TP6)	0.500	0.017561	2.000	6.1085e-05
$ns_E1$	RK4 (TP8)	0.700	0.031314	2.000	5.143e-06
$ns_E1$	RK4 (TP10)	1.140	0.030614	2.000	6.6196e-08
$ns_E1$	RK4 (TP12)	2.540	0.031647	10.000	0.91288
ns_E1	RK4 (TP14)	0.410	0.012668	10.000	1.2214
ns_E1	LA3 $(TP4)$	0.410	0.013204	10.000	0.34595
$ns_E1$	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.500	0.010426	2.000	8.1548e-05
ns_E1	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.690	0.013066	2.000	3.5913e-06
$ns_E1$	LA3 $(TP10)$	1.110	0.015096	2.000	5.1198e-08
$ns_E1$	LA3 $(TP12)$	2.420	0.011244	10.000	0.33604
ns_E1	LA3 (TP14)	0.420	0.013204	10.000	0.34595
ns_E1	LC3 (TP4)	0.410	0.0095702	10.000	0.26912
$ns_E1$	LC3 (TP6)	0.500	0.01023	2.000	8.7855e-05
ns_E1	LC3 (TP8)	0.720	0.010676	2.000	3.8404e-06
$ns_E1$	LC3 (TP10)	1.080	0.0095686	2.000	4.2571e-08
$ns_E1$	LC3 (TP12)	2.050	0.0091033	10.000	0.22942
$ns_E1$	LC3 (TP14)	0.410	0.0095702	10.000	0.26912

Table 3.72: Simulation results of Problem ns\_E1

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_E2	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.080	0.00040596	10.000	0.015868
$ns_E2$	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.120	5.6232e-06	2.000	0.00010071
$ns_E2$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.180	7.7141e-08	2.000	8.381e-06
$ns_E2$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.410	8.2963e-10	2.000	1.0032 e-07
$ns_E2$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	1.010	8.848e-12	10.000	3.459e-07
ns_E2	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.080	0.00040596	10.000	0.015868
ns_E2	RK4 (TP4)	0.050	0.0003214	10.000	0.015258
$ns_E2$	RK4 (TP6)	0.070	7.4223e-06	2.000	6.1085 e-05
$ns_E2$	RK4 (TP8)	0.130	1.1435e-07	2.000	5.143e-06
$ns_E2$	RK4 (TP10)	0.280	1.8288e-09	2.000	6.6196e-08
$ns_E2$	RK4 (TP12)	0.670	2.3118e-11	10.000	5.8802e-07
ns_E2	RK4 (TP14)	0.050	0.0003214	10.000	0.015258
ns_E2	LA3 $(TP4)$	0.050	0.00025275	10.000	0.066185
$ns_E2$	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.060	3.8427e-06	2.000	8.1548e-05
$ns_E2$	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.100	7.7582e-08	2.000	3.5913e-06
$ns_E2$	LA3 $(TP10)$	0.230	1e-09	2.000	5.1198e-08
$ns_E2$	LA3 $(TP12)$	0.550	1.4015e-11	10.000	5.7683e-07
$ns_E2$	LA3 $(TP14)$	0.050	0.00025275	10.000	0.066185
ns_E2	LC3 (TP4)	0.050	0.00027974	10.000	0.10799
$ns_E2$	LC3 (TP6)	0.060	3.9986e-06	2.000	8.7855e-05
$ns_E2$	LC3 (TP8)	0.110	7.6055e-08	2.000	3.8404 e-06
$ns_E2$	LC3 (TP10)	0.230	7.073e-10	2.000	4.2571e-08
$ns_E2$	LC3 (TP12)	0.580	7.085e-12	10.000	2.6685e-07
$ns_E2$	LC3 (TP14)	0.060	0.00027974	10.000	0.10799

Table 3.73: Simulation results of Problem ns\_E2

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_E3	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.300	0.0001603	10.000	0.015971
$ns_E3$	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.500	2.0937e-06	2.000	9.0741e-06
$ns_E3$	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.990	3.6313e-08	2.000	2.1037 e-07
$ns_E3$	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	2.430	4.1545e-10	2.000	2.2064 e-09
$ns_E3$	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	5.990	4.5057e-12	10.000	2.7482e-08
ns_E3	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.300	0.0001603	10.000	0.015971
ns_E3	RK4 (TP4)	0.230	0.00012793	10.000	0.020574
$ns_E3$	RK4 (TP6)	0.320	2.9744e-06	2.000	1.12e-05
$ns_E3$	RK4 (TP8)	0.570	5.1365e-08	2.000	3.5177e-07
$ns_E3$	RK4 (TP10)	1.270	5.4612e-10	2.000	4.1754e-09
$ns_E3$	RK4 (TP12)	3.160	5.5893e-12	10.000	4.885e-08
ns_E3	RK4 (TP14)	0.240	0.00012793	10.000	0.020574
ns_E3	LA3 $(TP4)$	0.190	0.00026818	10.000	0.036244
$ns_E3$	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.340	2.8738e-06	2.000	1.0633e-05
$ns_E3$	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.540	5.2924e-08	2.000	2.7291e-07
$ns_E3$	LA3 $(TP10)$	1.230	5.7092e-10	2.000	2.9675e-09
$ns_E3$	LA3 $(TP12)$	3.000	5.9095e-12	10.000	3.4149e-08
ns_E3	LA3 (TP14)	0.190	0.00026818	10.000	0.036244
ns_E3	LC3 (TP4)	0.210	0.00014667	10.000	0.047597
$ns_E3$	LC3 (TP6)	0.300	1.9905e-06	2.000	9.1701e-06
$ns_E3$	LC3 (TP8)	0.560	3.2515e-08	2.000	2.1352e-07
$ns_E3$	LC3 (TP10)	1.300	3.5506e-10	2.000	2.2933e-09
$ns_E3$	LC3 (TP12)	3.230	3.6904 e- 12	10.000	2.7388e-08
$ns_E3$	LC3 (TP14)	0.210	0.00014667	10.000	0.047597

Table 3.74: Simulation results of Problem ns\_E3

Problems	Methods	c5t	c5w	c6t	c6w
ns_E4	TAYLOR4 (TP4)	0.040	1.2137e-09	10.000	3.702e-06
ns_E4	TAYLOR4 (TP6)	0.040	1.2137e-09	2.000	7.75e-08
ns_E4	TAYLOR4 (TP8)	0.040	1.2137e-09	2.000	7.75e-08
ns_E4	TAYLOR4 (TP10)	0.040	9.0002e-10	2.000	2.5812e-09
ns_E4	TAYLOR4 (TP12)	0.060	1.4914e-11	10.000	1.7366e-10
ns_E4	TAYLOR4 (TP14)	0.040	1.2137e-09	10.000	3.702e-06
ns_E4	RK4 (TP4)	0.020	7.5673e-11	10.000	7.2614e-07
ns_E4	RK4 (TP6)	0.020	7.5673e-11	2.000	3.0567 e-09
ns_E4	RK4 (TP8)	0.020	7.5673e-11	2.000	3.0567 e-09
ns_E4	RK4 (TP10)	0.020	7.5673e-11	2.000	1.7044e-09
ns_E4	RK4 (TP12)	0.030	1.1987e-11	10.000	2.519e-10
ns_E4	RK4 (TP14)	0.020	7.5673e-11	10.000	7.2614e-07
ns_E4	LA3 $(TP4)$	0.020	2.0709e-10	10.000	3.5712e-07
ns_E4	LA3 $(TP6)$	0.020	2.0709e-10	2.000	1.1984e-08
ns_E4	LA3 $(TP8)$	0.020	2.0709e-10	2.000	1.1984e-08
ns_E4	LA3 $(TP10)$	0.020	2.0709e-10	2.000	2.0098e-09
ns_E4	LA3 (TP12)	0.030	2.4286e-11	10.000	1.1126e-10
ns_E4	LA3 (TP14)	0.020	2.0709e-10	10.000	3.5712e-07
ns_E4	LC3 (TP4)	0.020	8.4192e-11	10.000	3.6162e-07
ns_E4	LC3 (TP6)	0.020	8.4192e-11	2.000	6.1039e-09
ns_E4	LC3 (TP8)	0.020	8.4192e-11	2.000	6.1039e-09
ns_E4	LC3 (TP10)	0.020	8.4192e-11	2.000	2.083e-09
$ns_E4$	LC3 (TP12)	0.030	1.1283e-11	10.000	1.1097e-10
ns_E4	LC3 (TP14)	0.020	8.4192e-11	10.000	3.6162e-07

Table 3.75: Simulation results of Problem ns\_E4

## 3.2.3 Discussion

## 3.3 Other problems

some problems very hard and proof that we win ?

#### 3.3.1 Affine-uncertain

LC3 1e-12

```
Solution at t=2.000000 :
([-2.39334, 2.05433] ; [-2.19454, 2.48459] ; [-0.0626994, 0.0651442] ; [-0.0672006, 0.0606297] ; [-0
Diameter : (4.44767 ; 4.67913 ; 0.127844 ; 0.12783 ; 0.120356)
Rejected picard :2
Accepted picard :673
Step min :0.00174779
Step max :0.00313099
Truncature error max :2.06329e-12
```

#### 3.3.2 circle

```
rk<br/>41\mathrm{e}\text{-}8
```

```
Solution at t=100.000000 :
([0.476077, 0.622885] ; [0.763549, 0.910451])
Diameter : (0.146808 ; 0.146902)
Rejected picard :0
Accepted picard :1400
Step min :0.01
Step max :0.0730046
Truncature error max :1.60132e-08
```



Figure 3.3: Simulation of the circle system

#### 3.3.3 Lambert\_prob2\_lin\_p213

```
Solution at t=10.000000 :
([-0.544487, -0.543374] ; [-0.839843, -0.838119] ; [10, 10])
Diameter : (0.00111361 ; 0.00172438 ; 0)
Rejected picard :3
Accepted picard :26873
Step min :0.000204486
Step max :0.00040387
Truncature error max :7.99348e-06
```



Figure 3.4: Simulation of the Lambert linear system

#### 3.3.4 Lambert\_stiff\_nl\_p223

```
Solution at t=1.500000 :
([0.399984, 0.400016] ; [0.00193044, 0.00193047] ; [2.5, 2.5])
Diameter : (3.26431e-05 ; 3.27931e-08 ; 9.19886e-12)
Rejected picard :1
Accepted picard :21060
Step min :4.79902e-06
Step max :0.0152239
Truncature error max :2.70897e-08
```



Figure 3.5: Simulation of the Lambert stiff system

#### 3.3.5 Lorentz

```
Solution at t=4.000000 :
([-4.89107, -4.60361] ; [-0.207979, 0.199418] ; [28.8958, 29.2391])
Diameter : (0.287467 ; 0.407397 ; 0.343226)
Rejected picard :5
Accepted picard :7419
Step min :0.0003125
Step max :0.000924129
Truncature error max :2.52501e-13
```

#### 3.3.6 moins10u

#### 3.3.7 oil-reservoir

```
 \begin{array}{c} {\rm meth=imidpoint\ prec=1e-12\ picard=taylor3\ hmin=1e-6}\\ 0.001 \end{array}
```



Figure 3.6: Simulation of the Lorentz system

```
Solution at t=50.000000 :
([-8.27752, -8.27751] ; [-0.224547, -0.224547])
Diameter : (6.2308e-06 ; 1.56923e-07)
Rejected picard :3
Accepted picard :71076
Step min :1e-06
Step max :0.016677
Truncature error max :6.57475e-11
```



Figure 3.7: Simulation of the oil-reservoir system (stiffness=1e - 03)

```
0.0001
```

```
Solution at t=50.000000 :
([-8.56149, -8.56146] ; [-0.216578, -0.216577])
Diameter : (2.91622e-05 ; 6.85792e-07)
Rejected picard :2
Accepted picard :73200
Step min :1e-06
Step max :0.0166808
Truncature error max :2.2382e-08
```

## 3.3.8 vanderpol

```
Solution at t=50.000000 :
([-2.03535, -1.97923] ; [0.0419892, 0.0988844])
Diameter : (0.0561216 ; 0.0568952)
Rejected picard :1
```



Figure 3.8: Simulation of the oil-reservoir system (stiffness=1e - 04)

Accepted picard :6789 Step min :0.00140294 Step max :0.012461 Truncature error max :2.53534e-12



Figure 3.9: Simulation of the vanderpol system  $(\mu = 1)$ 

```
Solution at t=40.000000 :
([1.0493, 1.49018] ; [-0.879307, -0.404504])
Diameter : (0.440879 ; 0.474803)
Rejected picard :2
Accepted picard :7163
Step min :0.00217604
Step max :0.0106292
Truncature error max :3.89696e-12
```

```
3.3.9 volterra
```

```
Solution at t=5.488138 :
([1, 1] ; [3, 3])
Diameter : (2.25385e-10 ; 3.90135e-10)
Rejected picard :3
Accepted picard :1642
Step min :0.00115543
Step max :0.00830212
Truncature error max :3.11242e-14
```



Figure 3.10: Simulation of the vanderpol system  $(\mu = 2)$ 



Figure 3.11: Simulation of the volterra system

```
Solution at t=5.488138 :
([0.919632, 1.08037] ; [2.92806, 3.07194])
Diameter : (0.160737 ; 0.14388)
Rejected picard :3
Accepted picard :1702
Step min :0.000912773
Step max :0.00819498
Truncature error max :3.13532e-14
```



Figure 3.12: Simulation of the volterra system with uncertainties

3.3.10 orbit

Imidpoint

Solution at t=5.000000 :

([-0.00635623, 0.0513502] ; [0.826695, 0.905428] ; [-0.188858, -0.0486894] ; [-0.496981, -0.351559]) Diameter : (0.0577065 ; 0.0787324 ; 0.140169 ; 0.145422) Rejected picard :0 Accepted picard :45489 Step min :1e-07 Step max :0.000310353 Truncature error max :2.18118e-12



Figure 3.13: Simulation of the orbit system

- 3.3.11 Neumaier 1
- 3.3.12 Neumaier 2
- 3.3.13 Neumaier 3
- 3.3.14 Quadratic
- 3.3.15 Rossler

```
Solution at t=50.0000000 :
([10.1496, 11.4172] ; [-7.78271, -5.69522] ; [0.0544862, 0.0971181])
Diameter : (1.26763 ; 2.08749 ; 0.0426319)
Rejected picard :6
Accepted picard :7123
Step min :0.001
Step max :0.0132739
Truncature error max :1.3313e-11
```



Figure 3.14: Simulation of the Rossler system

## 3.3.16 Discussion

In this section, we perform some testes on others problem, coming from the literature. It is not to compare with the other tools, but just to prove that we can model and perform come classical problems.

Chapter 4

# Conclusion

## Bibliography

- Martin Berz and Kyoko Makino. Verified integration of odes and flows using differential algebraic methods on high-order taylor models. *Reliable Computing*, 4(4):361–369, 1998.
- [2] Olivier Bouissou, Alexandre Chapoutot, and Adel Djoudi. Enclosing temporal evolution of dynamical systems using numerical methods. In NASA Formal Methods, number 7871 in LNCS, pages 108–123. Springer, 2013.
- [3] Olivier Bouissou and Matthieu Martel. GRKLib: a Guaranteed Runge Kutta Library. In Scientific Computing, Computer Arithmetic and Validated Numerics, 2006.
- [4] Olivier Bouissou, Samuel Mimram, and Alexandre Chapoutot. HySon: Set-based simulation of hybrid systems. In *Rapid System Prototyping*. IEEE, 2012.
- [5] Gilles Chabert and Luc Jaulin. Contractor programming. Artificial Intelligence, 173(11):1079–1100, 2009.
- [6] Xin Chen, Erika Abraham, and Sriram Sankaranarayanan. Taylor model flowpipe construction for non-linear hybrid systems. In *IEEE 33rd Real-Time Systems Symposium*, pages 183–192. IEEE Computer Society, 2012.
- [7] L. H. de Figueiredo and J. Stolfi. Self-Validated Numerical Methods and Applications. Brazilian Mathematics Colloquium monographs. IMPA/CNPq, 1997.
- [8] Karol Gajda, Małgorzata Jankowska, Andrzej Marciniak, and Barbara Szyszka. A survey of interval runge-kutta and multistep methods for solving the initial value problem. In *Parallel Processing and Applied Mathematics*, volume 4967 of *LNCS*, pages 1361–1371. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.
- [9] Eric Goubault and Sylvie Putot. Static analysis of finite precision computations. In Verification, Model Checking, and Abstract Interpretation, volume 6538 of LNCS, pages 232–247. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [10] Ernst Hairer, Syvert Paul Norsett, and Grehard Wanner. Solving Ordinary Differential Equations I: Nonstiff Problems. Springer-Verlag, 2nd edition, 2009.
- [11] Tomas Kapela and Piotr Zgliczyński. A lohner-type algorithm for control systems and ordinary differential inclusions. Discrete and continuous dynamical systems - series B, 11(2):365–385, 2009.
- [12] Youdong Lin and Mark A. Stadtherr. Validated solutions of initial value problems for parametric odes. Appl. Numer. Math., 57(10):1145–1162, 2007.
- [13] Rudolf J. Lohner. Enclosing the solutions of ordinary initial and boundary value problems. Computer Arithmetic, pages 255–286, 1987.
- [14] Ramon Moore. Interval Analysis. Prentice Hall, 1966.
- [15] Ned Nedialkov, K. Jackson, and Georges Corliss. Validated solutions of initial value problems for ordinary differential equations. Appl. Math. and Comp., 105(1):21 – 68, 1999.
- [16] Arnold Neumaier. The wrapping effect, ellipsoid arithmetic, stability and confidence regions. In Validation Numerics, volume 9 of Computing Supplementum, pages 175–190. Springer Vienna, 1993.

- [17] Siegfried M. Rump and Masahide Kashiwagi. Implementation and improvements of affine arithmetic. Technical report, Under submission, 2014.
- [18] Daniel Wilczak and Piotr Zgliczyński. Cr-lohner algorithm. Schedae Informaticae, 20:9–46, 2011.