

Generation of a CRISPR database for Yersinia pseudotuberculosis complex and role of CRISPR-based immunity in conjugation.

Katja A Koskela, Laura Mattinen, Laura Kalin-Mänttäri, Gilles Vergnaud, Olivier Gorgé, Simo Nikkari, Mikael Skurnik

► To cite this version:

Katja A Koskela, Laura Mattinen, Laura Kalin-Mänttäri, Gilles Vergnaud, Olivier Gorgé, et al.. Generation of a CRISPR database for Yersinia pseudotuberculosis complex and role of CRISPR-based immunity in conjugation.. Environmental Microbiology, 2015, pp.12816. 10.1111/1462-2920.12816 . hal-01152593

HAL Id: hal-01152593 https://ensta-paris.hal.science/hal-01152593

Submitted on 19 May 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Generation of a CRISPR database for Yersinia pseudotuberculosis complex and role of CRISPR based immunity in conjugation

Journal:	Environmental Microbiology and Environmental Microbiology Reports
Manuscript ID:	EMI-2015-0152
Manuscript Type:	EMI - Research article
Journal:	Environmental Microbiology
Date Submitted by the Author:	30-Jan-2015
Complete List of Authors:	Koskela, Katja; Centre for Military Medicine, CB Defence and Environmental Health Centre Mattinen, Laura; University of Helsinki, Department of Agricultural Sciences Nikkari, Simo; Centre for Military Medicine, CB Defence and Environmental Health Centre Kalin-Mänttäri, Laura; Centre for Military Medicine, CB Defence and Environmental Health Centre Vergnaud, Gilles; Univ Paris-Sud, Institut de Génétique et Microbiologie Gorgé, Olivier; Univ Paris-Sud, Institut de Génétique et Microbiologie Skurnik, Mikael; University of Helsinki Haartman Institute, Department of Bacteriology and Immunology
Keywords:	bacteria, genomics/functional genomics/comparative genomics, microbe:microbe interactions, microbial genetics

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts 2/

Generation of a CRISPR database for *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* complex and role of CRISPR based immunity in conjugation

- 3
- 4 Katja A Koskela¹, Laura Mattinen², Laura Kalin-Mänttäri^{1,2}, Gilles Vergnaud^{3,4,5}, Olivier Gorgé^{3,4,6}, Simo
- 5 Nikkari¹, and Mikael Skurnik^{2,7}*
- 6

7	Centre for Military Medicine, Helsinki, Finland, ¹ Department of Bacteriology and Immunology, Haartman
8	Institute and Research Programs Unit, Immunobiology, University of Helsinki, ² Univ Paris-Sud, Institut de
9	Génétique et Microbiologie, UMR8621, Orsay, France, ³ CNRS, Orsay, France, ⁴ ENSTA ParisTech, Palaiseau,
10	France, ⁵ DGA/MNRBC, Vert le Petit, France ⁶ and Helsinki University Central Hospital Laboratory Diagnostics
11	Helsinki, Finland ⁷

- 12
- *Address correspondence to: Mikael Skurnik, Haartman Institute, PO Box 21, 00014 University of Helsinki,
 Finland; Phone: +358-9-191 26464; Fax: +358-9-191 26382; E-mail: mikael.skurnik@helsinki.fi
- 15

16 Abstract

17 The CRISPR/Cas system is used by bacteria and archaea against invading conjugative plasmids or bacteriophages. Central to this immunity system are genomic CRISPR loci that contain fragments of 18 invading DNA. These are maintained as spacers in the CRISPR loci between direct repeats and the 19 20 spacer composition in any bacterium reflects its evolutionary history. We analyzed the CRISPR locus sequences of 335 Yersinia pseudotuberculosis complex strains. Altogether 1902 different spacer 21 sequences were identified and these were used to generate a database for the spacer sequences. Only 22 23 ~ 10 % of the spacer sequences found matching sequences. In addition, surprisingly few spacers were shared by Y. pestis and Y. pseudotuberculosis strains. Interestingly 32 different protospacers were 24 present in the conjugative plasmid pYptb32953. The corresponding spacers were identified from 35 25 26 different Y. pseudotuberculosis strains indicating that these strains had encountered pYptb32953 27 earlier. In conjugation experiments pYptb32953-specific spacers generally prevented conjugation with 28 spacer-positive and spacer-free strains. However, some strains with one to four spacers were invaded by pYptb32953 and some spacer-free strains were fully resistant. Also some spacer-positive strains 29 were intermediate resistant to conjugation. This suggests that one or more other defense systems are 30 determining conjugation efficiency independent of the CRISPR/Cas system. 31

32

33

34 Introduction

Yersinia pseudotuberculosis is a gram-negative bacterium which causes disease in humans and 35 animals. In humans Y. pseudotuberculosis is a cause of food-borne associated illness with symptoms of 36 fever and abdominal pain, and sometimes diarrhea. In animals, it causes tuberculosis-like disease 37 38 (Naktin & Beavis, 1999, Aleksic et al., 1995, Tauxe, 2004). Yersinia pestis, the bacterium responsible for plague, evolved from its Y. pseudotuberculosis ancestor approximately 1,500-6,400 years ago 39 40 (Morelli et al., 2010, Achtman et al., 1999, Cui et al., 2013, Harbeck et al., 2013). In a multilocus sequence typing (MLST) study Y. pseudotuberculosis, Y. pestis (representing a single ST), the recently 41 42 described Yersinia similis (Sprague et al., 2008) and a number of distinct strains, called the Korean group and recently named as Yersinia wautersii (Savin et al., 2014), were collectively named as a Y. 43 pseudotuberculosis complex (Laukkanen-Ninios et al., 2011). Due to their close evolutionary 44

relationship, Y. pseudotuberculosis and Y. pestis are very similar and share $\geq 97\%$ nucleotide sequence 45 identity for most of the chromosomal genes depending on the Y. pseudotuberculosis strain in question. 46 Y. pseudotuberculosis is commonly typed serologically based on the lipopolysaccharide O antigen. 47 Some of the 15 known serotypes are divided into subtypes (O:1a, O:1b, O:1c, O:2a, O:2b, O:2c, O:4a, 48 O:4b, O:5a, O:5b) resulting in a total of 21 serotypes (Bogdanovich et al., 2003). Y. pestis does not 49 express O-antigen due to pseudogenes in the O antigen biosynthetic genes, however, comparison of the 50 Y. pestis O antigen gene cluster sequence with those of different Y. pseudotuberculosis serotype gene 51 clusters suggested that Y. pestis evolved from a Y. pseudotuberculosis serotype O:1b strain (Skurnik et 52 al., 2000). 53

Therefore, differentiating and typing of these two species has been challenging (Chauvaux *et al.*, 2011). For instance, an earlier study has suggested ribotyping as one potential typing method, but even with this method differentiation was not accurate (Voskressenskaya *et al.*, 2005). Additionally, multilocus sequence typing (MLST) (Laukkanen-Ninios et al., 2011, Ch'ng *et al.*, 2011), 16S rRNA gene sequencing, and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (Souza *et al.*, 2010) have been used for typing of *Y. pseudotuberculosis*. Some of these methods can identify and differentiate *Yersinia* species, but still typing of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* is challenging.

The CRISPR-Cas (Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat - CRISPR associated 61 62 genes) system is a RNA-based immune system which regulates invasions of plasmids and viruses in bacteria and archaea. The functional mechanisms of CRISPR and its whole biological significance are 63 still not fully known (Garneau et al., 2010, Hale et al., 2009, Barrangou et al., 2007, Bolotin et al., 64 2005, Pourcel et al., 2005, Makarova et al., 2011, Sorek et al., 2013). CRISPRs are constructed from a 65 66 chain of 21-47 bp repeated sequences (called direct repeats, DR) and in between DRs are unique spacer sequences. These spacers represent foreign DNA originating predominantly from bacteriophages and 67 plasmids. A leader sequence is located at the 5'-end of the CRISPR and usually the cas-genes are 68 located upstream of the leader of one of the CRISPR loci (Bolotin et al., 2005, Karginov & Hannon, 69 70 2010, Sontheimer & Marraffini, 2010, Pourcel et al., 2005). The three main types of CRISPR-Cas systems differ in the composition of cas genes and in the mechanisms of CRISPR RNA (crRNA) 71 72 processing and interference (Makarova et al., 2011, Makarova et al., 2013, Wiedenheft et al., 2012). Yersinia contain the subtype I-F CRISPR-Cas system (Haft et al., 2005, Makarova et al., 2011) and the 73

cas-genes are located upstream of the most ancestral spacers (Figure 1) of one of the three CRISPR loci
 present in *Yersinia*. The CRISPR locus and the *cas*-genes have the same transcription direction.

When a prokaryote comes into contact with foreign DNA, the host may integrate a fragment of this 76 77 DNA, known as a protospacer, into the CRISPR locus as a new spacer. Earlier studies show that approximately 45 % of bacteria and nearly all of archaea contain a CRISPR-Cas system (Grissa et al., 78 79 2007a, Pourcel & Drevet, 2013). The new spacers are acquired at the leader proximal end, such that leader distal spacers are older, thus often shared between more isolates (Pourcel et al., 2005, Barrangou 80 81 et al., 2007). Due to their high diversity, the CRISPR sequences have been used for typing (Shariat & 82 Dudley, 2014), for example, for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Kamerbeek et al., 1997), Campylobacter 83 (Schouls et al., 2003) Streptococcus thermophilus (Horvath et al., 2008), Escherichia coli (Delannoy et al., 2012a, Delannoy et al., 2012b, Touchon et al., 2011, Diez-Villasenor et al., 2010), Salmonella 84 enterica (Liu et al., 2011, Fabre et al., 2012) and also for Erwinia amylovora (McGhee & Sundin, 85 2012, Rezzonico et al., 2011). In Yersinia there are three loci named as YP1, YP2 and YP3 of which 86 87 the YP1-locus was initially used as a variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) marker (Le Flèche et al., 2001, Pourcel et al., 2005). 88

In this study our aim was to generate a comprehensive *Y. pseudotuberculosis* complex database of CRISPR spacers, to use the database to distinguish between strains, and to compare these results with the 90 sequence types (ST) defined in the recent MLST study (Laukkanen-Ninios et al., 2011).

92 *Materials and Methods*

93 Bacterial isolates. A total of 76 Y. pseudotuberculosis, ten Y. similis and four Y. wautersii isolates from the Skurnik laboratory strain collection were analyzed in this study (Table S1). These isolates 94 95 were selected to cover the largest possible geographic area, host range and to represent as many of the 21 serotypes as possible. Altogether 83 of the 90 STs (Laukkanen-Ninios et al., 2011) were 96 97 represented, each with a single isolate except for ST3, ST14 and ST43 that were represented by three, four and three isolates, respectively. In addition, sequence data of 40 Y. pseudotuberculosis strains and 98 99 195 Y. pestis strains from earlier investigations were included ((Pourcel et al., 2005, Cui et al., 2008)) and Vergnaud&Gorgé, unpublished) as well as CRISPR loci sequences of published complete genome 100 101 sequences of 4 Y. pseudotuberculosis and 6 Y. pestis strains (Table S1).

102 **Culture conditions.** The bacterial strains were grown in lysogeny broth (LB) (Bertani, 2004); the 103 *Yersinia* strains at 20-22 °C (RT) unless otherwise mentioned and *E. coli* strains at 37 °C. LB 104 supplemented with 1.5% Bacto Agar (LA) was used for solid cultures. *Yersinia* selective CIN-agar 105 plates (Oxoid) were used in conjugation experiments. When required, appropriate antibiotics were 106 added as follows: chloramphenicol (Clm) 20 μ g/ml, nalidixic acid (Nal) 100 μ g/ml, kanamycin (Kan) 107 100 μ g/ml and diaminopimelic acid (Dap) 0.3 mM.

108 DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was isolated using the JetFlex DNA isolation kit (GENOMED
109 GmdH, Löhne, Germany).

Sequencing of the CRISPR loci. The three CRISPR loci of Y. pseudotuberculosis complex were 110 targeted by PCR based on previously published Y. pestis CRISPR primer sequences (Le Flèche et al., 111 2001, Pourcel et al., 2005). New primers were designed for CRISPR YP2 as the earlier published Y. 112 pestis primers failed with many Y. pseudotuberculosis strains. The CRISPR loci-specific primers are 113 presented in Table S2. PCR reactions were run in a final volume of 50 µl containing 50 pmol of each 114 primer, 5 µl of 10 x Dynazyme II buffer, 200 µM of each dNTP, 1 U of Dynazyme II, and 150 ng of 115 template DNA. PCR consisted of the following steps: 94°C for 3 min, 32 cycles of denaturation at 116 94°C for 40 s, annealing at 53°C for 40 s and extension at 72°C for 3 min, and final extension step at 117 72°C for 10min. PCR amplified fragments were visualized after agarose gel electrophoresis (1.2 % 118 agarose) by ethidiumbromide staining. The DNA fragments were sent to Institute for Molecular 119 Medicine Finland (FIMM) core facility for sequencing after Exonuclease I (Neo Lab) and Shrimp 120 121 Alkaline Phosphatase (Promega) treatment. The fragments were sequenced using the Applied Biosystems Dye Terminator Kit (BigDye v.3.1) and ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer. The CRISPR-loci 122 specific primers were used as sequencing primers from the fragment ends and internal primers were 123 designed for sequencing long PCR fragments. 124

Raw sequence read data was analyzed and assembled to contigs using either Sequencer 5.1 (Gene Codes Corporation) or the Staden Package (Staden, 1996). Before submitting the contig sequence data to CRISPRFinder tool at CRISPRs Web Server (http://crispr.u-psud.fr/) (Grissa *et al.*, 2007b, Grissa *et al.*, 2007a) the data was combined with available CRISPR sequence data from *Y. pestis* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis* strains (Cui et al., 2008, Pourcel et al., 2005, Riehm *et al.*, 2012) (Table S1). The CRISPRFinder tool returned the recognized spacers with unique randomly selected identification numbers. The genbank non-redundant (nr) nucleotide sequence database was searched for individual
spacer sequences using the BLASTN tool (Altschul *et al.*, 1990).

Accession numbers. All the sequences reported in this article including the earlier published but not submitted sequence data (Cui et al., 2008, Pourcel et al., 2005, Riehm et al., 2012) were deposited to nucleotide sequence databases. The accession numbers for the YP1, YP2 and YP3 loci are listed in Table S1.

Construction of pYptb32953::cat and pTM100-CRISPR. Primers specific for pYptb32953 (Acc. no 137 BX936400.1), the 27 kb cryptic plasmid of Y. pseudotuberculosis IP32953 (Table S2) were used to 138 amplify a 797 bp fragment of pYptb32953 from a plasmid miniprep template. The PCR fragment was 139 purified and digested with EcoRI followed by ligation with EcoRI-digested and SAP-treated suicide 140 vector pSW23T (Demarre et al., 2005). The ligation mixture was electroporated into E. coli strain 141 ω7249 that is Kan^R (Babic *et al.*, 2008). Transformants carrying the correct insert were identified by 142 PCR and the isolated plasmid named as pSW23T-pIP was further confirmed by restriction digestions. 143 The suicide construct was introduced to Y. pseudotuberculosis IP32953 by conjugation from E. coli 144 ω7249/pSW23T-pIP and Clm^R transconjugants were selected with LA-Clm plates where the donor was 145 unable to grow due to its requirement for diaminopimelic acid (Dap). One of the Clm^R transconjugants 146 147 was named as IP32953/pYptb32953::*cat* and used as a donor to introduce the tagged plasmid into *E*. *coli* strains PM191NaR, a Nal^R spontaneous derivative of PM191 (Meacock & Cohen, 1980) to obtain 148 149 *E. coli* PM191NaR/pYptb32953::*cat*, and to strain ω7249 to obtain *E. coli* ω7249/pYptb32953::*cat*. pTM100-CRISPR was constructed by cloning a PCR-amplified 909-bp DNA-fragment of pYptb32953 150 (nucleotides 13,002-13,910; for PCR-primers, see Table S2) into EcoRV-site of pTM100 (Michiels & 151 Cornelis, 1991). pTM100-CRISPR was electroporated into E. coli strain w7249. pTM100-waaF 152 (Noszczynska et al., 2014) was used as spacer-free control plasmid in mobilization experiments. 153

Conjugation frequency assays. The *E. coli* ω 7249/pYptb32953::*cat*, ω 7249/pTM100-CRISPR and ω 7249/pTM100-waaF strains were used as donor strains to determine conjugation/mobilization frequencies into a set of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* strains. The donor bacteria were grown in LB-Kan-Clm-Dap at 37°C for 16 h, the culture was diluted 1:10 in fresh medium and incubated for an additional 3 h, washed and resuspended into PBS to OD₆₀₀ of ~1.0. The recipient bacteria were grown in LB at 22°C for 16 h, the culture was diluted 1:10 in fresh medium and incubated for an additional 3 h, resuspended into PBS to OD₆₀₀ of ~1.0. For each recipient strain, three parallel matings were prepared.

Equal amounts of the donor and recipient suspensions were mixed and 100 µl aliquots were pipetted in 161 the middle of three parallel LA-plates supplemented with Dap but without antibiotics. The plates were 162 incubated at 37 °C for 16 h. The bacteria on the plates' surface were resuspended into 1 ml of PBS. 200 163 μ l aliquots were recovered from each and diluted with PBS to OD₆₀₀ of 0.2. The concentrations of 164 donor, recipient and transconjugant bacteria in these mating mixtures were determined by pipetting 5 ul 165 drops of $10^{0} - 10^{-8}$ diluted mixtures on LA-Kan-Clm-Dap plates (for donor counts), CIN plates (for 166 total recipient counts) and CIN-Clm plates (for transconjugant counts). The donor plates were 167 incubated for 24 h at 37°C and the recipient and transconjugant plates at 22°C for 48 h. The colonies in 168 the last dilutions showing growth were counted. Conjugation frequencies were expressed as ratios 169 between the transconjugant and recipient concentrations. 170

171 *Results*

The YP1 locus was amplified from 60 of the 90 Skurnik laboratory Y. pseudotuberculosis complex 172 173 strains. Twenty Y. pseudotuberculosis strains and all ten Y. similis strains yielded no PCR product (Table S1). From the amplified YP1 locus fragments, five could be only partially sequenced and no 174 sequence was obtained from 11 PCR products. The YP2 locus was amplified and sequenced from 61 175 strains, 19 strains yielded no PCR products and the PCR products of 4 strains could not be sequenced; 176 177 in addition 6 strains yielded non-CRISPR sequences. The YP3 locus was amplified and sequenced from 81 strains. Five strains yielded no PCR products, two strains were partly sequenced and the PCR 178 179 product from one strain could not be sequenced; in addition one strain vielded non-CRISPR sequence. We did not push to optimize the PCR-based sequencing approach as whole genome sequencing is a 180 181 present day viable alternative. Typically highest numbers of spacers were found from the YP1 and YP3 loci (up to 50 different), while very few were in the YP2 locus. 182

183 Analysis of the YP1, YP2 and YP3 CRISPR loci in 335 Y. pseudotuberculosis complex strains

The above sequence data was complemented with the CRISPR loci sequences of 40 Y. 184 185 pseudotuberculosis strains and 195 Y. pestis strains (Table S1) from earlier investigations ((Pourcel et al., 2005, Cui et al., 2008) and Vergnaud&Gorgé, unpublished). In addition, we extracted the CRISPR 186 187 loci sequences from published complete genome sequences of 4 Y. pseudotuberculosis and 6 Y. pestis strains (Table S1). Then, the sequence data of altogether 335 Y. pseudotuberculosis complex strains 188 189 was analysed by the CRISPRFinder tool. The DR consensus sequence identified from these sequences *Y*. 5'identical of al., 2008), 190 was to that pestis (Cui et i.e.,

191 TTTCTAAGCTACCTGTGCGGCAGTGAAC-3'. Similar to Cui *et al.*, we identified a number of 192 modified DRs with differences to consensus DR in various positions of the CRISPR loci (Table S3).

Altogether more than 6000 spacers with 1902 different spacer sequences were identified among the 193 analysed sequence data (Table S4). The numbering in Table S4 is used to distuingish the spacers. 194 Suprisingly little overlap of spacer distribution between the strains was noticed. 1153 spacers were 195 196 unique to single strains (shown in Table S4), 311 were present in 2 strains, 143 in 3 strains, 77 in 4 strains, ca. 56 in 5 strains, ca. 47 in 6 strains and 25 in 7 strains. Those that were shared in ≥ 8 Y. 197 198 pseudotuberculosis and Y. similis strains are shown in Table S5. Since these spacers did not give any significant hits in BLASTN search (Table S5) we at present have no clues of their origins except for 199 200 spacer #7 that had similarity to *E. coli* plasmid sequence. This spacer was present in eight strains. The most common spacers (#1074, #1149, #507, #40) were always found close to the most ancestral, i.e., 201 202 the leader distal, end of the CRISPR loci.

To visualize possible evolutionary relationships between the strains based on the organization of the 203 204 spacer sequences, the Y. pseudotuberculosis, Y. similis and Y. wautersii strain-specific spacer patterns were manually aligned and the alignments are shown in Table S6. If all spacers present in the most 205 recent common ancestor (MRCA) of Y. pseudotuberculosis complex had been subsequently 206 207 maintained, present day strains should have the same root (most ancient) spacer. This is not the case, 208 instead there were several root spacers both in the YP1 and YP3 loci. The most likely explanation is 209 that older spacers were randomly lost. Furthermore, gaps had to be introduced to the spacer patterns to 210 maximize their alignment. When the spacer pattern alignments were used for grouping of the strains we found that the phylogenies of YP1 and YP3 loci seem not to be congruent. In fact, the alignments 211 212 indicated that the spacers had accumulated independently to these main storage loci. Table S6, parts A and B, show the strains sorted based on YP1 and YP3 alignments, respectively. As an example of this, 213 214 the YP1 and YP3 alleles of selected strains are shown in Table 1. For instance, the ancient YP1 alleles 215 539.173.177.-- are associated either with ancient YP3 alleles 507.1238.-- or 1149.1332.-- or 1149.1199. 216 (Table 1, top). Conversely, each of these is associated with two or more very different YP1 alleles (Table 1, bottom). This data strongly suggests that horizontal gene transfer (HGT) and recombination 217 between the CRISPR loci has occurred within the Y. pseudotuberculosis complex, however, with the 218 present data we cannot evaluate the full extent of such mosaicisms. Within the strain groups presented 219 220 in Table S6 one can observe plenty of examples of possible recombination events and reassortments

leading to deletions of spacer(s). For example, in the spacer 539.173-rooted YP1 group (Table 1, top), 221 222 the spacer block 539.173...187 is present in six strains, however, it is not identical in them as in some strains spacers from the middle are missing (eg. MW145-2, that strain is also missing the most ancient 223 spacer #539). Strain Toyama60, on the other hand has gained spacer block 539.173-177, but not as the 224 most ancient one but the block has recombined after the ancient spacers 104.801.802 (Table 1). On the 225 other hand, in the spacer 1149-rooted YP3 alignments (Table 1, bottom) similar events can also be 226 easily tracked. The clonal evolution of CRISPR loci observed in Y. pestis (Cui et al., 2008) may be an 227 exception reminiscent of the situation observed with Mycobacterium cannettii and the Mycobacterium 228 tuberculosis complex (Blouin et al., 2014). 229

Among the 84 *Y. pseudotuberculosis* strains with YP1 sequences the most prevalent ancient or root spacer in the YP1 locus was #39 (present in 14 strains), followed by spacers #103, #40, #539, #403, #76 and #581 (present in 13, 9, 6, 4, 3 and 3 strains, respectively). Eight different ancient spacers were shared by two strains and 15 strains had unique ancient spacers. Sequence information for the YP1 locus was not obtained for 50 of the 124 *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and 10 *Y. similis* strains.

The YP2 locus of the strains carried generally 1 or 2 spacers, with only three exceptions in which the 235 locus carried 6 or 8 spacers (Tables S6 and S7). By sequence comparison, a couple of different repeat 236 variants and altogether 17 different spacers were detected in the YP2 locus. No spacers were present in 237 238 the Y. similis YP2 locus. Figure 2 and Table S7 show the alignments of the Y. pseudotuberculosis YP2 locus sequences and their comparison to the Y. pestis CO92 YP2 locus. Here we exploited for the 239 grouping of strains the CRISPR 5'- and 3'-flanking sequences obtained from the YP2 PCR fragments 240 (Figure 2 and Table S7). Comparison of the YP2 3'-flanks revealed the presence or absence from the 241 242 strains of five distinct sequence elements that we named as 3'A to 3'E (Figure 2 and Table S7). Interestingly, all five 3' elements are present only the Y. pestis and six Y. pseudotuberculosis strains 243 244 (Figure 2). Most other strains were missing the 731-736 bp 3'-E and the 78 bp 3'-B element. The 3'-E element of strain CO92 includes the whole *vpo2574* gene encoding a putative membrane protein of the 245 246 DUF1440 protein family. The 32 bp 3'D element was present in all strains. The 66 bp 3'-A and the 25 bp 3'-C elements were missing only from the Y. similis strains. Absence of the 3'-A element that is the 247 YP2 locus CRISPR leader sequence might explain why Y. similis does not carry any spacers in the YP2 248 locus. 249

The YP3-locus spacer comparison is presented in Table S6 part B. Based on the identity of the most ancestral spacer the strains could be grouped into >10 groups. Spacers #507, #1149 and #1111 define the largest groups with 38, 31 and 12 strains, respectively. The other spacer groups #511, #1132, #1156, #1199, #1589, #1616, #1622 and #1853 included 2-5 strains each. The remaining strains had either a sporadic most ancestral spacer (7 strains) or we did not get a PCR-product or sequence from the locus (23 strains).

Interestingly, seventy-two spacers were present in two different CRISPR loci. In one instance, this peculiarity was observed in a single strain. Spacer #808 was found in both the YP1 and YP3 locus of strain No-151. Duplications occurred, for example spacer #257 was found in strains MW101-1 and TE-93081 as a tandem repeat duplicate in the YP1 locus. Another example is the spacer pair #1348.1349 that is present twice in strain DC356-2. Also spacer #1 is present twice in strain BB1152 (Table S6).

261 *Relationships between spacer based grouping and sequence types*

262 We next wanted to find out if the spacer based grouping was in line with the MLST study (Laukkanen-Ninios et al., 2011). Comparison of our results to the MLST minimal spanning tree of Laukkanen-263 264 Ninios et al. (Laukkanen-Ninios et al., 2011) revealed that the CRISPR spacer-based grouping is not in synchrony with the MLST typing; at best weak correlation could be detected (Figures S1 and S2). 265 266 However, in all spacer-based groups closest CRISPR types tended to belong to closely related sequence types. As an example one can take the YP3 spacer subgroup of #507-1350-rooted strains (Table S6 part 267 B and Figure S2) that grouped in the MLST analysis with a maximum crosslink distance of 5. 268 (Laukkanen-Ninios et al., 2011.) Thus, the CRISPR loci are highly more differentiating than MLST as 269 270 we found among the Y. pseudotuberculosis complex strains (excluding the Y. pestis strains) no CRISPR-identical strains. On the other hand, same sequence type strains tend to carry same spacers, for 271 272 example, three of the four ST16 strains share 12 of the total of 17 different YP1 spacers (Table S6 part A). A similar situation could also be seen with the YP1 spacers of ST42, ST43 and ST19 strains and 273 274 with YP3 spacers of ST42, ST43, ST9, ST48 and ST14 strains (Table S6 part B). Clearly, more complete CRISPR loci sequences of strains representing individual sequence types will be needed to 275 get better picture of intra-ST CRISPR evolution, as previously done for Y. pestis which represents a 276 single ST within the Y. pseudotuberculosis complex (Cui et al., 2008, Riehm et al., 2012). 277

278 Origin of spacers

BLASTN searches revealed that a number of spacer sequences showed similarity to various plasmid 279 and bacteriophage sequences (Tables S8 and S9). It was interesting to notice that a few spacers (e.g. 280 #585, #283, #82, #1206, #1154 and #1001) present in Yersinia species had matches to plasmids. Spacer 281 #82 shows 97 % identity (one base pair difference) to Y. enterocolitica plasmid pYE854 and Y. 282 pseudotuberculosis IP31758 59 kb plasmid. In Table S9 spacers that have similarities with different 283 bacteriophage sequences are shown. There was good identity to e.g. Enterobacteria, Erwinia, 284 Escherichia, Salmonella and Burkholderia phages. In Table S10 spacer sequences were compared to 285 whole genome sequences. The bacterial species earlier seen in Table S9 (bacteriophage hits) can also 286 be seen in this table. Spacer #1697 appears in many bacterial species simply because this spacer occurs 287 in the highly conserved 16S ribosomal RNA gene. In many instances the spacers were located in 288 prophage-like elements similar to Yersinia -specific spacers (see below). 289

Yersinia –**specific spacers.** Some spacers were present in the genomes or plasmids of other Yersinia species. Altogether 40 spacers showed significant similarity to sequences present in Y. enterocolitica 8081 genome (Table 2). The 8081 genome carries four prophage-like elements (Thomson *et al.*, 2006) and 38 of the 40 spacer sequences were located within two of them, ϕ YE98 (22 spacers) and ϕ YE250 (16 spacers). A few strains carried two 8081 prophage-specific spacers.

Most spacers identified from Y. pestis were not shared with Y. pseudotuberculosis. The ones that are 295 shared are shown in Tables S11 and S12. Table S13 is the conversion table for spacer nomenclature 296 from previous studies to the present database (Cui et al., 2008, Riehm et al., 2012). Specifically, in the 297 YP1 locus, spacers #403-405 were shared by a few Y. pseudotuberculosis strains, while in the YP3 298 locus spacer #507 seems to be present in all Y. pestis strains and is also common in Y. 299 pseudotuberculosis (Tables S6 and S11). Interestingly, spacers #257, #1901 and #1902 are identical 300 301 except for the one and two extra Gs present in the two latter ones, respectively (Table S4). Spacer #1901 is very common in the Y. pestis YP2 locus while #257 appears in YP1 locus in 11 strains of Y. 302 303 *pseudotuberculosis* and in two of the strains it is present as duplicate. Futhermore, #257 appears in the YP3 locus in seven Y. pseudotuberculosis strains and twice in one of the strains. As mentioned before, 304 305 Y. pseudotuberculosis strains rarely contained more than two spacers in YP2 loci. In contrast, Y. pestis 306 YP2 locus usually carries three to six spacers or more.

Finally, to extend the spacer comparisons between *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and *Y. pestis*, all the spacer
sequences present in *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, *Y. similis* and *Y. wautersii* were used to search the *Y. pestis*

genomes. Table S12 lists the 33 spacer sequences identified. The table shows seven hits to *Y. pestis*CRISPR elements, but also 14 hits to *Y. pestis* prophages.

pYptb32953. Altogether 32 unique spacers for the 27,702 bp cryptic plasmid pYptb32953 of Y. 311 pseudotuberculosis IP32953 (Chain et al., 2004) were identified in 34 strains. The distribution of the 312 spacers along the plasmid sequence is shown in Table 3. No significant distribution bias can be 313 314 detected. A majority (22) of the spacers map to the forward (+) and 10 map to the reverse (-) strand of the plasmid (Table 3). Spacer #1362 has two matches in the plasmid, one is a 100% match to nt 16931 315 316 (-) strand and the other a 31/32 (97%) match to nt 23321 (+) strand. Altogether 30 different strains had 317 a spacer sequence with 100 % identity to the plasmid pYptb32953 sequence, and additionally a few 318 spacers with some mismatches were identified. To see whether the spacer-carrying strains would reject the pYptb32953 plasmid and the spacers-free strains would accept it in conjugation experiments, we 319 320 tagged the plasmid with a *cat*-gene (see M&M). We first demonstrated that pYptb32953 is indeed a self-conjugative plasmid as predicted based on its annotated sequence (Chain et al., 2004). The 321 322 pYptb32953::cat transferred itself efficiently from IP32953 to E. coli strain PM191NaR (data not shown). The conjugation frequencies to 31 different Y. pseudotuberculosis strains were determined 323 (Table 4). Examples of the conjugation experiments are shown in Figure 3. Among the strains we 324 observed three levels of restriction to pYptb32953::*cat* conjugation: (i) non-resistant group to which the 325 326 plasmid transferred without any apparent restriction and under the experimental conditions used 30 -100 % of recipients were transformed, (ii) intermediate resistant group where 1 to 20% of recipients 327 were transformed and (iii) fully resistant group with less than 0.01 % transformants. While 11 of 12 328 329 among the fully resistant strains carried a pYptb32953-specific spacer ten spacer-carrying strains were 330 among the 13 strains in the non-resistant group. Among the 4 spacer-free strains tested 3 were non-331 resistant and one, YPII, was fully resistant (Table 4).

To find out whether the resistance differences in the spacer-carrying strains could be explained by the presence or absence of the type-IF specific PAM motif GG at the 3'-end of the protospacer (Wiedenheft *et al.*, 2011, Cady *et al.*, 2012, Mojica *et al.*, 2009), the pYptb32953 spacer-flanking sequences were analysed (Table 3). Altogether 23 of the 32 protospacers were flanked by the GG PAM motif and there was no correlation between the presence or absence of the PAM motif and resistance. For example the non-resistant strain J51 carries 4 spacers and two of the protospacers carry the GG PAM motif. Spacer #1632 containing the PAM motif is present in three *Y. similis* strains. One of the strains is non-resistant and two are fully resistant. In addition, there are fully resistant strains that carrya spacer missing the PAM motif (Table 3).

To find out whether the CRISPR/Cas system in the Y. pseudotuberculosis strains is functional we 341 constructed a pair of plasmids based on mobilizable plasmid pTM100 (Michiels & Cornelis, 1991). 342 pTM100-CRISPR carries a 909 bp fragment of pYptb32953 (nucleotides 13002-13910) that contains 343 344 six protospacers present as spacers in eight of the strains (Table 4). pYM100-waaF was used as a spacer-free control plasmid. The plasmids were mobilized into a set of six spacer-carrying and -free 345 346 strains representing the non- and fully-resistant groups. A functional CRISPR/Cas system should restrict the mobilization of pTM100-CRIPSR but not that of pTM100-waaF into a spacer-carrying 347 348 strain, and there should not be any differences in mobilization of either plasmid into a spacer-free strain. The results presented in Table 4 demonstrate that mobilization frequency of pTM100-CRIPSR 349 350 to all five spacer-carrying strains was significantly lower than that of pTM100-waaF while no difference could be seen with spacer-free strain PB1. These results demonstrated that the CRISPR/Cas 351 352 system in Y. pseudotuberculosis is functional.

As a single nucleotide change in the protospacer sequence in a phage genome may allow the phage to escape the CRISPR immunity (Levin *et al.*, 2013) we also checked for this possibility as some of the spacers had 1-4 mismatches with the protospacers (88-97% identity over 32 nt, Table 3). Also this seemed not to correlate with the resistance as spacer #1167 in strain 774 had 4 mismatches with the protospacer but the strain was fully resistant. Also #1579 in strain KP1244-2B had 1 mismatch but the strain was fully resistant.

359 **Discussion**

The three CRISPR loci of 335 Y. pseudotuberculosis complex strains were analysed. Altogether 1902 360 different spacers were found and surprisingly little overlap between the strains was observed. In spite 361 362 of this, we noticed some correlation between the Y. pseudotuberculosis sequence types and CRISPR spacers. To visualize evolutionary relationships between the strains, we aligned the spacer profiles of 363 364 the strains based on both the YP1 and YP3 spacers, but these alignments showed no congruence. This is a strong argument for the influence of HGT in shaping the genomes of Y. pseudotuberculosis and that 365 366 specifically influences the YP1 and YP3 loci. This is supported by analogous reassortment of CRISPR loci in Sulfolobus islandicus (Held et al., 2013) and in E. coli (Almendros et al., 2013). On the other 367

hand, it also reflects the facts (i) that we intentionally selected the strains to represent as divergent collection of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* complex strains as possible, and (ii) that the number of the strains included in the study was still relatively small. Therefore, to draw meaningful evolutionary conclusions, CRISPR sequence data from larger number of strains is needed. The alignments using the YP2 loci demonstrated the high similarity between the *Y. similis* sequences and their distinct separation from other *Y. pseudotuberculosis* complex species (Table S7).

- Earlier studies suggested that Y. pseudotuberculosis ST43 is the closest relative to Y. pestis 374 375 (Laukkanen-Ninios et al., 2011, Riehm et al., 2012). Interestingly, the spacers of the six ST43 strains investigated here shared almost no spacers with Y. pestis (Table S11). It will be interesting to 376 377 investigate more ST43 strains. The most ancestral Y. pestis YP1 and YP3 spacers are observed in Y. pseudotuberculosis ST14, ST16, ST41 and ST87 (Table S6) in a similar position but these STs are not 378 379 close neighbors to Y. pestis or to each other (Laukkanen-Ninios et al., 2011). This indicates that these spacers were acquired well before Y. pestis speciation and were subsequently lost in most Y. 380 381 pseudotuberculosis lineages or that CRISPR loci may be transferred horizontally.
- Another peculiarity in our dataset was the observation that in some cases a spacer was found from two different loci in one strain. Furthermore certain spacers were shared between strains but occurred at different positions or even in different loci. This may be due to the fact that different strains have been invaded by the protospacer-carrying DNA in separate occasions.
- Previous studies have shown that the CRISPR variability may be used for typing bacterial species, even 386 though the CRISPR sequence diversity was not as wide as in the Y. pseudotuberculosis complex 387 388 (Riehm et al., 2012). For example, Fabre and others concluded that the CRISPR spacer content in 389 Salmonella correlated with MLST and serotyping results, and they indicated that CRISPR analysis may be a powerful tool for molecular typing of Salmonella isolates (Shariat et al., 2013a, Shariat et al., 390 2013b, Fabre et al., 2012). It was also shown that E. coli CRISPR typing combined with MLST 391 analysis could differentiate strains from a single clonal group (Touchon et al., 2011). CRISPR has also 392 proven to be a good typing tool for the clonal Y. pestis and hypothetical evolutionary models have been 393 394 created based on the CRISPR spacer arrays (Cui et al., 2008). This has to be treated with utmost care as 395 our present results revealed very big differences between the Y. pseudotuberculosis strains and indications that evolution within Y. pseudotuberculosis might not be clonal. This method may be very 396 useful for forensic applications, however, this would require an extensive reference collection. We 397

show here that each ST would need to be considered almost as a single entity, as previously done for
ST90 (*Y. pestis*).

The most common spacers had significant similarities mainly with *Yersinia* species. Comparison of spacer with plasmid sequences indicated one notable plasmid, pYptb32953, the cryptic 27,702 kb plasmid of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* strain IP32953 which had significant similarities with 32 spacers.

When the pYptb32953-specific spacers were identified from the 31 strains we set out to test whether 403 the presence or absence of the spacers would influence the conjugation frequency of pYptb32953::cat 404 to a strain. As spacer-negative control strains we selected 4 strains. Our hypothesis was that the plasmid 405 would transform the spacer-negative strains but not the spacer-positive ones. The results shown in 406 Table 4 were unexpected and demonstrated that bacteria are versatile. In addition, the finding that 407 pYptb32953::cat conjugated efficiently to 10 spacer-positive strains raised the possibility that the 408 CRISPR/Cas system in these strains would not be functional. The mobilization experiments carried out 409 with the pTM100-waaF/pTM100-CRISPR plasmids, however, clearly demonstrated that the 410 CRISPR/Cas system is functional also in these strains. Interestingly, we observed that the CRISPR/Cas 411 system based resistance was not 100 % tight but could reduce the mobilization frequency to ca. 10 % of 412 the spacer-free mobilization. We can make important conclusions from the results. Firstly, strain YPIII 413 that lacks any pYptb32953-specific spacers was fully resistant to pYptb32953::cat transformation. The 414 415 strain likely carries an efficient restriction-modification system or lacks a receptor for the pYptb32953 conjugation apparatus. Secondly, the presence of a group of spacer-positive strains that showed 416 intermediate resistance to pYptb32953::*cat* transformation shows that the resistance can be leaky. We 417 can speculate that one or more other defense systems in addition to the CRISPR/Cas system are 418 419 required to achieve full conjugation resistance. Thus, it is likely that these systems are not present in the non-resistant and intermediate resistant groups. Further studies are warranted to elucidate the 420 421 molecular mechanisms behind these phenomena.

When comparing the *Y. pseudotuberculosis, Y. similis* and *Y. wautersii* spacer sequences to the *Y. pestis* genomic sequences (Table S12), altogether 33 spacers showed significant similarity. Seven of the spacers were spacers in the CRISPR loci of *Y. pestis* and of the remaining 26 spacers 14 had hits in the prophage sequence in the *Y. pestis* genomes. Fewer spacer sequence hits were observed with *Y. pestis* strains 91001 and Antiqua reflecting the fact that they are missing certain prophages present in other *Y. pestis* strains. That has been described also earlier (Song *et al.*, 2004, Chain *et al.*, 2006). We faced some difficulties in both PCR amplification and sequencing some of the CRISPR loci and to overcome this whole genome sequencing will be used in future. Whole genome sequencing will also open new possibilities to distinguish *Y. pseudotuberculosis* strains from each other.

431 *Final conclusions*

432 Our results suggest that Y. pestis after divergence from Y. pseudotuberculosis has lived protected or secluded life and it has not encountered many foreign transforming DNAs at least when measured with 433 numbers of CRISPR spacers. Apparently there are rare instances in the Y. pestis life cycle where it is 434 exposed to other bacteria or bacteriophages. This is realistic as the infected tissues in rodents and/or 435 humans after flea bite are practically sterile, however, while we do not fully understand the life style of 436 Y. pestis in the environment, the microbiota of the flea might be a likely source of foreign DNA. 437 Therefore Y. pestis has a relatively low number of spacers compared to Y. pseudotuberculosis. The 438 439 latter, on the contrary, is widely spread in nature and seems to have been highly exposed to various insulting genetic elements and this is visible in the high number of spacers present in a single strain, for 440 441 example the strain YPIII has altogether 75 spacers.

442 Acknowledgements

This work is part of the European Biodefence project EDA B-1324-ESM4-GP. Scientific contribution
to this work has been accomplished by representatives from France and Finland. Work by GV andOG
was supported by the French ministry of defense. Anita Liljegren and Juha Laitinen are thanked for
expert technical help. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

447 Figure legends

Figure 1. The locations of the YP1, YP2 and YP3 CRISPR loci in the genome of *Y*.

449 *pseudotuberculosis* strain IP32953 (Accession number BX936398). The CRISPR associated *cas* and

450 *csy* genes are shown with gray shading and the variable CRISPR repeat sequences as striped arrows.

451 The new spacers are added between the leader sequence and the arrowhead of the CRISPR repeat

452 sequences. The locations of the leader sequence and of the primers used to amplify the loci are

453 indicated by a triangle and black arrows, respectively.

Figure 2. Schematic organization of the YP2 locus elements used for grouping of the strains. The list of strains and the respective sequences are given in Table S7. The leader sequence element 3'-A is indicated by the black box, the spacer-containing sequence by the striped arrow, the other sequence elements by open boxes. The open reading frame within element 3'-E is indicated by the grey arrow.

Figure 3. Conjugation experiments showing the serial 10-fold dilution plating of 5 μl drops on
selective CIN-agar plates without or with Clm allowing the growth from the mating mixture of all the
recipient bacteria or only the transconjugant bacteria, respectively. PB1 and DC356-2 represent nonresistant strains, Pa8728 represents intermediate resistant strains, and YPIII, CN3 and Toyama-60, the
fully resistant strains.

Figure S1. Comparison of YP1 spacer-based grouping of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* complex strains (see
Table S6) to MLST-based minimal spanning tree in Figure 1 of Laukkanen-Ninios *et al.* (LaukkanenNinios et al., 2011). The groups are indicated by their root spacers and from them lines are drawn to the
sequence types the strains in the group represent.

Figure S2. Comparison of YP3 spacer-based grouping of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* complex strains (see
Table S6) to MLST-based minimal spanning tree in Figure 1 of Laukkanen-Ninios *et al.* (LaukkanenNinios et al., 2011). The groups are indicated by their root spacers and from them lines are drawn to the
sequence types the strains in the group represent.

471 *References*

472

473

474 Achtman, M., K. Zurth, G. Morelli, G. Torrea, A. Guiyoule & E. Carniel, (1999) Yersinia pestis, the cause of 475 plague, is a recently emerged clone of Yersinia pseudotuberculosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 476 14043-14048. 477 Aleksic, S., J. Bockemühl & H.H. Wuthe, (1995) Epidemiology of Y. pseudotuberculosis in Germany, 1983-1993. 478 In: Yersiniosis: Present and Future. G. Ravagnan & C. Chiesa (eds). Postfach/CH-4009 Basel/Switzerland: 479 Karger, pp. 55-58. 480 Almendros, C., F.J. Mojica, C. Diez-Villasenor, N.M. Guzman & J. Garcia-Martinez, (2013) CRISPR-Cas functional 481 module exchange in *Escherichia coli*. *MBio* **5**: e00767-00713. 482 Altschul, S.F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E.W. Myers & D.J. Lipman, (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. 483 Biol. 215: 403-410. 484 Babic, A., A.M. Guerout & D. Mazel, (2008) Construction of an improved RP4 (RK2)-based conjugative system. 485 Res. Microbiol. 159: 545-549. 486 Barrangou, R., C. Fremaux, H. Deveau, M. Richards, P. Boyaval, S. Moineau, D.A. Romero & P. Horvath, (2007) 487 CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. *Science* **315**: 1709-1712. 488 Bertani, G., (2004) Lysogeny at mid-twentieth century: P1, P2, and other experimental systems. J. Bacteriol. 489 **186**: 595-600. 490 Blouin, Y., G. Cazajous, C. Dehan, C. Soler, R. Vong, M.O. Hassan, Y. Hauck, C. Boulais, D. Andriamanantena, C. 491 Martinaud, E. Martin, C. Pourcel & G. Vergnaud, (2014) Progenitor "Mycobacterium canettii" clone 492 responsible for lymph node tuberculosis epidemic, Djibouti. Emerg Infect Dis 20: 21-28. 493 Bogdanovich, T., E. Carniel, H. Fukushima & M. Skurnik, (2003) Use of O-antigen gene cluster-specific PCRs for 494 the identification and O-genotyping of Yersinia pseudotuberculosis and Yersinia pestis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 495 **41**: 5103-5112. 496 Bolotin, A., B. Quinquis, A. Sorokin & S.D. Ehrlich, (2005) Clustered regularly interspaced short palindrome 497 repeats (CRISPRs) have spacers of extrachromosomal origin. *Microbiology* 151: 2551-2561. 498 Cady, K.C., J. Bondy-Denomy, G.E. Heussler, A.R. Davidson & G.A. O'Toole, (2012) The CRISPR/Cas adaptive 499 immune system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mediates resistance to naturally occurring and engineered phages. J. Bacteriol. 194: 5728-5738. 500 501 Ch'ng, S.L., S. Octavia, Q. Xia, A. Duong, M.M. Tanaka, H. Fukushima & R. Lan, (2011) Population structure and 502 evolution of pathogenicity of Yersinia pseudotuberculosis. Appl. Environ. Microb. 77: 768-775. 503 Chain, P.S., E. Carniel, F.W. Larimer, J. Lamerdin, P.O. Stoutland, W.M. Regala, A.M. Georgescu, L.M. Vergez, 504 M.L. Land, V.L. Motin, R.R. Brubaker, J. Fowler, J. Hinnebusch, M. Marceau, C. Medigue, M. Simonet, V. 505 Chenal-Francisque, B. Souza, D. Dacheux, J.M. Elliott, A. Derbise, L.J. Hauser & E. Garcia, (2004) Insights 506 into the evolution of Yersinia pestis through whole-genome comparison with Yersinia 507 pseudotuberculosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101: 13826-13831. 508 Chain, P.S., P. Hu, S.A. Malfatti, L. Radnedge, F. Larimer, L.M. Vergez, P. Worsham, M.C. Chu & G.L. Andersen, 509 (2006) Complete genome sequence of Yersinia pestis strains Antiqua and Nepal516: evidence of gene 510 reduction in an emerging pathogen. J. Bacteriol. 188: 4453-4463. 511 Chauvaux, S., M.A. Dillies, M. Marceau, M.L. Rosso, S. Rousseau, I. Moszer, M. Simonet & E. Carniel, (2011) In 512 silico comparison of Yersinia pestis and Yersinia pseudotuberculosis transcriptomes reveals a higher 513 expression level of crucial virulence determinants in the plague bacillus. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 301: 514 105-116.

Cui, Y., Y. Li, O. Gorge, M.E. Platonov, Y. Yan, Z. Guo, C. Pourcel, S.V. Dentovskaya, S.V. Balakhonov, X. Wang, Y.
 Song, A.P. Anisimov, G. Vergnaud & R. Yang, (2008) Insight into microevolution of *Yersinia pestis* by
 clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. *PLoS ONE* 3: e2652.

- Cui, Y., C. Yu, Y. Yan, D. Li, Y. Li, T. Jombart, L.A. Weinert, Z. Wang, Z. Guo, L. Xu, Y. Zhang, H. Zheng, N. Qin, X.
 Xiao, M. Wu, X. Wang, D. Zhou, Z. Qi, Z. Du, H. Wu, X. Yang, H. Cao, H. Wang, J. Wang, S. Yao, A. Rakin,
 D. Falush, F. Balloux, M. Achtman, Y. Song & R. Yang, (2013) Historical variations in mutation rate in an
 epidemic pathogen, *Yersinia pestis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 110: 577-582.
- Delannoy, S., L. Beutin, Y. Burgos & P. Fach, (2012a) Specific detection of enteroaggregative hemorrhagic
 Escherichia coli O104:H4 strains by use of the CRISPR locus as a target for a diagnostic real-time PCR. J.
 Clin. Microbiol. 50: 3485-3492.
- Delannoy, S., L. Beutin & P. Fach, (2012b) Use of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat
 sequence polymorphisms for specific detection of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* strains of
 serotypes O26:H11, O45:H2, O103:H2, O111:H8, O121:H19, O145:H28, and O157:H7 by real-time PCR.
 J. Clin. Microbiol. 50: 4035-4040.
- Demarre, G., A.M. Guerout, C. Matsumoto-Mashimo, D.A. Rowe-Magnus, P. Marliere & D. Mazel, (2005) A new
 family of mobilizable suicide plasmids based on broad host range R388 plasmid (IncW) and RP4 plasmid
 (IncPalpha) conjugative machineries and their cognate *Escherichia coli* host strains. *Res. Microbiol.* 156:
 245-255.
- 533 Diez-Villasenor, C., C. Almendros, J. Garcia-Martinez & F.J. Mojica, (2010) Diversity of CRISPR loci in *Escherichia* 534 *coli. Microbiology* **156**: 1351-1361.
- Fabre, L., J. Zhang, G. Guigon, S. Le Hello, V. Guibert, M. Accou-Demartin, S. de Romans, C. Lim, C. Roux, V.
 Passet, L. Diancourt, M. Guibourdenche, S. Issenhuth-Jeanjean, M. Achtman, S. Brisse, C. Sola & F.X.
 Weill, (2012) CRISPR typing and subtyping for improved laboratory surveillance of *Salmonella*infections. *PLoS ONE* 7: e36995.
- Garneau, J.E., M.E. Dupuis, M. Villion, D.A. Romero, R. Barrangou, P. Boyaval, C. Fremaux, P. Horvath, A.H.
 Magadan & S. Moineau, (2010) The CRISPR/Cas bacterial immune system cleaves bacteriophage and
 plasmid DNA. *Nature* 468: 67-71.
- Grissa, I., G. Vergnaud & C. Pourcel, (2007a) The CRISPRdb database and tools to display CRISPRs and to
 generate dictionaries of spacers and repeats. *BMC bioinformatics* 8: 172.
- 544 Grissa, I., G. Vergnaud & C. Pourcel, (2007b) CRISPRFinder: a web tool to identify clustered regularly 545 interspaced short palindromic repeats. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **35**: W52-57.
- Haft, D.H., J. Selengut, E.F. Mongodin & K.E. Nelson, (2005) A guild of 45 CRISPR-associated (Cas) protein
 families and multiple CRISPR/Cas subtypes exist in prokaryotic genomes. *PLoS computational biology* 1:
 e60.
- Hale, C.R., P. Zhao, S. Olson, M.O. Duff, B.R. Graveley, L. Wells, R.M. Terns & M.P. Terns, (2009) RNA-guided
 RNA cleavage by a CRISPR RNA-Cas protein complex. *Cell* 139: 945-956.
- Harbeck, M., L. Seifert, S. Hansch, D.M. Wagner, D. Birdsell, K.L. Parise, I. Wiechmann, G. Grupe, A. Thomas, P.
 Keim, L. Zoller, B. Bramanti, J.M. Riehm & H.C. Scholz, (2013) *Yersinia pestis* DNA from skeletal remains
 from the 6(th) century AD reveals insights into Justinianic Plague. *PLoS Pathog* 9: e1003349.
- Held, N.L., A. Herrera & R.J. Whitaker, (2013) Reassortment of CRISPR repeat-spacer loci in *Sulfolobus islandicus*. *Environ Microbiol*.
- Horvath, P., D.A. Romero, A.C. Coute-Monvoisin, M. Richards, H. Deveau, S. Moineau, P. Boyaval, C. Fremaux &
 R. Barrangou, (2008) Diversity, activity, and evolution of CRISPR loci in *Streptococcus thermophilus*. J.
 Bacteriol. 190: 1401-1412.

Kamerbeek, J., L. Schouls, A. Kolk, M. van Agterveld, D. van Soolingen, S. Kuijper, A. Bunschoten, H. Molhuizen, R. Shaw, M. Goyal & J. van Embden, (1997) Simultaneous detection and strain differentiation of

561 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* for diagnosis and epidemiology. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **35**: 907-914.

- Karginov, F.V. & G.J. Hannon, (2010) The CRISPR system: small RNA-guided defense in bacteria and archaea.
 Mol Cell 37: 7-19.
- Laukkanen-Ninios, R., X. Didelot, K.A. Jolley, G. Morelli, V. Sangal, P. Kristo, C. Brehony, P.F. Imori, H.
 Fukushima, A. Siitonen, G. Tseneva, E. Voskressenskaya, J.P. Falcao, H. Korkeala, M.C. Maiden, C.
 Mazzoni, E. Carniel, M. Skurnik & M. Achtman, (2011) Population structure of the *Yersinia*
- 567 *pseudotuberculosis* complex according to multilocus sequence typing. *Environ Microbiol* **13**: 3114-3127.
- Le Flèche, P., Y. Hauck, L. Onteniente, A. Prieur, F. Denoeud, V. Ramisse, P. Sylvestre, G. Benson, F. Ramisse &
 G. Vergnaud, (2001) A tandem repeats database for bacterial genomes: application to the genotyping
 of *Yersinia pestis* and *Bacillus anthracis. BMC Microbiol* 1: 2.
- Levin, B.R., S. Moineau, M. Bushman & R. Barrangou, (2013) The population and evolutionary dynamics of
 phage and bacteria with CRISPR-mediated immunity. *PLoS Genet* 9: e1003312.
- Liu, F., R. Barrangou, P. Gerner-Smidt, E.M. Ribot, S.J. Knabel & E.G. Dudley, (2011) Novel virulence gene and
 clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) multilocus sequence typing scheme
 for subtyping of the major serovars of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica*. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* 77:
 1946-1956.
- Makarova, K.S., D.H. Haft, R. Barrangou, S.J. Brouns, E. Charpentier, P. Horvath, S. Moineau, F.J. Mojica, Y.I.
 Wolf, A.F. Yakunin, J. van der Oost & E.V. Koonin, (2011) Evolution and classification of the CRISPR-Cas
 systems. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 9: 467-477.
- 580 Makarova, K.S., Y.I. Wolf & E.V. Koonin, (2013) The basic building blocks and evolution of CRISPR-cas systems.
 581 *Biochem Soc Trans* 41: 1392-1400.
- 582 McGhee, G.C. & G.W. Sundin, (2012) *Erwinia amylovora* CRISPR elements provide new tools for evaluating 583 strain diversity and for microbial source tracking. *PLoS One* **7**: e41706.
- 584 Meacock, P.A. & S.N. Cohen, (1980) Partitioning of bacterial plasmids during cell division a cis-acting locus that 585 accomplishes stable plasmid inheritance. *Cell* **20**: 529-642.
- 586 Michiels, T. & G.R. Cornelis, (1991) Secretion of hybrid proteins by the *Yersinia* Yop export system. *J. Bacteriol.* 587 **173**: 1677-1685.
- 588 Mojica, F.J., C. Diez-Villasenor, J. Garcia-Martinez & C. Almendros, (2009) Short motif sequences determine the 589 targets of the prokaryotic CRISPR defence system. *Microbiology* **155**: 733-740.
- Morelli, G., Y. Song, C.J. Mazzoni, M. Eppinger, P. Roumagnac, D.M. Wagner, M. Feldkamp, B. Kusecek, A.J.
 Vogler, Y. Li, Y. Cui, N.R. Thomson, T. Jombart, R. Leblois, P. Lichtner, L. Rahalison, J.M. Petersen, F.
 Balloux, P. Keim, T. Wirth, J. Ravel, R. Yang, E. Carniel & M. Achtman, (2010) *Yersinia pestis* genome
 sequencing identifies patterns of global phylogenetic diversity. *Nature Genetics* 42: 1140-1143.
- Naktin, J. & K.G. Beavis, (1999) Yersinia enterocolitica and Yersinia pseudotuberculosis. Clinics in laboratory
 medicine 19: 523-536, vi.
- Noszczynska, M., K. Kasperkiewicz, K.A. Duda, J. Podhorodecka, K. Rabsztyn, K. Gwizdala, A.S. Swierzko, J.
 Radziejewska-Lebrecht, O. Holst & M. Skurnik, (2014) Serological characterization of the
 enterobacterial common antigen substitution of the lipopolysaccharide of *Yersinia enterocolitica* O:3.
 Microbiology.
- Pourcel, C. & C. Drevet, (2013) Occurence, diversity of CRISPR-Cas systems and genotyping implications. In:
 CRISPR-Cas systems. R. Barrangou & J. van der Oost (eds). Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, pp. 33 59.
- Pourcel, C., G. Salvignol & G. Vergnaud, (2005) CRISPR elements in *Yersinia pestis* acquire new repeats by
 preferential uptake of bacteriophage DNA, and provide additional tools for evolutionary studies.
 Microbiology 151: 653-663.

Rezzonico, F., T.H. Smits & B. Duffy, (2011) Diversity, evolution, and functionality of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR) regions in the fire blight pathogen *Erwinia amylovora*. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* **77**: 3819-3829.

609 Riehm, J.M., G. Vergnaud, D. Kiefer, T. Damdindorj, O. Dashdavaa, T. Khurelsukh, L. Zoller, R. Wolfel, P. Le 610 Flèche & H.C. Scholz, (2012) Yersinia pestis lineages in Mongolia. PLoS One 7: e30624. 611 Savin, C., L. Martin, C. Bouchier, S. Filali, J. Chenau, Z. Zhou, F. Becher, H. Fukushima, N.R. Thomson, H.C. Scholz 612 & E. Carniel, (2014) The Yersinia pseudotuberculosis complex: Characterization and delineation of a 613 new species, Yersinia wautersii. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 304: 452-463. 614 Schouls, L.M., S. Reulen, B. Duim, J.A. Wagenaar, R.J. Willems, K.E. Dingle, F.M. Colles & J.D. Van Embden, 615 (2003) Comparative genotyping of *Campylobacter jejuni* by amplified fragment length polymorphism, 616 multilocus sequence typing, and short repeat sequencing: strain diversity, host range, and 617 recombination. J. Clin. Microbiol. 41: 15-26. 618 Shariat, N. & E.G. Dudley, (2014) CRISPRs: molecular signatures used for pathogen subtyping. Appl. Environ. 619 Microb. 80: 430-439. 620 Shariat, N., M.K. Kirchner, C.H. Sandt, E. Trees, R. Barrangou & E.G. Dudley, (2013a) Subtyping of Salmonella 621 enterica serovar Newport outbreak isolates by CRISPR-MVLST and determination of the relationship 622 between CRISPR-MVLST and PFGE results. J. Clin. Microbiol. 51: 2328-2336. 623 Shariat, N., C.H. Sandt, M.J. DiMarzio, R. Barrangou & E.G. Dudley, (2013b) CRISPR-MVLST subtyping of 624 Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovars Typhimurium and Heidelberg and application in 625 identifying outbreak isolates. BMC Microbiol 13: 254. 626 Skurnik, M., A. Peippo & E. Ervelä, (2000) Characterization of the O-antigen gene clusters of Yersinia 627 pseudotuberculosis and the cryptic O-antigen gene cluster of Yersinia pestis shows that the plague 628 bacillus is most closely related to and has evolved from Y. pseudotuberculosis serotype O:1b. Mol. 629 *Microbiol.* **37**: 316-330. 630 Song, Y., Z. Tong, J. Wang, L. Wang, Z. Guo, Y. Han, J. Zhang, D. Pei, D. Zhou, H. Qin, X. Pang, J. Zhai, M. Li, B. Cui, 631 Z. Qi, L. Jin, R. Dai, F. Chen, S. Li, C. Ye, Z. Du, W. Lin, J. Yu, H. Yang, P. Huang & R. Yang, (2004) Complete 632 genome sequence of Yersinia pestis strain 91001, an isolate avirulent to humans. DNA Research 11: 633 179-197. 634 Sontheimer, E.J. & L.A. Marraffini, (2010) Microbiology: slicer for DNA. Nature 468: 45-46. 635 Sorek, R., C.M. Lawrence & B. Wiedenheft, (2013) CRISPR-mediated adaptive immune systems in bacteria and 636 archaea. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 82: 237-266. 637 Souza, R.A., A. Pitondo-Silva, D.P. Falcao & J.P. Falcao, (2010) Evaluation of four molecular typing 638 methodologies as tools for determining taxonomy relations and for identifying species among Yersinia 639 isolates. J. Microbiol. Meth. 82: 141-150. 640 Sprague, L.D., H.C. Scholz, S. Amann, H.J. Busse & H. Neubauer, (2008) Yersinia similis sp. nov. Int. J. Sys. Evol. 641 Microbiol. 58: 952-958. 642 Staden, R., (1996) The Staden sequence analysis package. Mol Biotechnol 5: 233-241. Tauxe, R.V., (2004) Salad and pseudoappendicitis: Yersinia pseudotuberculosis as a foodborne pathogen. J. 643 644 Infect. Dis. 189: 761-763. 645 Thomson, N.R., S. Howard, B.W. Wren, M.T. Holden, L. Crossman, G.L. Challis, C. Churcher, K. Mungall, K. 646 Brooks, T. Chillingworth, T. Feltwell, Z. Abdellah, H. Hauser, K. Jagels, M. Maddison, S. Moule, M. 647 Sanders, S. Whitehead, M.A. Quail, G. Dougan, J. Parkhill & M.B. Prentice, (2006) The complete genome 648 sequence and comparative genome analysis of the high pathogenicity Yersinia enterocolitica strain 649 8081. PLoS Genet 2: e206. 650 Touchon, M., S. Charpentier, O. Clermont, E.P. Rocha, E. Denamur & C. Branger, (2011) CRISPR distribution within the Escherichia coli species is not suggestive of immunity-associated diversifying selection. J. 651 652 Bacteriol. 193: 2460-2467. Wiedenheft, B., S.H. Sternberg & J.A. Doudna, (2012) RNA-guided genetic silencing systems in bacteria and 653 archaea. Nature 482: 331-338. 654 655 Wiedenheft, B., E. van Duijn, J.B. Bultema, S.P. Waghmare, K. Zhou, A. Barendregt, W. Westphal, A.J. Heck, E.J. 656 Boekema, M.J. Dickman & J.A. Doudna, (2011) RNA-guided complex from a bacterial immune system

- enhances target recognition through seed sequence interactions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108: 1009210097.
- Voskressenskaya, E., A. Leclercq, G. Tseneva & E. Carniel, (2005) Evaluation of ribotyping as a tool for molecular
 typing of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* strains of worldwide origin. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 43: 6155-6160.
- 661
- 662

Strain	YP1 locus	YP3 locus
Toyama60	104.801.802.53.173-177.951-770.1842.850.334.257.130.71.263.872.688.73.1827.74.	114 . 119 . 1 200 1 202. 331. 1203. 21 . 25 . 1204 . 1205 . <u>1773-177</u> . 1 30. 1777 . 3 5 1 .
MW145-2	<mark>173-177</mark> .130. 178178.180.181-183.183.188.187.188.188.	607. <u>1238-1249</u> . 1868. 1 5 10. 848. 71. 1511. 77. 1847. 712. 340. 508. 1512. 1513-1518. 71.
Pa3606	<mark>53 . 173-177</mark> . nnn	07. 1238-1249. 1868. 1810. 848. 71. 1811. 77. 1847. 712. 340. 80. 1812.
IP31758	-3 3. <u>173-177</u> .18 69 .178 <u>540-543</u> .1818.179.180.1 <u>81-188</u> .183.188.187.3 <mark>51</mark> .	1149.1199.1200.1201.1202.331.1203.2 6 1.95.1204.1205. <u>1466-1471</u> .808.277.1472.563. <u>1473-1477</u> .
D426	53 3 . <u>173-177</u> . 1 86 . <mark>1</mark> 78 <u>540-543</u> .	114 13321203.21.1 <u>333-1337</u> .
¥718		1149.13321203.2 <mark>6</mark> 1. <u>1333-1337</u> .
Strain	YP3 locus	YP1 locus
RD20	114 .118 .1200.1201.1202.331.1203.2 5 1.25.1204.1205.1206.1205.1207.1212.156.734.1 <u>213-121</u> .265.1338.1335.1751.274.1815.266.	581.582.849.850852-854.583-586.855-857.928-946.
No-151	1149 .1199 .1200 .1201 .1202 .331 .1203 .251 .55 .1204 .12051465 -1471 .808 .558 .1644 .	104.801.802.803.804.650.277.651-653805-809.810-813.333.250.71.814-819.
IP31758	1149.1199.1200.1201.1202.331.1203.2 5 1.85.1204.1205.1466-1469.1469.1471.808.277.1472.553.1 <u>473-1477</u> .	
Toyama60	1149 , 1159 , 12 00, <mark>1202, 331, 1203, 281, 85, 1204, 1205, 1773, 1</mark> 77 4 , 177 5 , 177 5 , 1 30, 1 777, 3 51 ,	104 . 801. 802. 33 . <u>173-177</u> . 51 -770 . 1842 . 250 . 334 . 257 . 130 . 71 . 253 . 772 . 5 88 . 73 . 1827 . 574 .
D426	114 . 1332 . 1203 . 2 5 1 . <u>1333 - 1337</u> .	<mark>53</mark> . <u>173-177</u> , 18 5 , 178, <u>540-543</u> .
Gifu-liver	114 . 1332 . 1203 . 2 5 1 . <u>1333 - 1337</u> . 331 .	
¥718	114 . 1332. 1203. 2 5 1. <u>1333-1337</u> .	
¥732	114 , 1332 , 1203 , 2 51 , <u>1333–1337</u> .	
WP-931110	2 07. <u>1238-1240</u> 1244124 5 . 1250-1252. 1780.	39. 49. 77. 78. 85. 59. 57. <u>60-52. 88-92</u> 53. 54. 77. 55. 66. <u>976-979</u> . 558. 580. 111. 581. 253. 582-559.
Pa3606	57. <u>1235-1240</u> , 1241, 1242, 1243, 1244, 1245, <u>1245, 1245, 1856</u> , 1850, 1850, 1811, 77, 1811, 77, 1847, 712, 340, 505, 1812,	33 . <u>173-177</u> . nnn
MW145-2	507. 1238-1240. 1241. 1242. 1243. 1244. 1245. 1246-1249. 1868. 1510. 848. 71. 1511. 77. 1847. 712. 340. 509. 1512. 1513-1515. 71.	<mark>173-177</mark> .130.178178.180. <u>181-185</u> .1838.188.188.188.

Table 1. YP1 and YP3 spacer alignments of selected Y. pseudotuberculosis strains^a

^a nnn, the middle part of the strain Pa3606 YP1 locus PCR fragment could not be completely sequenced.

Spacer	Strain	YP locus	Location in 8081 genome	BLASTN search identity %					
Spacers in prophage ϕ VE98 (location in 8081 genome: 981223-1011295)									
<u>1779</u>	WP-931108	YP3	984218	88 (28/32)					
1191	79136	YP3	984296	88 (28/32)					
986	WP-931110	YP1	986574	97 (31/32)					
1193	79136	YP3	987079	91 (29/32)					
566	2889, 2895, Y385, Y728, Y729	YP1	987179	97 (31/32)					
988	WP-931110	YP1	987234	97 (31/32)					
244	PC95-219-1	YP1	988311	91 (29/32)					
699	H892-36-87	YP1	991747	91 (29/32)					
711	H892-36-87	YP1	991941	91 (29/32)					
1471	IP31758, No-151, OK10700, R30	YP3	992073	100 (32/32)					
1076	22917-2L, IP32952	YP2	993118	91 (29/32)					
1203	8727-7, D426,D54,Gifu-liver	YP3	994228	94 (30/32)					
1748	R30	YP3	994348	91 (29/32)					
55	BB28, Y74	YP1	995024	94 (30/32)					
86	F-401-1, Wla658, WP-931110	YP1	1001481	97 (31/32)					
50	BB28, Y74	YP1	1004019	88 (28/32)					
1629	MW48, R103-2, R626R	YP3	1004236	88 (28/32)					
123	H-3831	YP1	1009835	100 (32/32)					
79	F-401-1	YP1	1010599	91 (29/32)					
647	CN2	YP1	1010603	91 (29/32)					
1317	CN3-5end	YP3	1010802	88 (28/32)					
846	PT245	YP1	1010919	94 (30/32)					
Spacers in p	rophage	ome: 199172	20-2007210)						
885	R104-2	YP1	1993391	88 (28/32)					
Spacers in p	rophage \$\$\phi\$YE250 (location in 8081 gen	ome: 250309	<u>99-2554665)</u>						
1315	CN3-5end	YP3	2527852	88 (28/32)					
1681	Pa8728, d54	YP3	2533319	94 (30/32)					
1270	B56, No-21	YP3	2533871	97 (31/32)					
1256	AZ960106-1	YP3	2534586	100 (32/32)					
1662	MW48, R103-2, R626R	YP3	2535837	94 (30/32)					
1412	H146-84K, R111, YPIII	YP3	2538051	88 (28/32)					
225	OK5466	YP1	2538088	94 (30/32)					

Table 2. Spacers of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* complex with matching sequences (>88% identity in BLASTN search) to genomic sequence of *Y. enterocolitica* 8081 (GenBank accession no: AM286415). Strains in bold carry several spacers similar to *Y. enterocolitica* sequence. All spacers are 32 nt long, except #846 that is 33 nt long.

570	2889, 2895, Y385, 728, Y729	YP1	2538983	97 (31/32)				
1295	CN2, R104-2	YP3	2539577	100 (32/32)				
121	H-3831	YP1	2540279	94 (30/32)				
889	R104-2	YP1	2542146	100 (32/32)				
1457	H892-36-87	YP3	2544550	88 (28/32)				
918	R111, YPIII	YP1	2548392	94 (30/32)				
821	No-21	YP1	2550610	91 (29/32)				
695	H892-36-87	YP1	2552642	100 (32/32)				
1234	93422-5end, CN3-5end	YP3	2552879	91 (29/32)				
Other location Ye2993 gltK								
120	G5431	YP1	3264360	88 (28/32)				

Table 3. The spacers specific for protospacers in pYptb32953, the cryptic 27,702 bp plasmid of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* strain IP32953 (Acc. no BX936400.1). Strains OK5466, J51, R103-1, S107, H-3831, No.21, DD110, Pa8728, MW101-1, and PC95-219-1 carry several different pYptb32953 spacers.

Spacer	Present in strains	Locus	Serotype	ST ^a	Location in plasmid (strand)	Protospacer sequence in pYptb32953 ± 6 nt. The GG PAM motif is grey-shaded.		Conjugation resistance type (Table 4)
234	OK5466	YP1	O:5b	73	3697 (+)	tagtct/ <u>gatgggtctcaaaatacgcaccaaagggaacg</u> / GG aaaa	100	Intermediate
790	J51	YP1	O:13	47	5093 (+)	gatttc/ <u>aacgaaaaaaacgccggtaatgcgtcgattgt</u> / GG ggac	100	Non-resistant
1285	BB28	YP3	O:2b	53	5312 (+)	gttaaa/ <u>agtggggaacctaccggatggaatccgtttcg</u> / G ctgaa	100	Non-resistant
287	R103-1 S107	YP1 YP1	O:3 O:5b	58 87	5432 (+)	acccga/ <u>caggaaacccgcctcagtgacgccgttgatgc</u> /t G ttat	100	Non-resistant
1178	79136	YP3	O:1b	88	5469 (+)	ctgtta/ <u>tgttggggcttgaccacgccagccgtgaccac</u> / GG Tatt	100	Non-resistant
789	J51	YP1	O:13	47	5751 (+)	tactgg/ <u>atggacggcgtttagtgctgtttattgatgag</u> / G tctgg	100	Non-resistant
1450	H-3831	YP3	O:4a	48	7359 (+)	agtett/ <u>ttegagteaateatgggaagaetatetttatt</u> / GG eage	100	Fully resistant
1167	774	YP3	O:4a	32	8228 (-)	gtgagc/ <u>gcggttaaatacccccccgcattagtaatgaa</u> / GG tgat	88	Fully resistant
464	Y722 Vlassel IP32802 Y716 H1960003	YP1 YP1 YP1 YP1 YP1	unknown O:3 unknown unknown unknown	19 57 19 19 unknown	9676 (-)	gcgagt/ <u>ttatcggggtcggttgcatcactaatgacatt</u> / GG aaat	100	 Fully resistant
784	J51	YP1	O:13	47	10080 (-)	gaaaac/ <u>accaaggtagtgacataaccggcgcgagcatt</u> /aattac	100	Non-resistant
1454	H-3831	YP3	O:4a	48	10082 (+)	ttggtg/ <u>ttttcggatgatgaggcggttattagcactga</u> / GG tggg	100	Fully resistant
1264	B56 No.21	YP3 YP3	R O:1a	9 86	10680 (+)	tgatcc/ <u>agcatattaacccgacgatggtattacgctat</u> / GG cgat	100	Fully resistant Intermediate
556	22917/2L	YP1	O:5a	16	12868 (+)	cagcat/ <u>gagaactatgtgcatctgttttatccgtcaga</u> / GG gtgg	100	Intermediate
1820	Gifu-liver	YP1	O:1b	22	12869 (+)	agcatg/ <u>agaactatgtgcatctgttttatccgtcagag</u> / GG tgga	94	Intermediate
1632	R103-2 (Y. sim) MW48 (Y. sim) R626R (Y. sim)	YP3 YP3 YP3	O:5b O:9 O:9	45 80 83	13031 (+)	gttcag/ <u>gtcatgatgggttaatcacgtcaatgcacgct</u> / GG cacc	100	Fully resistant Fully resistant Non-resistant

1528	R103-1 J92 S107	YP3 YP3 YP3	O:3 O:13 O:5b	58 82 87	13276 (+)	aaatga/ <u>aacatttaattagaccatgttgggtggctgtc</u> / GG tttg	100	Non-resistant Non-resistant
134	H-3831	YP1	O:4a	48	13374 (+)	acaccg/ <u>acgacggttaacagcaccttgccccagtggca</u> / GG aaaa	100	Fully resistant
1449	H-3831	YP3	O:4a	48	13453 (+)	catggt/ <u>caaagcgcatcacggattttcagggggataac</u> / G Gcagc	100	Fully resistant
1444	H-3831	YP3	O:4a	48	13545 (+)	gttgcg/ <u>agtaacagcagctcggcatggtttaacaccgc</u> / GG catg	94	Fully resistant
760	J51	YP1	O:13	47	13640 (+)	ttgttt/ <u>aacctgcaatacggttgatgtttatttgtctc</u> / GG tcag	100	Non-resistant
133	H-3831	YP1	O:4a	48	14452 (-)	cacaga/ <u>ttttatttggtgatatttgaattgatcggcaa</u> / GG cgta	100	Fully resistant
1154	H892-36/87 CN3 2889 2895 Y734	YP3 YP3 YP3 YP3 YP3 YP3	O:1a O:14 (R) O:1b O:1b unknown	12 17 43 43 43	15362 (+)	agttca/ <u>caaacaacattaaataatgctaataattatac</u> /t G attc	100	Non-resistant Fully resistant
1361	DD110 Pa8728	YP3 YP3	O:6 R	11 60	15405 (+)	<pre>caagag/tgagtaacattacaaatgtagctattgaagag/Gctaat</pre>	100	Fully resistant Intermediate
1579	KP1244-2B	YP3	O:2c	56	16774 (-)	tttttt/ <u>cattacctcattgatactcggaacttcatcaa</u> / GG cagt	97	Fully resistant
1362	DD110	YP3	O:6	11	16931 (-)	cgttcg/ <u>cgggggtggcttgttggcctccccgcttcact</u> / GG cttt	100	Fully resistant
1586	MW101-1 PC95-219-1	YP3 YP3	O:4b R	28 84	17275 (-)	ggggat/ <u>atatccccccaaattaaccgcccactggggtg</u> / GG cttt	100	Non-resistant Non-resistant
1585	MW101-1 PC95-219-1	YP3 YP3	O:4b R	28 84	17545 (+)	cggagt/ <u>ggcgattgccgttgattggggtaactgcaagt</u> /tatcgc	94	Non-resistant Non-resistant
218	WP-931109 OK5466	YP1 YP1	O:15 O:5b	22 73	21892 (-)	tgctct/ <u>tttcctcggtctggctggcggtgactgtcgcc</u> / GG ttgg	97	Intermediate Intermediate
1362	DD110	YP3	O:6	11	23321 (+)	gttctc/gggggtggcttgtcggcctccccgcttcactg/ G ctttt	97	Fully resistant
283	Pa8728	YP1	R	60	24168 (-)	agtggg/ <u>tgatgtgcagcatgaaagctatattgcctcat</u> / GG ctta	100	Intermediate
232	OK5466	YP1	O:5b	73	24547 (-)	ctgtgt/ <u>ttaatgtccagcaaatagacggcttgccactag</u> /a G aca	94	Intermediate
1407	No.21 H141-84 Y717	YP3 YP3 YP3	O:1a unknown unknown	86 9 9	27085 (+)	tggggc/ <u>cgtagtgctgtttaaccgttttttgtggtcag</u> / GG tatg	100	Intermediate

^aST, Multilocus sequence type according to MLST Databases at the Unversity of Warwick, Warwick Medical School (http://mlst.ucc.ie/mlst/dbs/Ypseudotuberculosis/GetTableInfo_html) [Laukkanen-Ninios, 2011 #9224] er.

Wiley-Blackwell and Society for Applied Microbiology

Table 4. Influence of pYptb32953-specific spacers on pYptb32953::*cat* conjugation and plasmid mobilization restriction by *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and *Y. similis* strains. Presented are for pYptb32953::*cat* conjugations transconjugant/recipient ratios of three parallel mating experiments. Variations between the ratios of the three matings are due to inaccuracy in the serial dilution drop method used for measuring bacterial concentrations in mating mixtures (see Figure 3). Mobilization of plasmids pTM100-waaF and pTM100-CRISPR was performed with six strains, highlighted in gray, and the mobilization frequencies are given as transconjugant/recipient percentages.

				pYptb32953::cat			
Strain	Spacer no	c	onjugatio	frequency (%)			
		Ι	п	Ш	p1N1100- waaF	CRISPR	
Non-resistant group	<u>(>0.2)</u>				_	-	
PB1		0.6	0.5	1	~7	~2	
Wla658	-	1.67	4.5	1.88			
DC356-2	-	1	0.82	0.9			
257	1115	1	1.67	2.5			
J51	760.784.789.790	0.35	0.33	1			
R103-1	287.1528	0.55	4.5	2.5	5-10	1	
J92	1528	0.3	0.7	0.71			
79136	1178	2.33	2.33	2.8			
R626R (Y. similis)	1632	0.83	0.67	1	1	0.1	
MW101-1	1585.1586	0.2	0.5	0.53			
PC95-219-1	1586	0.33	0.4	0.5			
H892-36/87	1154	1.67	1	1			
BB28	1285	1	1.25	1.33			
Intermediate resista	nt group (0.01 – 0.2)						
Gifu-Liver	1820	0.044	0.008	0.04			
22917/2L	556	0.1	0.08	0.013			
No 21	1264 1407	0.033	0.2	0.022			
Pa8728	283 1361	0.13	0.2	0.083			
WP-931109	218	0.13	0.2	0.13			
OK5466	218.232.234	0.067	0.05	0.13			
Fully resistant group	(0 0 0000)						
<u>Fully resistant group</u> VPIII	-	0	0.0063	0			
Vlassel	-	0 031	0.0005	0 00067			
H_3831	133 134 1444 1449 1450 1454	0.051	0.002	0.00007	1	< 0.01	
774	1167	0	0.004	0.004		0.01	
P56	1264	0.004	0.01	0.001			
B_{103-2} (V similis)	1632	0.004	0.01	0.055	1-5	0 1-0 5	
$MW48 (V \ similis)$	1632	0 00005	0	0	0 1-1	0.1 0.5	
$\frac{W}{W} = 0.21205$	1032	0.00005	0	0	0.1 1	Ŭ	
wr-731203 Tovama-60	1775	0	0	0			
r Uyama-UU CN2	1154	0	0 0002	0			
UNJ DD110	1134	0.049	0.0002	U 0.0079			
	1501.1302	0.048	0.01	0.0078			
KP1244-2B	15/9	0.00017	0.00011	0.000083			



Figure 1. The locations of the YP1, YP2 and YP3 CRISPR loci in the genome of Y. pseudotuberculosis strain IP32953 (Accession number BX936398). The CRISPR associated cas and csy genes are shown with gray shading and the variable CRISPR repeat sequences as striped arrows. The new spacers are added between the leader sequence and the arrowhead of the CRISPR repeat sequences. The locations of the leader sequence and of the primers used to amplify the loci are indicated by a triangle and black arrows, respectively.

269x183mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2. Schematic organization of the YP2 locus elements used for grouping of the strains. The list of strains and the respective sequences are given in Table S7. The leader sequence element 3'-A is indicated by the black box, the spacer-containing sequence by the striped arrow, the other sequence elements by open boxes. The open reading frame within element 3'-E is indicated by the grey arrow. 218x152mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 3. Conjugation experiments showing the serial 10-fold dilution plating of 5 µl drops on selective CINagar plates without or with Clm allowing the growth from the mating mixture of all the recipient bacteria or only the transconjugant bacteria, respectively. PB1 and DC356-2 represent non-resistant strains, Pa8728 represents intermediate resistant strains, and YPIII, CN3 and Toyama-60, the fully resistant strains. 195x195mm (300 x 300 DPI)

